



TSHC:62602-DB

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD

C.C.C.A.No. 58 of 2011

Between:

- 1) Mr. Santosh Kumar Verma (died per lrs)
- 2) Mrs. Anitha Varma W/o late Dilip Varma aged 62 years occpn Household
- 3) Mr. Dheeraj Varma S/o Late Dilip Varma aged 41 years occpn Business Both R/o H.no. 11-2-780, Habeeb Nagar, Nampally, Hyderabad
- 4) Mrs. Mamta Verma W/o late Santosh Verma aged 72 years occpn Household R/o H.no. 11-2-780/2, Habeeb Nagar, Nampally, Hyderabad
- 5) Mr. Mahesh Verma S/o late Santosh Verma aged 51 years occpn Business R/o H.no. 11-2-780, Habeeb Nagar, Nampally, Hyderabad
- 6) ^{Varma} Mrs. Jayshree Singh W/o late Ved Prakash Singh D/o late Santosh Verma aged 54 Years occpn household R/o H.no. 11-2-780/2, Habeeb Nagar, Nampally, Hyderabad
- 7) ^{Patil} Mrs. Zubeena @ Meenakshi W/o M. A Raoof Khan D/o late Santosh Verma aged 50 Years occpn household R/o H.No. 12-2-389/A/114/A/1, Mahavir Nagar, Gudimalkapur, Hyderabad - 500 028

APPELLANT No.1 to 7

AND

- 1) Mr. Sunil Verma (Died Per Lrs)
- 2) Mr. Jayant Verma S/o late Dilip Verma aged 42 years occpn Service R/o H.no. 11-2-780/2, Habeeb Nagar, Nampally, Hyderabad Presently residing in Australia
- 3) Smt Sangeetha Verma W/o late Sunil Verma aged 55 years occpn household R/o H.No. 11-2-780, Habeeb Nagar, Hyderabad

...RESPONDENTS/
RESPONDENT No. 1 & 2

2) अनिता वर्मा

3) Jayant Verma

4) ममता वर्मा

5) Dilip Varma

6) Jayashree

7) Zubeena

Jayant Verma

3) सangeetha वर्मा

4) Anitha

5) Dilip



2025:TSHC:62602-DB

- 4) Smt. Shruti Verma D/o late Sunil Verma aged 38 years occcpn household H.No. 11-2-780, Habeeb Nagar, Hyderabad
- 5) Sri Shantanu Verma S/o late Sunil Verma aged 25 years occcpn household H.No. 11-2-780, Habeeb Nagar, Hyderabad

...RESPONDENTS

1-5

TERMS OF COMPROMISE

- 1) It is humbly submitted that the parties herein are members of the joint family. The Appellant No.1 Late Sri Santosh Kumar Verma, the Respondent No.1 Late Sri Sunil Kumar Verma and Late Sri Dilip Kumar Verma were natural brothers.
- 2) That late Sri Santosh Kumar Verma was the elder brother of the three and expired during the pendency of the appeal on 24.07.2020. The said Appellant No.1 is survived by his wife Smt. Mamta Verma, (appellant no. 4) son Sri Mahesh Kumar Varma (appellant No. 5) daughter Smt. Jayashree Singh (Appellant No.6) and daughter Mrs. Zubeena @ Meenakshi (appellant No.7) who has converted to Islam and continuing with the same religion.
- 3) That the second brother by name late Dilip Kumar Verma had expired prior to filing of the suit before the trial court as such his wife Smt. Anita Verma (Appellant No.2) his elder son Sri Jayant Verma (Respondent No.2) and his younger son Sri Dheeraj Verma (appellant No.3) are already on record.
- 4) That the younger brother by name Late Sunil Verma (plaintiff in suit below) expired during the pendency of the appeal i.e on 17.03.2017 and as such his wife Smt. Sangeeta Verma (respondent No. 3), his daughter by name Smt. Shruti Verma (respondent No. 4) and son Sri Shantanu Verma (Respondent No. 5) are brought on record. His youngest daughter by name Ms. Smita Expired unmarried as such has not been included in the array of respondents.

