

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
 MONDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF JANUARY
 TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR JUKANTI
WRIT PETITION NO: 40160 OF 2025

Between:

ZVS- Ratna-Sushee (JV), C/o. M/s. Sushee Infra and Mining Limited, Office at Sri Nilaya Cyber Spazio, #8-2-269/A/2/1 to 6, Ground Floor, Road No.2, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad, TS - 500 034. Rep. by its Authorized Signatory Mr. Adla Jaipal Reddy

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Irrigation and CAD Department, Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Telangana State Secretariat, Hyderabad, TS -500 029.
2. The Special Chief Secretary to Govt, State of Telangana. Irrigation and CAD Department, Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Telangana State Secretariat, Hyderabad, TS - 500 029.
3. The Engineer-in-Chief, Irrigation, LMD Colony, Karimnagar, TS - 505 527
4. The Superintending Engineer, , Irrigation Circle -I, LMD Colony, Karimnagar, TS - 505 527.
5. The Executive Engineer, landCADD, Irrigation Division -I of Irrigation Circle- I, LMD Colony, Karimnagar Dist., TS- 505 527.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or direction, one more particularly in the nature of Writ of Mandamus

A.Declaring the action of Respondent No.5 in issuing the impugned notice vide Lr. No. EE/ID No.1/I.C.1/Supdt/1034 dated 02.12.2025, as being illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and violative of Articles 14, 19 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently quash the impugned notice vide Lr. No. EE/ID No.1/I.C.1/Supdt/1034 dated 02.12.2025

B.Directing the Respondents to forthwith consider and dispose of the Petitioner's representations dated 27.03.2024 and 27.04.2024 by passing a reasoned and speaking order, within a time frame to be fixed by this Hon'ble Court

C.Directing the Respondents not to insist upon extension/renewal of the Bank Guarantees pending consideration and disposal of the aforesaid representations and settlement of the Final Bill;

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the impugned notice vide Lr. No. EE/ID No.1/IC.1, Supdt 1034 dated 02.12.2025 issued by the Respondent No.5, pending disposal of WP 40160 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court dated. 29.12.2025 and upon hearing the arguments of SRI METTU NIRANJAN REDDY Advocate for the Petitioner and GP FOR IRRI AND COMM AREA DEV for the Respondents, the Court made the following.

ORDER

Both the learned counsels invited the attention of this Court to the orders passed in various writ petitions with regard to the same subject matter.

The entire controversy revolves around the bank guarantee, invocation of bank guarantee, interest on mobilization advance, penalty and interest on penalty. This being the gist of the matter, this Court deems it appropriate to direct the petitioner to extend the bank guarantee for a period of three (03) weeks.

In the meanwhile, petitioner shall make a representation to the respondent authorities with regard to the issues pleaded before this Court and respondent authorities shall dispose of the representation within a period of ten (10) days from the date of receipt of representation.

Petitioner was awarded a contract way back in the year 2006 and things seem to have gone in a tangential direction, though there are orders of this Court. The entire controversy being related to contractual terms, it is always just and necessary to abide by the contractual terms.

Be that as it may, it is observed from the record that though the contract work was entrusted to petitioner on 10.02.2006, portion of works were withdrawn on 06.08.2011 by invoking clause 60(c) of the Andhra Pradesh Detailed Standard Specifications. This kind of issues being time and again cropping up before the Court is only eating away the Court's valuable time.

Respondent authorities are requested to give a quietus to the entire issue by passing a detailed order within a period of ten (10) days from the date of receipt of representation of petitioner.

Interim order granted earlier on 29.12.2025 shall stand extended for a period of "THREE WEEKS ONLY" i.e., till 27.01.2026.

In the mean time, petitioners shall submit a bank guarantee to the extent as indicated in the order dated 02.12.2025 (Ex.P1), without fail.

Post the matter on 27.01.2026.

SD/- A.H.S. GOWRI SHANKAR
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI. METTU NIRANJAN REDDY Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP FOR IRRI AND COMM AREA DEV ,High Court at Hyderabad. [OUT]
3. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

JAKJ

DATED:05.01.2026

POST ON 27.01.2026

ORDER

WP.No.40160 of 2025

EXTENSION OF INTERIM ORDER

