

Rajput PR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.31 OF 2020

Sandeep Sunil Kumar ...Applicant
Versus
State of Maharashtra & Anr. ...Respondents

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.30 OF 2020

Sandeep Sunil Kumar ...Applicant
Versus
State of Maharashtra & Anr. ...Respondents

Mr.Himanshu Kode, *for the Applicant.*

Mr. Niranjan Mundargi, Senior Advocate a/w Vinod R. Gupta,
for the Respondent No.2.

Ms.Aruna Pai, *Special Public Prosecutor for the State.*

PI – Ajay Kulkarni, DCB – CID, Unit 1, Mumbai.

PSI – Niwas Shinde, Vashi Police Station, New Mumbai,
present.

CORAM DR. NEELA GOKHALE, J.
DATED: 18TH SEPTEMBER 2025

PC:-

1. Mr.Kode, learned counsel for the Applicant submits that witness one Mr.Prashant @Parshuram Jaywant Kamble is not traceable as far as Unit 1, Crime Branch, Mumbai is concerned.

2. Per contra, Ms.Pai, special prosecutor has tendered the letter dated 1st March, 2024, which is a statement given by said Mr.Prashant @Parshuram Jaywant Kamble to the Vashi Police Station that there are no threats made to him and he has never given any such statement.

3. Be that as it may, Ms.Pai informs the Court that the matter is kept on 23rd September, 2025 before the trial Court and the Special Prosecutor appearing in the said matter may be directed to seek issuance of summons to the said witness namely Mr.Prashant @Parshuram Jaywant Kamble. She further submits that present matter may be then stood over after 23rd September, 2025.

4. In my view, the suggestion of Ms.Pai is feasible. The Special Prosecutor appearing before the trial Court is at liberty to make an Application seeking issuance of summons to the said witness namely Mr.Prashant @Parshuram Jaywant Kamble, to ascertain as to whether he is facing any threat perception from the accused.

5. If such an Application is made by the Special Prosecutor, the trial Court may consider hearing the same expeditiously.

6. Stand over to 14th October, 2025.

(DR. NEELA GOKHALE, J)