



2026:TSHC:3073-DB

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

MAIN CASE No: W.A.Nos.1237, 1444 and 1475 of 2025

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	Date	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
4.	02.03.2026	<u>HCJ (AKrS,J) & GMM,J</u> <u>I.A.Nos.1 of 2026 and 2 of 2025 in W.A.No.1237 of 2025;</u> <u>I.A.Nos.1 of 2026 and 1 of 2025 in W.A.No.1444 of 2025;</u> <u>and</u> <u>I.A.Nos.1 of 2026 and 1 of 2025 in W.A.No.1475 of 2025</u> Mr. S.Rahul Reddy, learned Special Government Pleader attached to the office of the learned Additional Advocate General, appears for the appellants. Mr. Kowturu Pavan Kumar, learned counsel appears for the respondents. W.A.No.1237 of 2025 arises out of W.P.No.2334 of 2021 wherein both the order dated 05.11.2024 passed in W.P.No.2334 of 2021 and the order dated 07.08.2025 passed in Review I.A.No.2 of 2025 are under challenge. W.A.Nos.1444 and 1475 of 2025 have been preferred against the common order dated 11.12.2024 passed in W.P.Nos.33742 and 33421 of 2024, which were disposed of following the direction passed in W.P.No.2334 of 2021. All the appeals suffer from delays, for condonation of which these interlocutory applications have been preferred. I.A.No.1 of 2026 in all the writ appeals have been filed seeking permission to file additional affidavits in support of the condone delay applications. Learned Special Government Pleader for the appellants has referred to paragraph 3 of I.A.No.1 of 2026 in W.A.No.1237 of 2025 in which the order dated 05.11.2024 passed by the writ Court	



	was first in point of time. He submits that the learned writ Court has, by the impugned order, directed the respondents to amend the Rules and consider the case of the writ petitioners for promotion to the post of Sub-Inspectors from the date on which the posts of Sub-Inspectors are vacant. The case of the writ petitioners for promotion as Sub-Inspectors shall be in accordance with seniority after granting notional promotion as Head Constables from the year 2005 when the vacancies of Head Constables arise. The appellants are aggrieved by the impugned directions and specially the direction to amend the Rules. It is submitted that after passing of the impugned order, the respondents filed review on 28.03.2025 i.e., within four months of passing of the impugned order. The delay in filing the review application was condoned by the learned review Court on 07.08.2025. But the review was dismissed. The certified copy of the order in review was obtained on 17.09.2025 and the W.A.No.1237 of 2025 was filed on 26.09.2025. The other writ petitions i.e., W.P.Nos.33742 and 33421 of 2024 were disposed of granting similar relief by order dated 11.12.2024, which have also been challenged on the same grounds. It is submitted that since the delay in filing review application was condoned, there is no inordinate delay in preferring the present appeals. It is submitted that the delay condonation applications may be allowed and the appeals may be heard on merits. Learned counsel for the writ petitioners has opposed the prayer. However, upon consideration of rival submissions of the parties and the explanation urged, we find that the first order in point of time was challenged in review after some delay and the delay in filing the review petition has been condoned. Therefore, there is no unexplained delay in preferring the appeal after dismissal of the review petition. Similar is the case in the other	
--	--	--



		Writ Appeal Nos.1444 and 1475 of 2025 which have followed the order dated 05.11.2024 passed in W.P.No.2334 of 2021. In such circumstances, delay in filing these appeals is condoned. All the interlocutory applications are, accordingly, allowed. _____ HCJ (AKrS,J) _____ GMM,J <u>W.A.Nos.1237, 1444 and 1475 of 2025</u> Let the matters appear on 18.03.2026 under the caption “For Admission”. _____ HCJ (AKrS,J) _____ GMM,J KL	
--	--	--	--