

HARSHADA H. SAWANT
(P.A.)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.253 OF 2002

Farid Saudagar and Anr.

.. Applicants

Versus

The Inspector of Police, C.B.I., Bombay and Anr.

.. Respondents

.....

- None for Applicants.
- Mr. Shreeram Shirsat a/w. Mr. Shekhar V. Mane, Advocates for Respondent No.1.
- Ms. Sangeeta Phad, APP for Respondent No.2 – the State of Maharashtra.

.....

CORAM : MILIND N. JADHAV, J.

DATE : OCTOBER 07, 2024

P.C.:

1. Heard Mr. Shirsat, learned Advocate for Respondent No.1 and Ms. Phad, learned APP for Respondent No.2 – the State of Maharashtra.

2. This Criminal Revision Application expresses a sorry state of affairs. It is rather unfortunate for the facts but I expect the concerned Departments and Officers shall now act atleast after reading this order.

3. The Revision Application is directed against order of conviction. Direction was given to place this Criminal Revision Application on final hearing board as far back as on 20.08.2019. It is seen that Revision Applicants are released on bail by this Court in the present proceedings by order dated 18.10.2002. Series of orders have

been passed thereafter. Applicants have never remained present on any of the dates. Resultantly, on 20.08.2019, this Court directed Registry to appoint Advocate for Applicants from panel of Legal Aid Committee to espouse the cause of Applicants. Direction was given to do so within a period of three weeks from 20.08.2019. This direction is not complied by the Registry and Registry shall inform the Court the reason for non-compliance of this order.

4. Thereafter when the matter appeared before this Court on 06.11.2019, Registry was directed to inform Mr. Rushit P. Thakkar about his appointment as *Amicus Curiae*. The *Amicus Curiae* is not present when the matter is called out today except Mr. Shirsat, learned Advocate appearing for Respondent No.1 and Ms. Phad, learned APP appearing for Respondent No.2 – State of Maharashtra. There is no representation on behalf of Applicants nor by the *Amicus Curiae* appointed by the Court. The matter has been listed thereafter since 2021 on the final hearing board regularly and it is observed that Applicants have always remained absent.

5. It is seen that on 18.03.2021, this Court issued Bailable warrants against Applicants in the sum of Rs.10,000/- each and made then returnable on 26.04.2021. Respondent No.1 – Central Bureau of Investigation was directed to execute the warrants and submit report to this Court after it was duly executed before the returnable date.

This order of 18.03.2021 is also not complied with, *albeit* this time by Respondent No.1 i.e. the Central Bureau of Investigation. Orders by this Court are taken very lightly by Respondents as also the Registry of this Court.

6. Mr. Shirsat would submit that he would take appropriate instructions with respect to compliance of the directions contended in the order dated 18.03.2021 and would apprise the Court for further directions on the next adjourned date.

7. Stand over to **21st October, 2024.**

H. H. SAWANT

[MILIND N. JADHAV, J.]

HARSHADA
HANUMANT
SAWANT

Digitally signed by
HARSHADA
HANUMANT
SAWANT
Date: 2024.10.07
18:59:35 +0530