

ANANT
KRISHNA
NAIK

Digitally
signed by
ANANT
KRISHNA
NAIK
Date:
2026.04.24
19:48:45
+0530

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 577 OF 2002

Savita Prakash Mayekar ... Applicant
versus
The State of Maharashtra and Anr. ... Respondents

Mr. A. S. Khandeparkar, Senior Advocate a/w. Mr Satish Kalke, for the Applicant.

Ms Sharmila S. Kaushik, APP for the Respondent-State.

Mr. R. V. Dighe i/b. Mr. A. S. Rao for Respondent No.2

CORAM : M. M. SATHAYE, J.

DATED : 24th APRIL 2026

P.C.:

1. Heard learned Senior Counsel for the Revision Applicant. He submits that during the pendency of the proceedings, in a compromise arrived between the parties, before the Consumer Forum, the Respondent No. 2/Complainant agreed that pending Appeal shall not be pursued because the possession of the flat is being received under the compromise. He further points out that the Complainant, under consent terms, had agreed to pay the amount due under the agreement.

2. Considering that the matter was compromised before the Consumer Forum, it is necessary to hear the Respondent No. 2/Complainant on this aspect. Learned Counsel for the Respondent No. 2 seeks short accommodation for taking instructions.

3. Stand over to 05/05/2026.

(M. M. SATHAYE, J.)