2) अनिता वर्मा 3) धीरज 4) रामता वर्मा 5) महेश वर्मा
6) Jayashree 7) Zubeena
Jayant Verma 8) सगीता वर्मा 9) सुति 10) श्रुति



- 5) That the Plaintiff/Respondent No.1 (late Sunil Kumar Verma) had filed a suit bearing O.S No. 12 of 2005 on the file of the Learned X Addl Chief Judge City Civil Court at Hyderabad against his elder brother (late Santosh Kumar Verma) (Defendant No.1/respondent No.1) and wife and children of Late Dilip Verma i.e Smt. Anita Verma, Sri Jayant Verma and Sri Dheeraj Verma , for partition of Schedule A, B and C properties. The details of the properties are given at the end of this memorandum.
- 6) That the parties herein have decided to come together and settle the long pending dispute between the family members and to distribute the property amicably within themselves in the manner given below to enable them live peacefully and happily without any further congestions in the family.
- 7) It has been decided by the parties that the Schedule A, B and C properties shall be divided equally among the families of the three brothers i.e late Sri Santosh Kumar Verma , late Sri Dilip Verma and late Sri Sunil Verma respectively. To be more precise the property will be initially divided among the family members in 3 parts and then out of the said 1/3rd share the family members of the respective brother shall divide the same as per their wish.
- 8) It has been decided between the parties that the properties are jointly and severally not divisible as such the properties shall be put to sale to prospective buyers and the sale consideration shall be divided among the parties which shall be clearly given in annexure to this terms of compromise.
- 9) It has been decided that the property shall be sold to prospective buyer whoever pays the highest amount and one member from each family shall be involved in the negotiation with the prospective buyer. No person shall interrupt in the deal unless he has a better offer for the said property.

Same
2) अनीता वर्मा 3) जयंत वर्मा 4) मधुसूदन वर्मा 5) अभिराम वर्मा

6) Jayashree 7) Lubina

Jayant Verma 3) अनीता वर्मा 4) सुनील वर्मा 5) शुभ



2023-TSHC:62602-DB

- 10) When a prize has been fixed and negotiated for sale of a particular property, all the parties to this "terms of compromise" shall appear before the concerned sub registrar for execution of the sale deed in favour of the prospective buyer. Only after the Sale Deed is executed, each and every person shall get his/her share of money after the documents are executed in favour of the prospective buyers. It has been clearly admitted by the parties that prior to the execution of the document, no party shall insist, nor shall be given any amount out of the said sale consideration. It is also admitted between parties that once the amount is received from the prospective buyer, the same shall be divided or the prospective buyer shall be requested to pay amounts individually to the parties.
- 11) The parties covenant that no party shall create any hurdles in the transaction and shall cooperate with the other parties and the prospective buyers for proper sale of the property.
- 12) The schedule A, B and C properties shall be sold as and when prospective purchasers buy the same. The money (sale consideration) shall be divided and paid only when the said property is sold and entire sale consideration received. No party shall rescind with this terms in any manner, more particularly on the ground of delay etc.
- 13) It has been agreed between the parties that due care and caution will be taken to get the best price for the property, but in case any of the parties create hurdle or is not satisfied then the majority decision will prevail on the same.
- 14) It has been agreed between the parties that once the transaction is completed and the amounts received, no party shall lay any claim against each other for all times to come in respect of the transaction and the suit claim for schedule A, B and C properties. The internal arrangements of the parties from 1/3rd share of original brothers i.e late Santosh Kumar Verma,

1) अनीता वर्मा 2) अनीता वर्मा 3) अनीता वर्मा 4) अनीता वर्मा 5) अनीता वर्मा
6) Jayashree 7) Lubina 8) Jayant Varma 9) अनीता वर्मा 10) अनीता वर्मा 11) अनीता वर्मा



2025:TSHC:62602-DB

late Dileep Verma and Late Sunil Verma is their respective lookout and the other parties are not concerned with the same.

15) It has been specifically admitted that the present compromise and understanding has been arrived by the parties out of their own free will, consent and approval without any force, coercion or undue influence in any manner. All the terms of settlement have been clearly explained and understood by the parties and they have no doubt about the same in any manner.

