

[3447]

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
TUESDAY, THE THIRTY FIRST DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P.SAM KOSHY
AND
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NARSING RAO NANDIKONDA

IA No. 1 OF 2026
IN
TREVC NO: 1 OF 2026

Between:

M/s. Reliance Industries Ltd., Somajiguda, Hyderabad

...Petitioner
(Petitioner in TREVC 1 OF 2026
on the file of High Court)

AND

The State of Telangana, Represented by the State Representative before the
Telangana VAT Appellate Tribunal, Hyderabad.

...Respondent
(Respondents in-do-)

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI SHAIK JEELANI BASHA, representing SRI
V SIDDHARTH REDDY
Counsel for the Respondent : SRI SWAROOP OORILLA, SPL GP FOR STATE
TAXES

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in
the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased To grant
stay of all further proceedings including any recovery proceedings through coercive
measures for disputed tax of Rs.1,23,73,787/- for the period 2007-08 under TVAT
Act, 2005, in pursuance of the impugned recovery notice issued by the 1st
respondent dated 17.02.2026, pending disposal of TREVC No. 1 of 2026, on the file
of the High Court.

The Court made the following
ORDER

**Mr. Shaik Jeelani Basha, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Mr.
V.Siddharth Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner.**

**Mr. Swaroop Oorilla, learned Special Government Pleader for the State
Tax for the respondent.**

The instant tax revision case has already been admitted on substantial questions of law by order dated 02.02.2026. The reason for filing of the instant interlocutory application was the subsequent demand raised by the department.

The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was enjoying the interim protection of stay right up till the proceedings pending before the Tribunal. Moreover, by now, the petitioner has already paid more than 38% of the demand raised by the department, including pre-deposit made at the time of filing of the appeal.

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, we are inclined to protect the petitioner so far as demand raised is concerned. With regard to the further demand is concerned, the respondent is restrained from initiating any coercive steps against the petitioner pursuant to the demand raised, pending the tax revision case.

Post this tax revision case in its usual course.

SD/- A SREENIVASA REDDY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. Telangana Value Added Tax Appellate Tribunal Hyderabad.
2. One CC to SRI. V SIDDHARTH REDDY Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CCs to SPECIAL GP FOR STATE TAX, High Court at Hyderabad.(OUT)
4. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

PSKJ
&
NNRJ

DATED:31/03/2026

POST THIS TAX REVISION CASE IN ITS USUAL COURSE

ORDER

IA.NO. 1 OF 2026
IN
TREV.No.1 of 2026

STAY

