

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

MAIN CASE: CrI.A.No.1385 of 2025

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
	08.04.2026	<u>KL, J & BRMR, J</u> <u>I.A.No.1 of 2025</u> Heard Mr. L.Ram Singh, learned counsel representing Mr. Syed Attaullah Rashed, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Syed Yasar Mamoon, learned Additional Public Prosecutor. Petitioner herein is accused No.1 in S.C. No.153 of 2018 on the file of the learned Special Sessions Judge for Trial of Cases Relating to Atrocities against Women-cum-IV Additional District and Sessions Judge, Nalgonda. <i>Vide</i> the impugned Judgment dated 11.12.2025, the learned trial Court convicted the petitioner /accused No.1 for the offences punishable under Sections 376 and 417 of IPC and sentenced him to undergo life imprisonment. However, learned trial Court acquitted accused No.2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that there are civil disputes between the petitioner and the brother of the victim girl and a suit in O.S.No.9 of 2015 was filed for specific performance. It is pending. The contents of the complaint and depositions lack ingredients of the offence under Section 376 of IPC. Petitioner underwent two surgeries. Even FSL report	

		does not disclose the alleged intercourse between the petitioner and PW.1 – <i>de facto</i> complainant. Without considering the said aspects, learned trial Court convicted the petitioner for the aforesaid offences and imposed life imprisonment. Whereas, learned Additional Public Prosecutor would contend that basing on Ex.P.1 complaint, depositions of PW.1 and PW.7 - Doctor, who treated the victim, learned trial Court convicted the petitioner. The offences committed by him are grave and serious in nature. PW.1 is the victim girl. She has narrated the entire facts. She has specifically stated that accused No.1 made her to believe that he is a baba and he would cure her disease by tying tayattu (sacred thread). Thus, he exploited her sexually. PW.7 – Doctor, who treated the victim, opined that there was an indicative of habitual sexual intercourse. Ex.P.6 is preliminary examination report issued by PW.7, Ex.P.7 is the FSL report and Ex.P.8 is final opinion. On consideration of the said aspects only, learned trial Court convicted the petitioner for the aforesaid offences. <i>Prima facie</i>, there are specific allegations against him. The offences committed by him are grave and serious in nature. In the light of the aforesaid discussion, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Therefore,	
--	--	---	--

this application is liable to be dismissed and accordingly, the same is dismissed.

KL, J

BRMR, J

Crl.A.No.1385 of 2025

List on 29.07.2026.

B/o.
Pns