

[3257]

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
FRIDAY ,THE NINETEENTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE JUVVADI SRIDEVI

IA No. 1 OF 2025
IN
CRLRC NO: 976 OF 2025

Between:

Satpal Singh,, S/o Govind Singh, Aged about 36 years, Occ. Government Employee (Police Constable), R/o H.No.21-4-475, Charmahal, Petlaburj, Bahadurpura, Hyderabad.

...Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Telangana,, Through Public Prosecutor, High Court at Hyderabad, Hyderabad.
2. Smt. Satnam Kaur,, W/o Satpal Singh, Aged about 23 years, Occ. Housewife, R/o 7-1-99/C, Beside Sheeshmahal, Ameerpet, Hyderabad
3. Master Sardar Maha Singh, S/o. Satpal Singh, Aged about 3 years (minor), Represented by his natural mother and guardian, Respondent No.2 herein.

...Respondents
(Respondents in-do-)

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI MARAM ANIL KUMAR
Counsel for the Respondent No.1:PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the criminal petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay the operation and execution of the impugned order dated 31.10.2025 passed in M.C. No.36 of 2023 by the I Additional Family Court-cum-XIV Additional Metropolitan Sessions Court, Hyderabad and a proper in the interests of justice pending disposal of CRLRC No. 976 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

The Court made the following

ORDER

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the trial Court erred in granting monthly maintenance of Rs.10,000/- each to respondent Nos.2 and 3. He further submits that the trial Court failed to properly consider the actual net income of the petitioner and proceeded on assumptions, ignoring statutory deductions, family liabilities and medical expenses of his parents. In fact,

respondent No.1 is earning more income than the petitioner. The petitioner has fair chances of success in the revision. Hence, he prayed to suspend the impugned order.

The submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner do require detailed examination. However, the impugned order dated 31.10.2025 passed in M.C.No.36 of 2023 by the learned I Additional Family Court-cum-XIV Additional Metropolitan Sessions Judge, Hyderabad, is hereby suspended till 23.01.2026, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall pay maintenance @ Rs.5,000/- per month each to respondent Nos.2 and 3, totaling to Rs.10,000/-, on or before 10th of every succeeding month, from the date of this order.
- ii. In default of payment of maintenance as directed herein, the suspension of the impugned order stands vacated.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/-S.MALLIKARJUNA RAO
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. I Additional Family Court-cum- XIV Additional Metropolitan Sessions Court, Hyderabad.
2. Smt. Satnam Kaur,, W/o Satpal Singh, Occ. Housewife, R/o 7-1-99/C, Beside Sheeshmahal, Ameerpet, Hyderabad. (BY SPAD)
3. One CC to SRI. MARAM ANIL KUMAR Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, High Court at Hyderabad (OUT)
5. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

JSJ

DATED:19/12/2025

ORDER

**IA.NO. 1 OF 2025
IN
CRLRC.No.976 of 2025**

STAY