GEONOLOGICAL TREE FOR SETTLEMENT

Santosh Kumar Verma 1/3 rd	Dilip Kumar Verma 1/3 rd	Sunil Verma 1/3 rd
--	--	----------------------------------

1) Smt. Mamta Verma 2) Sri Mahesh Verma 3) Smt. Jayshree Singh 4) Smt. Zubeena @ Fatima Meenakshi Shall get 1/4 th out of the total 1/3 rd share of Late Sri Santosh Verma Smt. Mamta Verma and Smt. Jayshree Singh shall get Rs. 10,000/- each. Sri Mahesh Verma shall be entitled to the remaining 3/4 th Share in 1/3 rd share of Late Santosh Verma	1) Smt. Anita Verma shall get Rs. 10,000/- 2) Sri Jayanth Verma shall get Rs 10,000/- 3) Sri Dheeraj Verma entire share after deducting the money of his mother and brother	1) Smt. Sangeeta Verma shall get Rs. 10,000/- 2) Smt. Shruti Verma shall get Rs 10,000/- 3) Sri Shantanu Verma shall get entire share after deducting money of his mother and sister.
---	---	---

SCHEDULE "A" PROPERTY

All that premises bearing municipal No. 11-2-936, admeasuring 280 sq yards, situated at ward No. 11, Block No. 2, Bazarghat X Road, Habeeb Nagar, Hyderabad bounded by

North : Lane

South : Neighbour's House No. 11-2-935

East : Cement Road

West : Cement Road

2) अनिता वर्मा 3) Jayanth 4) समता वर्मा 5) Sangeeta Verma
6) Jayashree 7) Zubeena 8) Jayashree 9) Jayanth 10) Shruti
11) Jayashree 12) Jayashree 13) Jayashree 14) Jayashree 15) Jayashree



2025:TSHC:62602-DB

- 10) When a prize has been fixed and negotiated for sale of a particular property, all the parties to this "terms of compromise" shall appear before the concerned sub registrar for execution of the sale deed in favour of the prospective buyer. Only after the Sale Deed is executed, each and every person shall get his/her share of money after the documents are executed in favour of the prospective buyers. It has been clearly admitted by the parties that prior to the execution of the document, no party shall insist, nor shall be given any amount out of the said sale consideration. It is also admitted between parties that once the amount is received from the prospective buyer, the same shall be divided or the prospective buyer shall be requested to pay amounts individually to the parties.
- 11) The parties covenant that no party shall create any hurdles in the transaction and shall cooperate with the other parties and the prospective buyers for proper sale of the property.
- 12) The schedule A, B and C properties shall be sold as and when prospective purchasers buy the same. The money (sale consideration) shall be divided and paid only when the said property is sold and entire sale consideration received. No party shall rescind with this terms in any manner, more particularly on the ground of delay etc.
- 13) It has been agreed between the parties that due care and caution will be taken to get the best price for the property, but in case any of the parties create hurdle or is not satisfied then the majority decision will prevail on the same.
- 14) It has been agreed between the parties that once the transaction is completed and the amounts received, no party shall lay any claim against each other for all times to come in respect of the transaction and the suit claim for schedule A, B and C properties. The internal arrangements of the parties from 1/3rd share of original brothers i.e late Santosh Kumar Verma,

Sanj 2) अनीता वर्मा 3) अनीता वर्मा 4) अमिता वर्मा 5) अमिता वर्मा
6) Jayashree 7) Lubina
Jayashree 3) अनीता वर्मा 4) अनीता वर्मा 5) अनीता वर्मा



2025:TSHC:62602-DB

SCHEDULE "B" PROPERTY

All that premises bearing municipal No. 11-2-780, admeasuring 200 sq yards, situated at Bazarghat X Road, Habeeb Nagar, Hyderabad bounded by

North : Mulgies Area Bhagwandas Wall Excluded

South : House of Inayat Khatoon and Seri house

East : House of Abdul Kareem teacher wall Excluded

West : House of Naimatullah Shareef Common wall excluded

SCHEDULE "C" PROPERTY

All that premises bearing municipal No. 11-2-781, 782 and 782/1 , situated at Bazarghat X Road, Habeeb Nagar, Hyderabad admeasuring 51.74 sq yards and bounded by

North : CC Road 40 feet wide

South : House of Smt Vidyavathi Verma

East : Way to Mulgies of Dr. Rama Pratap

West : Lane.

