



2026:TSHC:3342-DB

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA**MAIN CASE: W.P.No.39063 of 2025****PROCEEDINGS SHEET**

Sl. No.	Date	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	19.12.2025	<u>PSK,J & SCR,J</u> Counsel for the petitioner : Mr. B. Mayur Reddy, learned Senior Counsel representing Mr. Paida Pratik Reddy Counsel for respondent Nos. 1 & 2: Mr. B.Narasimha Sarma, learned Additional Solicitor General of India Counsel for respondent No.3 : Ms. M.Shalini, learned Government Pleader for Services II Counsel for respondent No.4 : Mr. M. Murali Krishna Mr. B. Mayur Reddy, learned Senior Counsel along with Mr. Paida Pratik Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner. Mr. B. Narasimha Sarma, learned Additional Solicitor General of India for respondent Nos.1 & 2. Ms. M.Shalini, learned Government Pleader for Services-II for respondent No.3. Mr. M. Murali Krishna, learned counsel for respondent No.4. The matter revolves around the pension payable to the petitioner, who is a former Judge of this High Court, and the whole dispute seems to be in respect of non-implementation of the switching over of the old pension scheme from the new pension scheme which the petitioner had opted while she was	Transferred to i/o folder, before corrections, if any.



		working as a Judicial Officer in the parent High Court i.e., in the State of Uttar Pradesh, which falls under the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad. The learned Additional Solicitor General submits that there are a couple of such instances that have occurred in the recent past and the office of Accountant General is in the process of clarifying the same and appropriate action shall be taken at the earliest. Meanwhile, the learned Additional Solicitor General has also sought for a direction to the State Government officials i.e., officials under respondent No.3, particularly the Accounts Department of the State Government to ensure that the sanctioning authority processes the case of the petitioner in accordance with the rules, regulations and the correspondences that have been made from the High Court of Allahabad at the first instance, followed by the letters and correspondences made by the High Court of Judicature for the State of Telangana as well to the State Government. Let the matter be now taken up on 05.01.2026, by which time the respondent Counsel should seek all positive instructions in respect of the developments that have transpired in the matter. PSK,J SCR,J <i>Lrkm</i>	
--	--	---	--

