

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

MAIN CASE No. WRIT PETITION No.40345 of 2025

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFIC E NOTE
2.	31.12.202 5	<u>SK, J</u> <u>W.P.No.40345 of 2025</u> Notice before Admission. Learned Government Pleader for Stamps and Registration takes notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and waives further notice. Mr. Mohammed Omer Farooq, learned counsel takes notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and waives further notice. Learned Government Pleader for Education takes notice on behalf of respondent No.3 and waives further notice. Sri S.Niranjan Reddy, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioners submits there is a dispute between the petitioner No.1-Society and the respondent No.3 in S.O.P.No.10 of 2024 pending on the file of the VI Additional District and Sessions Judge, Ranga Reddy District, at Kukatpally and the Division Bench of this Court <i>vide</i> Judgment dated 26.09.2025 in C.M.A.No.314 of 2025 permitted the respondent No.2 herein as well as the newly appointed General Secretary to the petitioner No.1-Society to function together with equal say in all matters of day-to-day administration, with a system of checks and balances. This arrangement shall continue till the trial Court disposes of the SOP. The said orders were confirmed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in S.L.P.(Civil). No.35368/2025 <i>vide</i> order	<i>Transferred to IO folder before making corrections, if any.</i>

	dated 12.12.2025. Learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioners further submits that, while it being so, the respondent No.2 made a representation dated 06.12.2025 to the respondent No.1, requesting prompt action and to keep the abeyance of the change of bye-laws of the petitioner No.1-Society. In response to the same, the respondent No.1 passed the impugned orders dated 15.12.2025 <i>vide</i> Procgs.No./10125/Society/2025, stating that the Society shall be kept in abeyance until the trial Court disposes of the SOP and until further orders are issued. The said action of the respondent No.1, without any power or jurisdiction, is illegal and arbitrary and the same is liable to be set-aside. In view of the same, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioners requested to grant interim direction. On the other hand, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2-Caveater submits that subsequent to the disposal of the C.M.A.No.314 of 2025 by this Court, the petitioner No.1-Society is attempting to change the bye-laws. In view of the same, the respondent No.2 made a representation to the respondent No.1 requesting the abeyance of the change of bye-laws of the petitioner No.1-Society and accordingly, the respondent No.1 passed the present impugned orders. Further, learned counsel for respondent No.2 fairly conceded that the respondent No.1-District Registrar of Societies has no power to keep the petitioner No.1-Society in abeyance, but requested not to pass any interim orders in the present Writ Petition and seeks time to file counter. On the other hand, learned Assistant	...contd.
--	--	-----------

	Government Pleader for Stamps and Registration seeks time to file counter. After hearing both sides and on a perusal of the entire material on record, this Court is of the considered view that the respondent No.1-District Registrar of Societies, without any power or jurisdiction, has passed the impugned order keeping the petitioner No.1-Society in abeyance until the trial Court disposes of the SOP <i>vide</i> Procs.No./10125/Society/2025 dated 15.12.2025. In view of the same, there shall be an interim suspension of the operation of Procs.No./10125/Society/2025 dated 15.12.2025 issued by respondent No.1. Post after four (4) weeks. List on 04.02.2026. The Registry is directed to post this matter before appropriate Bench dealing with matters pertaining to Stamps and Registrations. SK, J MVM	...contd.
--	--	-----------

--	--	--	--