

(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
THURSDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E.V. VENUGOPAL
CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 1353 OF 2019

Between:

Dr. (Col) M.Sitaram, S/o. Late M.Rama Rao

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Telangana, rep by Public Prosecutor, High Court at Hyderabad for the State of Telangana.
2. T.S.Anand Kumar, S/o. Late VTS Murthy, Occ: Advocate, R/o. Flat No. 312, III Floor, Sagar Residency, Indira Nagar, APHB Colony, Moula Ali, Hyderabad.

Respondents

WHEREAS the Petitioner above named through his Advocate M/S INDUS LAW FIRM presented this under section 397 and 401 of Cr.P.C against the Order dated 05.12.2014 passed in C.C.(SR)No. 2737 of 2014 by the Learned III Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Nampally, Hyderabad.

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and affidavit filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri M/S INDUS LAW FIRM, Advocate for the Petitioner, directed issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause as to why this CRIMINAL REVISION CASE should not be admitted.

You viz:

T.S.Anand Kumar, S/o. Late V.T.S Murthy, Occ: Advocate, R/o. Flat No. 312, III Floor, Sagar Residency, Indira Nagar, APHB Colony, Moula Ali, Hyderabad.

are directed to show cause on or before 29.02.2024 to which date the case stands posted as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the affidavit filed therewith (copy enclosed) this CRIMINAL REVISION CASE should not be admitted.

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

Learned counsel for the petitioner is present.

Learned counsel for the petitioner filed a memo vide USR.No.14398/2024 enclosing the Order dated 24.05.2017 passed by the District Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum-I, Hyderabad and would submit Revision petitioner were not held responsible.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the Order passed by the District Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum-I, Hyderabad establish that the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner are incorrect.

In the light of the above, it is necessary to hear the unofficial respondent.

Issue notice to respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is also permitted to take out personal notice to the respondent No.2 and file proof of service in the registry.

Post the matter on 29.02.2024.

**SD/-C.PRAVEEN KUMAR
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. T.S.Anand Kumar, S/o. Late VTS Murthy, Occ: Advocate, R/o. Flat No. 312, III Floor, Sagar Residency, Indira Nagar, APHB Colony, Moula Ali, Hyderabad. (by RPAD- along with a copy of petition and memorandum of grounds)
2. One CC to SRI. M/S INDUS LAW FIRM, Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CCs to PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, High Court at Hyderabad [OUT]
4. One CC to SRI. 9999/PARTY-IN-PERSON Advocate [OPUC]
5. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

EVVJ

DATED:15/02/2024

POST THE MATTER ON 29.02.2024.

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

CRLRC.No.1353 of 2019

