



srk

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 92 OF 2009

Sau. Sunita Laxman Kolhe ... Petitioner
Vs.
Arjunchandra Swarupchandra Chouhan & Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Mandar V. Limaye for Petitioner.
Ms.S.S.Bhide, AGP for Respondents.

CORAM : J.H. BHATIA, J.

DATE : 9th September, 2010.

P. C.

- 1 Respondent no.3 be served with a notice.
- 2 It appears that the Respondent nos. 1 and 2 who are the Chairman and Principal have refused to accept the notice. It also appears that they have not complied the order dated 26th July, 2006 passed by the School Tribunal and confirmed by the High Court as well as the Supreme Court and they have neither reinstated nor the consequential benefits are given to the Petitioner. It shows that the Respondent nos. 1 and 2 have no intention to obey the orders of the court and they have prima facie committed the contempt of the court by disobeying the order even after



dismissal of the Civil Appeal No. 421 of 2007 by the Supreme Court as per order dated 5th November, 2008. Therefore, the notice be issued to the Respondent nos. 1 and 2 to personally remain present and show cause why they should not be punished for the contempt of the court. Respondent no.3 shall also appear before the court to show what action can be taken against the management for not complying the orders of the court.

3 Stand over to 30th September, 2010.

(J. H. BHATIA, J.)