



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE CIVIL JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 92 OF 2009

Sou. Sunita Laxman Kolhe ...Petitioner
V/S
Arjunchandra Swarupchandra Chouhan And Ors. ...Respondents

WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 350 OF 2016

Smt Sunita Laxman Kolhe ...Petitioner
V/S
Nirmal Arjun Chavan, Chairman Nav Nirman
Mandal And Ors ...Respondents

Adv. Deepali Deherkar i/b. Adv. M. Limaye for the Petitioner
Mr. Nirmal Chauhan in-person present for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 in
CP/350/2016.
Mr. V. Mali, AGP for the Respondent Nos. 3 & 4

CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR &
M. M. SATHAYE, JJ.

DATED : 27 FEBRUARY, 2024

P.C.:

1. The Contemnor in CP/350/2016 appearing in-person states that he is an Advocate and office bearer of the trust. He is not giving satisfactory answers to the queries of the Court as to how much, according to the Management, is the liability of the Management under



the order passed by the School Tribunal, which is confirmed upto the Hon'ble Supreme Court. He states that he has not seen a case like this and he is not aware as to how to deal with such case. *Prima facie*, the answers are evasive.

2. The school as on today is functional. If this is the state of affairs of those who conduct the school, apart from the issue of contempt, the Director of Education shall look as to the competence of the Management as regards the option for de-recognition of the school.

3. Stand over to **11 March, 2024** for the Director of Education to respond to our query.

4. We give one more opportunity to the Contemnor, failing which this Court will proceed under the Contempt Jurisdiction.

(M. M. SATHAYE, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)