

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 1891 OF 2026**

Devram Narayan Salokhie ... Petitioner
vs.
The Collector, Raigad and others ... Respondents

None for the petitioner.

Ms. G. R. Raghuwanshi, AGP for respondent Nos.1 and 2 – State.

**CORAM : MANISH PITALE &
SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, JJ**

DATE : 15th APRIL, 2026

P.C. :

. On 18.02.2026, this Court had issued notice and granted ad-interim relief in terms of prayer clause (e).

2. Respondent Nos.1 and 2 are represented by the learned AGP. Office note shows that the service of notice on respondent Nos.3 to 13 is awaited. It is further stated that as per an affidavit of service filed on behalf of the petitioner, only respondent Nos.2 to 5 and 9 to 11 appear to have been served. There is no confirmation of service of notice upon the remaining respondents.

3. Today, when the petition is called out for hearing, there is no appearance on behalf of the petitioner, although the learned AGP is present in Court, representing respondent Nos.1 and 2.

4. In view of the above, list for further consideration on 16.06.2026. In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to take necessary steps to ensure service upon all the respondents.

5. Ad-interim order shall continue to operate till the next date.

6. It is made clear that if there is no appearance on behalf of the petitioner on the next date of listing also, this Court shall consider vacating the ad-interim order.

(SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, J.)

(MANISH PITALE, J.)

Priya Kambli