

sg

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.461 OF 2017

Their Workmen (Nashik Municipal Corporation's)

Represented by Nashik Mahanagar

Palika Shramik Sangh

...Petitioner

vs

Nashik Municipal Corporation

...Respondent

.....

Mr. Bhavesh Parmar, i/b. Mr. Devmani Shukla, for the Petitioner.

Mr. Shekhar Jagtap, i/b. J. Shekhar & Co., for the Respondent.

.....

CORAM : S.C. GUPTE, J.

DATED: JUNE 4, 2018

P.C. :

. Heard learned Counsel for the parties. Rule. Considering the controversy before the Court, hearing of the petition is expedited. Any of the parties is at liberty to mention the matter in the second week of July 2018 for fixing of the hearing.

2. There is an ad-interim statement made by the Respondent Corporation, which is recorded in the order passed by this Court on 16 January 2017. The statement is to the effect that the Corporation shall not discontinue the workers represented by the Petitioner Union so long as they are employed by the contractor, through whom they are working for the Respondent Corporation. Learned Counsel for the Respondent Corporation is not willing to continue this ad-interim statement. Now

that this Court has heard the matter *prima facie*, and is of the view that it requires consideration, the ad-interim order operating in the petition must be continued till the petition is taken up for final hearing. In any event, this Court has expedited the petition and given liberty to the parties to mention the matter for fixing of the date of hearing. Accordingly, the Corporation is restrained from discontinuing the workmen represented by the Petitioner Union working with the Respondent Corporation through the contractor pending the hearing and final disposal of the petition.

(S.C. GUPTE, J.)