2. 3. मीता वर्मा

3. मीता वर्मा

4. मीता वर्मा

5. मीता वर्मा

6. Jayashree

7. Zubina

APPELLANTS

Date: 14.10.2025
Place: HYDERABAD

2. Jayashree

3. मीता वर्मा

4. मीता वर्मा

5. मीता वर्मा

RESPONDENTS

COUNSEL FOR APPELLANT

COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENTS

**PRESENT****THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K.LAKSHMAN
AND
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VAKITI RAMAKRISHNA REDDY****I.A. Nos. 7 and 8 of 2025****And****CITY CIVIL COURT APPEAL NO: 58 OF 2011****Between:**

1. Santosh Kumar Verma (Died Per LRs Appellant Nos. 4 to 7)
2. Smt. Anitha Verma, W/o. Late Dilip Verma, aged 43 years, Occ: Household R/o. 11-2-780, Habeebnagar, Hyderabad.
3. Dheeraj Verma, S/o. Late Dilip Verma, aged 24 years, Occ: Business R/o. 11-2-780, Habeebnagar, Hyderabad.
4. Mrs. Mamta Verma, W/o. Late Santosh Verma, Aged 72 years, Occ. Household, R/o. H.No.11-2-780, Habeeb Nagar, Nampally, Hyderabad.
5. Mr. Mahesh Verma, S/o. Late Santosh Verma, Aged 51 years, Occ. Business, R/o. H.No.11-2-780, Habeeb Nagar, Nampally, Hyderabad.
6. Mrs. Jaya Sree Varma, W/o. Late Ved Prakash Singh, D/o. Late Santosh Verma, Aged 54 years, Occ. Household, R/o. H.No.11-2-780/2, Habeeb Nagar, Nampally, Hyderabad.
7. Mrs. Zubina Fatima, W/o. M A Raoof Khan D/o. Late Santosh Verma, Aged 50 years, Occ. Household, R/o. H.No.12-2-389/A/114/A/1, Mahavir Nagar, Gudimalkapur, Hyderabad-500 028.

Appellants No's 4 to 7 are brought on records as LRs of deceased Appellant No. 1 as per Court Order dated 28.08.2025, vide IA. No. 6 of 2025 in CCCA No. 58 of 2011.

The Appellant Nos. 6 & 7 names are amended as per court order dated 20.11.2025, vide IA. No. 9 of 2025 in CCCA. No. 58 of 2011 as under)

6) Mrs. Jayashree Singh to Mrs. Jaya Sree Varma.

7) Mrs. Zubeena @ Meenakshi to Mrs. Zubina Fatima.

...APPELLANTS**AND**

1. Sunil Verma, S/o. Late Manoharlal Verma (Died Per LRs Respondent Nos. 3 to 5)
2. Jayant Verma, S/o. Late Dilip Verma, aged 26 years, Occ: Student, R/o. H.No. 11-2-780, Habeebnagar, Hyderabad.
3. Smt. Sangeetha Verma, W/o. Late Sunil Verma, Aged 55 years, Occ. Household, R/o.H.No.11-2-780, Habeeb Nagar, Hyderabad.
4. Smt. Shruti Verma, D/o. Late Sunil Verma, Aged 55 years, Occ. Household, R/o.H.No.11-2-780, Habeeb Nagar, Hyderabad.
5. Sri Shantanu Verma, S/o. Late Sunil Verma, Aged 25 years, Occ. Household, R/o.H.No.11-2-780, Habeeb Nagar, Hyderabad.



Respondent Nos. 3 to 5 are brought on records as LR's of deceased Respondent No. 1 as per Court order dated 28.08.2025, vide I.A. No. 3 of 2025 in CCCA. No. 58 of 2011.

...RESPONDENTS

Appeal under Section 96 of C.P.C. aggrieved by the Judgment dated 29.11.2010 passed in OS.No. 12/2005 on the file of the Court of the X Addl. Chief Judge, Fast Track Court, City Civil Court, Hyderabad.

The appeal coming this day for orders as to settlement in the presence of Sri Aadesh Varma, Advocate for the Appellant Nos. 2 to 6 and of Sri Saurabh Raj Verma, Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 2 to 5, Appellants and respondents through their respective Advocates having filed in IA. Nos. 7 & 8 of 2025 requesting the court to pass a decree in terms of the compromise dated 14.10.2025 entered into between them, and upon perusing the grounds appeal, judgment and decree of the lower court and the terms of compromise purporting to have been signed by the parties and their Advocates and filed with the said IA. Nos. 7 & 8 of 2025 and it appearing from the said terms of compromise that the parties herein have entered into compromise and settled the matter out of court in terms thereof.

