

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

MAIN CASE No: WRIT PETITION Nos.1966 of 2022; 46136 of 2016; 35891, 37260, 38224, 40700, 41232, 46122 of 2018; 888 of 2019; 8179, 14039, 14878 & 20719 of 2020; 182, 362, 607, 681, 817, 969, 1229, 1406, 1527, 1646, 1851, 1863, 2476, 2600, 2624, 2625, 4924, 4938, 5020, 9437, 14169 & 23785 of 2021

PROCEEDINGS SHEET

Sl. No.	Date	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
19.	17.02.2025	<u>PSK,J & NNR,J</u> Counsel for the petitioner(s): Mr. M. Surender Rao, learned Senior Counsel representing Mr.Srinivasa Rao Madiraju; Mr. P. Venkata Krihnaiah, learned counsel for the petitioner in Writ Petition No.14878 of 2020. Counsel for the respondent(s): Mr. A. Raghuram, learned Additional Government Pleader appearing on behalf of Mr. B. Rajeshwar Reddy, learned Government Pleader for the State of Andhra Pradesh. Mr. B. Krishna, learned Government Pleader for Home (Services), for the respondents. Counsel for respondent No.7: Mr. Srinivas Kapatia, learned Special Public Prosecutor for the Central Bureau of Investigation. To-day when the batch of writ petitions were taken up for hearing, it has been brought to the notice of this Court of the order in the case of Yelukolu Venkateswarlu vs. State of Andhra Pradesh and others¹ passed by a learned Single Judge of the High Court of Andhra Pradesh, whereby the learned Single	Transferred to i/o folder, before corrections, if any

¹ Order dated 13.01.2025 in Writ Petition No.967 of 2020

	Judge allowed the said writ petition and struck down G.O.Ms.No.153 Home (Services-I) Department, dated 05.10.2018, issued by the State of Andhra Pradesh. It has also been argued by the learned counsel on both sides that since the aforesaid G.O.Ms.No.153 has been struck down by the learned Single Judge of the High Court of Andhra Pradesh, the consequential steps taken by the Government of Andhra Pradesh pursuant to G.O.Ms.No.153 also would have to be re-looked into. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State of Andhra Pradesh, on the other hand, submitted that the Government of Andhra Pradesh is in the process of taking appropriate steps pursuant to the order passed in Yelukolu Venkateswarlu (supra). Learned counsel for the petitioners meanwhile have submitted that it would be far more in the interest of justice if for the purpose of laying down the disputes to rest once and for all, the respective State Governments may prepare the Seniority List of the employees who stand allocated to two States including the petitioners herein, all of whom were presently working in the State of Telangana; and if such a proper Seniority List in terms of	
--	---	--

	G.O.Ms.No.54, dated 22.02.2024 (which was subsequently rectified on 13.12.2016 prepared by the State of Telangana) is acted upon in letter and spirit including that of the consequential promotions, perhaps the entire dispute can be laid to rest once and for all. However, Mr. B. Krishna, learned Government Pleader for Home (Services), appearing for the respondents, prayed for a short adjournment to take specific instructions particularly on the aspect of promotions that have already been effected to, all of which will now have to be re-looked into pursuant to the decision of the Andhra Pradesh High Court (referred supra). As prayed for by the learned Government Pleader for Home (Services), list the batch of writ petitions for further hearing on 03.03.2025. _____ PSK,J _____ NNR,J ndr	
--	---	--