



**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

WEDNESDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUDDALA CHALAPATHI RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 36846 OF 2014

Between:

1. J.Janardhan Reddy, S/o. Narsimha Reddy, Aged about 52 years, Occ Agriculture, R/o.H.No.9-7-130/3, Maruthi Nagar, Champapet, Ranga Reddy District.
2. K.S.N.Vishnu, S/o. Narayana, Aged about 54 years, Occ Agriculture, R/o. H.No.17-1-382/V/1/19, Vishalinagar, Hyderabad.
3. J.Murali, S/o. Late Venkaiah, Aged about 52 years, Occ Agriculture, R/o. H.No.9-7-72/1/1, Maruthi Nagar, Santosh Nagar, Hyderabad.
4. K.Madhu Sudhan, S/o. Late Krishna, Aged about 45 years, Occ Agriculture, R/o. Balaji Nagar, Saidabad, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONERS

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, MA&UD, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Project Director, Outer ring Road Project, Tarnaka, Hyderabad.
3. The Vice Chairman, Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, Tarnaka, Hyderabad.
4. The Chief Planner, Outer Ring Road Project, Tarnaka, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ more particularly one in the nature of a Writ of Mandamus declaring that the action of the respondents in laying service road through the land of the petitioners and making the land of the petitioners in Survey Nos. 422, 424, 425, 426, 433 to 435 and 441 admeasuring of Ac. 13-00 Guntas



2026:TSHC:5297

covered by villages Kongara Khurd 'A' and 'B' Maheshwaram Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, located towards North of the Outer Ring Road/Service road passing through village Kongara Khurd 'A' and 'B' as being arbitrary, illegal and violative of Articles 14, 19 (1)(g) and 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to permit the petitioners to lay a 40" wide road leading to the petitioners land without disturbing or damaging the existing service road, as per petitioners representation dated 18-11-2014.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2014(WPMP. NO: 46111 OF 2014)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents to forthwith dispose of petitioners' representation dated 18-11-2014 requesting the respondents to permit the petitioners to lay a 40" road in the petitioners land in Sy. Nos. 422, 424, 425, 426, 433, 434, 435 and 441, Situated at Kongara Khurd 'A' and 'B', Maheshwaram Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, without disturbing or damaging the existing Service Road in front of the subject land pending disposal of the above Writ Petition.

Counsel for the Petitioners: SRI VIJAY B.PAROPAKARI

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP FOR MCPL ADMN & URBAN DEV

**Counsel for the Respondent No.2 to 4: SRI V.NARASIMHA GOUD,
SC FOR HMDA**

The Court made the following: ORDER



2026:TSHC:5297

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE SUDDALA CHALAPATHI RAO

WRIT PETITION No.36846 of 2014

DATE: 11.02.2026

Between:

J. Janardhan Reddy & others

...Petitioners

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep., by its Principal Secretary,
MA & UD, Secretariat, Hyderabad & others.

...Respondents

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of
Constitution of India seeking the following relief:-

"...to issue an appropriate writ more particularly one in the nature of a Writ of Mandamus declaring that the action of the respondents in laying service road through the land of the petitioners and making the land of the petitioners in Survey Nos.422, 424, 425, 426, 433 to 435 and 441 admeasuring of Ac.13-00 Guntas covered by villages Kongara Khurd 'A' & 'B' Maheshwaram Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, located towards North of the Outer Ring Road/Service road passing through village Kongara Khurd 'A' & 'B' as being arbitrary, illegal and violative of Articles 14, 19 (1)(g) and 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to permit the petitioners to lay a 40" wide road leading to the petitioners land without disturbing or damaging the existing service road, as per petitioners' representation dated 18.11.2014 and to pass such other order or orders ..."

2. Heard Sri Vijay B. Paropakari, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri V. Narsimha Goud, learned Standing Counsel for HMDA for respondent Nos.2 to 4. Perused the record.

3. When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned Standing Counsel for HMDA would contend that he has filed a counter stating that as per G.O.Ms.No.470 MAUD (1), Dept, dated 09.07.2008, all lands abutting the ORR will not be allowed to have access on to the service road of the ORR., as would be detrimental to the commuters, who pass throughout the outer ring road. It is also contended that respondent Nos.2 to 4 have no power to grant such access and they are bound by the Government orders issued from time to time, and therefore, the representation made by the petitioners could not be considered by the respondent Nos.2 to 4.

4. In view of the above submission, and since the appropriate authority to look into the grievance of the petitioner is the 1st respondent-Government, the petitioners are at liberty to file appropriate application before respondent No.1, by enclosing all the relevant documents, stating all the facts so



as to show that total access is blocked to the said land belonging to the petitioners for the enjoyment the same. On such representation being made, the respondent Nos.1 to 4 shall consider the same on merits by passing appropriate orders, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt such representation.

5. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any are pending, shall stand closed.

SD/- C. DEEPIKA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, MA and UD, Secretariat, Hyderabad, State of Telangana.
2. The Project Director, Outer ring Road Project, Tarnaka, Hyderabad.
3. The Vice Chairman, Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, Tarnaka, Hyderabad.
4. The Chief Planner, Outer Ring Road Project, Tarnaka, Hyderabad.
5. One CC to SRI VIJAY B.PAROPAKARI, Advocate [OPUC]
6. One CC to SRI V.NARASIMHA GOUD, SC FOR HMDA [OPUC]
7. Two CCs to GP FOR MCPL ADMN & URBAN DEV, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]
8. Two CD Copies

BSR

GJP

Handwritten signature



2026:TSHC:5297

HIGH COURT

DATED: 11/02/2026

ORDER

WP.No.36846 of 2014



**DISPOSING OF THE WRIT PETITION,
WITHOUT COSTS**

① NT
17/3/26.