This Court DOTH RECORD the terms of the of compromise dated 14.10.2025 in original whereof is filed in the said IA. Nos. 7 & 8 of 2025 of and a copy whereof is annexed hereto and DOTH in terms of the said terms of compromise declare, ORDER and DECREE as follow:

1. That the Appeal is disposed of in terms of compromise dated 14.10.2025:
2. That the parties herein are members of the joint family. That Appellant No.1 Late Sri Santosh Kumar Verma, the Respondent No.1 Late Sri Sunil Kumar Verma and Late Sri Dilip Kumar Verma were natural brothers.
3. That late Sri Santosh Kumar Verma was the elder brother of the three and expired during the pendency of the appeal on 24.07.2020. The said Appellant No.1 is survived by his wife Smt. Mamta Verma, (appellant no. 4) son Sri Mahesh Kumar Varma (appellant No. 5) daughter Smt. Jayshree Varma (Appellant No.6) and daughter Mrs. Zubeena Fatima @ Meenakshi (appellant No.7) who has converted to Islam and continuing with the same religion.
4. That the second brother by name late Dilip Kumar Verma had expired prior to filing of the suit before the trial court as such his wife Smt. Anitha Verma Appellant No.2) his elder son Sri Jayant Verma (Respondent No.2) and his younger son Sri Dheeraj Verma (appellant No.3) are already on record.



2025:TSHC:62602-DB

5. That the younger brother by name Late Sunil Verma (plaintiff in suit below) expired during the pendency of the appeal i.e on 17.03.2017 and as such his wife Smt. Sangeeta Verma (respondent No. 3), his daughter by name Smt. Shruti Verma (respondent No. 4) and son Sri Shantanu Verma (Respondent No. 5) are brought on record. His youngest daughter by name Ms. Smita Expired unmarried as such has not been included in the array of respondents
6. That the Plaintiff/Respondent No.1 (late Sunil Kumar Verma) had filed a suit bearing O.S No. 12 of 2005 on the file of the Learned X Addl Chief Judge City Civil Court at Hyderabad against his elder brother (late Santosh Kumar Verma) (Defendant No.1/respondent No.1) and wife and children of Late Dilip Verma i.e Smt. Anita Verma, Sri Jayant Verma and Sri Dheeraj Verma, for partition of Schedule A, B and C properties. The details of the properties are given at the end of this memorandum.
7. That the parties herein have decided to come together and settle the long pending dispute between the family members and to distribute the property amicably within themselves in the manner given below to enable them live peacefully and happily without any further congestions in the family
8. That it has been decided by the parties that the Schedule A,B and C properties shall be divided equally amount the families of the three brothers i.e. Late Sri Santosh Kumar Verma, late Sri Dilip Verma and late Sri Sunil Verma respectively. To be more precise the property will be initially divided among the family members in 3 parts and then out of the said 1/3rd share the family members of the respective brother shall divide the same as per their wish;
9. That it has been decided between the parties that the properties are jointly and severally not divisible as such the properties shall be put to sale to prospective buyers and the sale consideration shall be divided among the parties which shall be clearly given in annexure to this terms of compromise;
10. That it has been decided that the property shall be sold to prospective buyer whoever pays the highest amount and one member from each family shall be involved in the negotiation with the prospective buyer. No person shall interrupt in the deal unless he has better offer for the said property.



11. That When a prize has been fixed and negotiated for sale of a particular property, all the parties to the "terms of compromise" shall appear before the concerned sub registrar for execution of the sale deed in favour of the prospective buyer. Only after the Sale Deed is executed, each and every person shall get his/her share of money after the documents are executed in favour of the prospective buyers. It has been clearly admitted by the parties that prior to the execution of the document, no party shall insist, nor shall be given any amount out of the said sale consideration. It is also admitted between parties that once the amount is received from the prospective buyer, the same shall be divided or the prospective buyer shall be requested to pay amounts individually to the parties.
12. That the parties covenant that no party shall create any hurdles in the transaction and shall cooperate with the other parties and the prospective buyers for proper sale of the property.
13. That the schedule A, B and C properties shall be sold as and when prospective purchasers buy the same. The money (sale consideration) shall be divided and paid only when the said property is sold and entire sale consideration received. No party shall rescind with this terms in any manner, more particularly on the ground of delay etc.
14. That it has been agreed between the parties that due care and caution will be taken to get the best price for the property, but in case any of the parties create hurdle or is not satisfied then the majority decision will prevail on the same.
15. That it has been agreed between the parties that once the transaction is completed and the amounts received, no party shall lay any claim against each other for all times to come in respect of the transaction and the suit claim for schedule A, B and C properties. The internal arrangements of the parties from 1/3rd share of original brothers i.e late Santosh Kumar Verma late Dileep Verma and Late Sunil Verma is their respective lookout and the other parties are not concerned with the same.
16. It has been specifically admitted that the present compromise and understanding has been arrived by the parties out of their own free will, consent and approval without any force, coercion or undue influence in any manner. All the terms of settlement have been clearly explained and



