

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**FRIDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.V.SHRAVAN KUMAR**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 35209 OF 2017**

**Between:**

G Suman, S/o Ganya, aged about 26 years, Occ Business, R/o. Etigadda Thanda Of Mahabubabad Mandal and District.

**...PETITIONER**

**AND**

1. The State of Telangana, rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue (Excise) Department Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Deputy Commissioner of Proh. & Excise, Warangal Division, Warangal District.
3. The Station House Officer, Proh. and Excise Station Mahabubabad, Mahabubabad District.

**...RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus, declaring the action of the 2 respondent in not releasing the stocks ie., 10000 kgs of black jaggery (200 gunny bags) each containing 50 kgs seized in Cr.No. 329 of 2017 by the 3rd respondent inspite of petitioners readiness to furnish the third party surety as being illegal and unjust and consequently direct the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent to issue the orders for release of the above said stocks.

**I.A. NO: 1 OF 2017(WPMP. NO: 43737 OF 2017)**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the 2nd respondent to release the stocks ie., 10000 kgs of black jaggery (200 gunny bags) each containing 50 kgs seized in Cr.No. 329 of 2017 by the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent by accepting the third party surety, pending disposal of the main Writ Petition.

**Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI B. MURALIDHAR**

**Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR PROHIBITION & EXCISE**

**The Court made the following: ORDER**

**HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.V.SHRAVAN KUMAR**

**WRIT PETITION No.35209 OF 2017**

**ORDER:**

Today when the matter has been taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that due to efflux of time, the cause in the writ petition does not survive for further adjudication.

2. In view of the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

3. Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed. No order as to costs.

**SD/-L. VIJAYA LAXMI  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

**//TRUE COPY//**

**SECTION OFFICER**

**To**


1. One CC to SRI B. MURALIDHAR, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP for Prohibition & Excise, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad. [OUT]
3. Two CD Copies

MP  
BS



**HIGH COURT**

**DATED:07/11/2025**



**ORDER**

**WP.No.35209 of 2017**

**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION  
AS INFRACTUOUS  
WITHOUT COSTS**

*GNT*  
17/3/26