

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

TUESDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF FEBRUARY

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

**:PRESENT:
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E.V. VENUGOPAL**

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 1353 OF 2019

Between:

Dr. (Col) M.Sitaram, S/o. Late M.Rama Rao

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Telangana, rep by Public Prosecutor, High Court at Hyderabad for the State of Telangana.
2. T.S.Anand Kumar, S/o. Late VTS Murthy, Occ: Advocate, R/o. Flat No. 312, III Floor, Sagar Residency, Indira Nagar, APHB Colony, Moula Ali, Hyderabad.

Respondents

Petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to extend the interim Order dated 15-11-2023 till disposal of the CrIRC No. 1353 of 2019, Pending disposal of CRLRC No. 1353 of 2019, on the file of the High Court.

IA.NO.3 OF 2015 (CrI.RC.MP(SR).No.25175 of 2015)

Petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C., praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in the Revision, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings in C.C. No. 2595 of 2014 on the file of III Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Nampally, Hyderabad, including the appearance of the petitioner.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the petition and the grounds filed in CrI.R.C., and the order of the High Court dated. 30-07-2015, 25-10-2018, 15.11.2018 & 18-12-2018 made in CrIRCMP.No.2318 of 2015, order dated 12-10-2018 made in IA.No. 1/2018 and order dated 23-04-2019 made in IA Nos. 1, 2 & 3 of 2018, IA.No.1 of 2019, and order dt. 28-10-2019 and order dt. 06-11-2019 in IA No.3 of 2019, order dated 26.11.2019 made in CrI.R.C. No. 1353 of 2019 and order dated 31-12-2019 made in IA.No.4 of 2019 and order dated 17.02.2020 and made in IA.No.1 of 2020 & order dated 23-04-2021 made in IA.No.2 of 2020 & order dated 25-08-2021 made in IA.No.1 of 2021 & order dated 27.09.2021 made in CrI.RC.1353 of 2019, 15.11.2023 made in I.A No. 1 of 2023 & 22.01.2024 and upon

hearing the arguments of M/s. Indus Law Firm, Advocate for the Petitioner and Public Prosecutor for the Respondent No.1, the Court made the following

ORDER:-

Sri VRM Prashanth Reddy, learned counsel representing M/s.Indus Law Firm, learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the learned District Consumer Redressal Commission, Hyderabad as well as the Medical Council, after conducting thorough enquiry, found the petitioner not guilty and accordingly a clean chit was given in his favour and in such circumstances, taking cognizance for the offences under Sections 304-A, 406 and 420 of IPC against the petitioner vide the impugned order dated 05.12.2014 passed in CC SR No.27372 of 2014 by the learned III Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad is an erroneous and hence, the same may be set aside. Thus stating he requested time for making submissions.

Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor, representing learned Public Prosecutor for the State/1st respondent is present.

No representation on behalf of the 2nd respondent.

Post the matter on 13.02.2024.

Till such time, the interim order granted earlier on 22.01.2024 stands extended.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- N. SRIHARI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The III Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Nampally, Hyderabad.
2. Two CCs to the Public Prosecutor, High Court, Hyd (OUT)
3. One CC to M/s. Indus Law Firm, Advocate (OPUC)
4. One spare Copy.

HIGH COURT

EVV,J

DATED:06/02/2024

POST ON:13.02.2024

ORDER

CRLRC.No.1353 of 2019



INTERIM ORDER EXTENDED