2025:TSHC:62602-DB

understood by the parties and they have no doubt about the same in any manner.

GEONOLOGICAL TREE FOR SETTLEMENT

Santosh Kumar Verma 1/3rd	Dilip Kumar Verma 1/3rd	Sunil Verma 1/3rd
1) Smt. Mamta Verma 2) Sri Mahesh Verma 3) Smt. Jayshree Verma 4) Smt. Zubeena Fatima Shall get 1/4th out of the total 1/3rd share of Late Sri Santosh Verma Smt. Mamta Verma and Smt. Jayshree Verma shall get Rs 10,000/- each. Sri Mahesh Verma shall be entitled to the remaining 3/4th Share in 1/3rd share of Late Santosh Verma	1) Smt. Anita Verma shall get Rs. 10,000/- 2) Sri Jayanth Verma shall get Rs 10,000/- 3) Sri Dheeraj Verma entire share after deducting the money of his mother and brother	1) Smt. Sangeeta Verma shall get Rs. 10,000/- 2) Smt. Shruti Verma shall get Rs 10,000/- 3) Sri Shantanu Verma shall get entire share after deducting money of his mother and sister.

SCHEDULE "A" PROPERTY

All that premises bearing municipal No. 11-2-936, admeasuring 280 sq yards, situated at ward No. 11, Block No. 2, Bazarghat X Road, Habeeb Nagar, Hyderabad bounded by

North: Lane
South: Neighbour's House No. 11-2-935
East: Cement Road
West: Cement Road

SCHEDULE "B" PROPERTY

All that premises bearing municipal No. 11-2-780, admeasuring 200 sq yards, situated at Bazarghat X Road, Habeeb Nagar, Hyderabad bounded by

North: Mulgies Area Bhagwandas Wall Excluded
South: House of Inayat Khatoon and Seri house
East : House of Abdul Kareem teacher wall Excluded
West: House of Naimatullah Shareef Common wall excluded



2025:TSHC:62602-DB

SCHEDULE "C" PROPERTY

All that premises bearing municipal No. 11-2-781, 782 and 782/1, situated at Bazarghat X Road, Habeeb Nagar, Hyderabad admeasuring 51.74 sq yards and bounded by

North: CC Road 40 feet wide

South: House of Smt Vidyavathi Verma

East : Way to Mulgies of Dr. Rama Pratap

West: Lane

SD/- K.SRINIVASA RAO
JOINT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The X Addl. Chief Judge, Fast Track Court, City Civil Court, Hyderabad.
2. Two CD Copies

KA



2025:TSHC:62602-DB

HIGH COURT

DATED:05/12/2025

COMPROMISE DECREE

I.A. Nos. 7 and 8 of 2025

And

CITY CIVIL COURT APPEAL NO: 58 OF 2011

**IA. NOS. 7 AND 8 ARE ALLOWED
APPEAL IS DISPOSED OF IN TERMS OF
COMPROMISE**

(11)

Kper
17/6/26



2025:TSHC:62602-DB

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**FRIDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K.LAKSHMAN
AND
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VAKITI RAMAKRISHNA REDDY**

**I.A. Nos. 7 and 8 of 2025
And
CITY CIVIL COURT APPEAL NO: 58 OF 2011**

Appeal under Section 96 of C.P.C. aggrieved by the Judgment dated 29.11.2010 passed in OS.No. 12/2005 on the file of the Court of the X Addl. Chief Judge, Fast Track Court, City Civil Court, Hyderabad.

