

**THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

MONDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J SREENIVAS RAO
CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 16492 OF 2025

Between:

M/s.Cybage Software Private Limited, Rep. by its Managing Director, Mr. Arun Vijay Nathani, CybageTowers, Sy.No.13A/1+2+3/1, Vadgaon Sheri, Pune, Maharashtra State-411014.

Petitioner/Accused No.2

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Represented by the Station House Officer, PS. CCS (INSP Admin (DD)), Hyderabad, Through the Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana, Hyderabad.
2. Pothureddy Nataraj Srinivas Sandeep, S/o P. Ramesh Banu, Occ.Business, R/o.#308, Liberty Plaza, Himayathnagar, Hyderabad, Telananga - 500029.

Respondents/De-facto Complainant

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS, praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to call for records in FIR No.105 of 2025 on the file of the CCS (INSP Admin (DD)), Hyderabad against the Petitioner herein and quash the same;

IA NO: 2 OF 2025

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS, praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant stay of all further proceedings in FIR No.105 of 2025 on the file of the CCS (INSP Admin (DD)), Hyderabad against the Petitioner herein, pending disposal of CRLP No.16492 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the grounds filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court order dated 02.03.2026 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Ms.Saniya Benazir, learned counsel, representing Mr.N.Naveen Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner and Addl. Public Prosecutor for the Respondent No.1.

The Court made the following: ORDER:

Ms.Saniya Benazir, learned counsel, representing Mr.N.Naveen Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that pursuant to the order dated 02.03.2026, they sent personal notice to respondent No.2

by way of SPAD and the same was returned with a postal endorsement as 'no such person in the said address'. She further submits that the petitioner may be permitted to take out fresh notice to the correct address.

In view of the same, learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out fresh personal notice to the correct address of respondent No.2 by way of SPAD and in any other mode which is available under law and file proof of service.

Post on 13.07.2026.

Interim order granted earlier shall stand extended till 31.07.2026.

Sd/- A.H.S.GOWRI SHANKAR
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The XII Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate at Nampally, Hyderabad.
2. The Station House Officer, PS. CCS (INSP Admin (DD)), Hyderabad.
3. One CC to SRI N.NAVEEN KUMAR, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, High Court at Hyderabad [OUT]
5. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

JSR, J

DATED:20.04.2026

POST ON 13.07.2026

ORDER

CRLP.No.16492 of 2025

EXTENSION OF INTERIM ORDER

