

**THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX
:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E.V. VENUGOPAL

WRIT PETITION NO: 40828 OF 2025

Between:

Mounica Paspunoori @ Mounica Aitha, D/o. Late Chandrashekar W/o. Aitha Pradeep R/o. Bhongir, currently residing at 865 Monterey Ct, Brentwood, California, United States of America 94513, Rep. by GPA holder Sri Narender Mara, S/o Ravinder, R/o. H.No 2-114/1A, Mubarak Nagar, Nizamabad, Telangana - 503001

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep by Principal Secretary Home, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Superintendent of Police, Yadadri-Bhongir District at Bhongir.
3. The Station House Officer, P.S Bongir Town.
4. VinodhaPaspunoori, S/o. Chandrashekar, R/o. Bongir, Native Address (India)- H.No.1-3-25, Kisan Nagar, Bhongir Town, Yadadri-Bhongir District, Telangana - 508116 Currently Residing at 5415 Vendelay Lane, Cumming, Georgia - 30040, United States of America
5. Mounica Pasupunoori @ Mounica Challa, W/o. Vinodha, Occ. Employee in USA, R/o. H.No.1-3-25, Kisan Nagar, Bhongir Town, Yadadri-Bhongir District, Telangana - 508116 Currently Residing at 5415 Vendelay Lane, Cumming, Georgia - 30040, United States of America.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ more particularly in the nature of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent No.3 not taking any action on his complaints of 2024 or the complaint recently lodged on 05.11.2025 is challenged in this writ petition as being illegal, arbitrary and violative of Articles 14, 19 (1) (g), 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India consequently, direct the respondent No.3 to initiate the action against respondent No. 4 and 5 for the offences under Section 406 and 420 of IPC or Section 316 and 318 of BNS and prosecute the as per law.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court dated 05.01.2026 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of SRI VIJAY B PAROPAKARI, Advocate for the Petitioner and GP FOR HOME for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3, the Court made the following

ORDER:

Learned counsel for the petitioner is present and he would submit that *vide* order, dated 05.01.2026, this Court directed respondent No.3- Station House Officer, Bhongir Town Police Station to appear before this Court by the next date of hearing. As seen from the docket proceedings, there was no appearance on behalf of respondent No.3 for the last couple of occasions.

Today, when the matter is taken up for consideration, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, on written instructions dated 05.01.2026 furnished by the Sub-Inspector of Police, Bhongir Town Police Station, would submit that the petitioner's complaint was enquired upon and closed as 'civil in nature'.

Though it has been stated by the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home that the complaint lodged by the petitioner was closed as 'civil in nature', but the order, dated 05.01.2026 passed by this Court has not been complied with accordingly. Hence, this Court directs respondent No.3 to be present before this Court on 25.03.2026.

Delete from the caption "for dismissal".

List on 25.03.2026 under the caption "for appearance of respondent No.3".

Sd/- A.JAYASREE
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Station House Officer, P.S Bongir Town. @
2. One CC to SRI VIJAY B PAROPAKARI, Advocate [OPUC] ✓
3. Two CCs to GP FOR HOME, High Court at Hyderabad. [OUT]
4. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

EVVJ, J

DATED:24.03.2026

POST ON 25.03.2026

Under the caption

“For appearance of respondent No.3”

ORDER



WP.No.40828 of 2025

DIRECTION