



Tikam

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 405 OF 2026

VAISHALI
ANIL
TIKAM

Kuldeep Suresh Kumawat

...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and anr.

...Respondents

VAISHALI
ANIL
TIKAM

Digitally signed
by VAISHALI
ANIL TIKAM
Date:
2026.05.08
10:59:58 +0530

Mr. Rishikesh J. Sharma, Advocate for Applicant.

Mr. B.B. Kulkarni, APP for Respondent-State.

Ms. Sandhya A. Tirmare, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

Digitally signed
by VAISHALI
ANIL TIKAM

Date:
2026.05.08
11:01:13 +0530

CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.

DATE : 4th May, 2026.P.C. :

1. Heard learned counsel for the Applicant and learned APP for the State.

2. By this application, the applicant is seeking regular bail in Crime No. 292 of 2025 registered with Wakad Police Station for the offence punishable under Sections 109, 351(2), 351(3) read with 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 along with Sections 4 r/w. 25 of the Arms Act, Sections 3 and 7 of the Criminal Law Amendment Act and Sections 37(1) (3) read with 135 of the Maharashtra Police Act.

3. It is prosecution's case on 23/06/2025, Applicant and co-accused assaulted the first informant with sickle with intention to kill him.



Tikam

It is alleged that due to assault four fingers of both the hands of the first informant have been cut.

4. It is contention of learned counsel for the Applicant that name of the applicant is not mentioned in FIR. Applicant is behind bars around 10 months. The main allegations are against the co-accused. It may take time to conclude the trial and requested to allow the application.

5. It is contention of learned APP that applicant was part of group, who assaulted the first informant with sickle. In the said assault, four fingers of both hands were cut and his wrist is amputated. The role of the applicant is that he had sharpened the sickle used in the crime. If he is released on bail, he may abscond or threaten prosecution witnesses.

6. I have heard both learned counsel. Perused charge sheet and documents produced on record. In FIR applicant's name is not mentioned. The main allegations are against the co-accused, whose names are mentioned in the FIR. Allegations against the Applicant that he had sharpened the sickle used in the assault. To prove the allegations against the Applicant, evidence is required.

7. Considering these facts, I pass following order:

ORDER

(i) The Applicant-Kuldeep Suresh Kumawat be released on bail in



Tikam

Crime No. 292 of 2025 registered with Wakad Police Station, on furnishing PR bond of Rs.25,000/- with one or two solvent sureties in the like amount.

(ii) The Applicant shall attend the concerned police station, as and when called.

(iii) The Applicant shall not tamper with the evidence and/or influence the prosecution witnesses.

(iv) The Trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits and in accordance with law, uninfluenced by the observations made in this order.

(v) Bail Application is allowed in the aforesaid terms.

(SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.)