Between:

1. Santosh Kumar Verma (Died Per LRs Appellant Nos. 4 to 7)
2. Smt. Anitha Verma, W/o. Late Dilip Verma, aged 43 years, Occ: Household R/o. 11-2-780, Habeebnagar, Hyderabad.
3. Dheeraj Verma, S/o. Late Dilip Verma, aged 24 years, Occ: Business R/o. 11-2-780, Habeebnagar, Hyderabad.
4. Mrs. Mamta Verma, W/o. Late Santosh Verma, Aged 72 years, Occ. Household, R/o. H.No.11-2-780, Habeeb Nagar, Nampally, Hyderabad.
5. Mr. Mahesh Verma, S/o. Late Santosh Verma, Aged 51 years, Occ. Business, R/o. H.No.11-2-780, Habeeb Nagar, Nampally, Hyderabad.
6. Mrs.Jaya Sree Varma, W/o. Late Ved Prakash Singh, D/o. Late Santosh Verma, Aged 54 years, Occ. Household, R/o. H.No.11-2-780/2, Habeeb Nagar, Nampally, Hyderabad.
7. Mrs.Zubina Fatima, W/o. M A Raoof Khan D/o. Late Santosh Verma, Aged 50 years, Occ. Household, R/o. H.No.12-2-389/A/114/A/1, Mahavir Nagar, Gudimalkapur, Hyderabad-500 028.

Appellants No's 4 to 7 are brought on records as LRs of deceased Appellant No. 1 as per Court Order dated 28.08.2025, vide IA. No. 6 of 2025 in CCCA No. 58 of 2011.

The Appellant Nos. 6 & 7 names are amended as per court order dated 20.11.2025, vide IA. No. 9 of 2025 in CCCA. No. 58 of 2011 as under):-

- 6) Mrs. Jayashree Singh to Mrs. Jaya Sree Varma
- 7) Mrs. Zubeena @ Meenakshi to Mrs. Zubina Fatima.

...APPELLANTS

AND



2025:TSHC:62602-DB

1. Sunil Verma, S/o. Late Manoharlal Verma (Died Per LR's Respondent Nos. 3 to 5)
2. Jayant Verma, S/o. Late Dilip Verma, aged 26 years, Occ: Student, R/o. H.No. 11-2-780, Habeebnagar, Hyderabad.
3. Smt. Sangeetha Verma, W/o. Late Sunil Verma, Aged 55 years, Occ. Household, R/o.H.No.11-2-780, Habeeb Nagar, Hyderabad.
4. Smt. Shruti Verma, D/o. Late Sunil Verma, Aged 55 years, Occ. Household, R/o.H.No.11-2-780, Habeeb Nagar, Hyderabad.
5. Sri Shantanu Verma, S/o. Late Sunil Verma, Aged 25 years, Occ. Household, R/o.H.No.11-2-780, Habeeb Nagar, Hyderabad.

Respondent Nos. 3 to 5 are brought on records as LR's of deceased Respondent No. 1 as per Court order dated 28.08.2025, vide I.A. No. 3 of 2025 in CCCA. No. 58 of 2011.

...RESPONDENTS

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2011(CCCAMP. NO: 209 OF 2011)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings pursuant to the Judgment and Decree dated 29.11.2010 passed in OS.No. 12/2005 on the file of the X Additional Judge Fast Track Court including execution.

IA NO: 7 OF 2025

Petition under Order XXIII Rule 1 R/w Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to settle/ compromise the matter as per the terms of compromise filed separately by referring the same to Lok Adalat.

IA NO: 8 OF 2025

Between:

1. Mr. Sunil Verma, (Died Per LR's Petitioner Nos. 3 to 5)
2. Mr. Jayant Verma, S/o. Late Dilip Verma, Occ: Srvice, R/o. H.No. 11-2-780/2, Habeebnagar, Nampally, Hyderabad presently residing in Australia.
3. Smt. Sangeetha Verma, W/o. Late Sunil Verma, Aged 55 years, Occ. Household, R/o.H.No.11-2-780, Habeeb Nagar, Hyderabad.
4. Smt. Shruti Verma, D/o. Late Sunil Verma, Aged 38 years, Occ. Household, R/o.H.No.11-2-780, Habeeb Nagar, Hyderabad.
5. Sri Shantanu Verma, S/o. Late Sunil Verma, Aged 25 years, Occ. Household, R/o.H.No.11-2-780, Habeeb Nagar, Hyderabad.

