

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 1872 OF 2023

State of Maharashtra & Ors. .. Petitioners
Versus
New India Assurance Co. Ltd ...Respondent

Ms. M. S. Bane, AGP for Petitioners
Ms Vaishali Ugale i/b. Mr. V. Y. Sanglikar for Respondent

CORAM : SARANG V. KOTWAL, J

DATE : 3rd MAY, 2023

P.C.:

1. Not on board. Mentioned.
2. The praecipe is moved for urgent circulation. However, learned counsel for the Respondent relied on the paragraph no. 3 of the order dated 27/02/2023 passed by this Court (Coram: Milind N. Jadhav, J). There was clear observation in the said order that if the amount of damages as directed by this Court was not deposited in this Court by the next date (i.e. 24/04/2023) the order dated 30/01/2023 would automatically stand vacated. The order dated 30/01/2023 was the stay order. By operation of the order dated 27/02/2023, the stay stood vacated.
3. Learned counsel for the Respondent makes a positive statement that the possession is already taken by the Respondent

and, therefore, urgent circulation is not granted. However, the Petitioners are given liberty to mention the matter before the Vacation Court for urgent reliefs, if any.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)