

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
FIRST APPEAL NO. 116 OF 2006**

Kanchanben W/o. Laxmichand Durlabhji (Decd) ... Appellants  
and ors.

versus

Kantilal Maganlal Shah (deceased) and ors. .... Respondents

Mr. Pradeep J. Thorat, Advocate for the Appellant.  
Mr. Yogesh A. Gaikwad i/b. M/s. Bilawala and Co., Advocates for the  
Respondent No.3.

**CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.**

**DATE : 25th JULY, 2024.**

**P.C. :**

1. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the appellants. He submits that legal heirs of deceased appellant No.13 and respondent No.2 are required to be brought on record and necessary application was filed and the same was also allowed. However, consequent amendment is not carried out. He submits that he would carry the necessary amendment within a period of one week. The learned counsel further submits that he wants to file additional documents. He seeks leave to peruse the record and proceedings and take copies of the required documents. He may apply to the Registry to take necessary copies subject to the Rules in that regard.

2. Necessary amendment be carried out within one week.

3. At the joint request of the learned counsel appearing for the parties, list the matter on 22<sup>nd</sup> August 2024.

**(ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.)**