...Petitioners/Respondents 1-5

AND

1. Mr. Santosh Kumar Verma (Died Per LR's Respondent Nos. 4 to 7)
2. Mrs. Anitha Verma, W/o. Late Dilip Verma, Occ: Household R/o. 11-2-780, Habeebnagar, Hyderabad.



2025:TSHC:62602-DB

3. Mr. Dheeraj Verma, S/o. Late Dilip Verma, Occ: Business R/o. 11-2-780, Habeebnagar, Hyderabad.
4. Mrs. Mamta Verma, W/o. Late Santosh Verma, Aged 72 years, Occ. Household, R/o. H.No.11-2-780, Habeeb Nagar, Nampally, Hyderabad.
5. Mr. Mahesh Verma, S/o. Late Santosh Verma, Aged 51 years, Occ. Business, R/o. H.No.11-2-780, Habeeb Nagar, Nampally, Hyderabad.
6. Mrs. Jaya Sree Varma, W/o. Late Ved Prakash Singh, D/o. Late Santosh Verma, Aged 54 years, Occ: Household, R/o. H. NO. 11-2-780/2, Habeeb Nagar, Nampally, Hyderabad.
7. Mrs.Zubeena@ Fatima, W/o. M A Raoof Khan D/o. Late Santosh Verma, Aged 50 years, Occ. Household, R/o. H.No.12-2-389/A/114/A/1, Mahavir Nagar, Gudimalkapur, Hyderabad-500 028.

...RESPONDENTS/ APPELLANTS

Petition under Order XXIII Rule 1R/W Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to settle/ compromise the matter as per the terms of compromise filed separately by referring the same to Lok adalat.

Counsel for the Appellant Nos. 2 to 6 : Sri Aadesh Varma

Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 2 to 5 : Sri Saurabh Raj Verma

The Court delivered the following:



2025:TSHC:62602-DB

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K. LAKSHMAN
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VAKITI RAMAKRISHNA REDDY

I.A.Nos.7 and 8 of 2025

and

C.C.C.A.No.58 of 2011

Date: 05.12.2025

Between:

Santosh Kumar Verma (Died per LRs) ...Appellants

And

Sunil Verma (Died per LRs) ...Respondents

COMMON JUDGMENT: *(Per Hon'ble Sri Justice K.Lakshman)*

Heard Sri Aadesh Varma, learned counsel for the appellants 2 to 6 and Sri Saurabh Raj Verma, learned counsel appearing for respondents 2 to 5.

2. This appeal is preferred challenging the judgment and decree in O.S.No.12 of 2005 dated 29.11.2010 passed by the learned X Additional Chief Judge, Fast Track Court, City Civil Court, Hyderabad. The 1st respondent has filed the aforesaid suit against defendants therein for partition and separate possession of plaint 'A' to 'C' schedule properties. The said suit was decreed on 29.11.2010.



3. During pendency of the present appeal, the parties have settled the disputes out of Court and they have entered into a compromise. They have filed the terms of compromise dated 14.10.2025. They have appeared before the Secretary, High Court Legal Services Committee for the purpose of identification and on completion of identification, the Secretary, High Court Legal Services Committee has submitted a report dated 17.11.2025.

4. In the light of the same, I.A.Nos.7 and 8 are allowed. Accordingly, appeal is disposed of in terms of compromise dated 14.10.2025. Terms of compromise shall form part of this Judgment.

5. Miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

SD/- K.SRINIVASA RAO
JOINT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The X Addl. Chief Judge, Fast Track Court, City Civil Court, Hyderabad.
2. One CC to Sri Aadesh Varma, Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to Sri Saurabh Raj Verma, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CD Copies
(Along With A Copy Of Terms Of Compromise)

DL/KA

Kpr



2025:TSHC:62602-DB

HIGH COURT

DATED:05/12/2025

JUDGMENT

I.A. Nos. 7 and 8 of 2025

And

CITY CIVIL COURT APPEAL NO: 58 OF 2011



**IA. NOS. 7 AND 8 ARE ALLOWED
APPEAL IS DISPOSED OF IN TERMS OF
COMPROMISE**

(6)

lpr
17/6/26