

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD**

MONDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE PULLA KARTHIK

WRIT PETITION (TR) NO: 601 OF 2017

Between:

Dr. K. Dayakar S/o. Rajesham, Aged about 56 years, Occ: Professor of Ophthalmology, Kakatiya Medical College Warangal, Warangal District

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Principal Secretary, Health, Medical and Family Welfare Department, Secretariat Buildings, A.P., Hyderabad-500 022
2. The Director of Medical Education, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad-500001.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to hold the action on the part of the respondents in not reviewing all the promotions made after 29.03.1998 in respect of the post of Assistant Professor Ophthalmology and the Professors of Ophthalmology, in terms of G.O.Ms.No.325, dated: 15.06.1999 and as upheld by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of Dr. Challa Jaya Bhaskar Vs. State of A.P., reported in 2010 (13) SCC 348 as illegal, arbitrary and contrary to the rules and consequently direct the respondents to promote the applicant as Professor of Ophthalmology, on par with his juniors at least from 2006 or an earlier date by assigning notional date of promotion in the cadre of Professor by implementing the G.O.Ms.No.325, dated: 15.06.1999 and as upheld by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of Dr. Challa Jaya Bhaskar Vs. State of A.P. with all consequential benefits such as revised pay fixation in the cadre of Associate Professor and Professors and arrears of salary and consequential revision of pension with arrears and all other monetary benefits.

**Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI PRATAP REDDY, REPRESENTING
SRI V. MAHESHWAR REDDY**

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR SERVICES - I

The Court made the following: ORDER



2025:TSHC:60922

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE PULLA KARTHIK

WRIT PETITION (TR) No.601 OF 2017

ORDER:

When the matter is taken up for hearing, Sri Pratap Reddy, learned counsel representing Sri V.Maheshwar Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that due to efflux of time, the cause in the present Writ Petition (TR) does not survive for adjudication and the matter has become infructuous.

2. Recording the above said submission, this Writ Petition (TR) is dismissed as infructuous.

Miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

There shall be no order as to costs.

**Sd/-P.C SULEKHA DEVI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. One CC to SRI V. MAHESWAR REDDY, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP for Services-I, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad. [OUT]
3. Two CD Copies


**MP
PMK**



2025:TSHC:60922

HIGH COURT

DATED:10/11/2025



ORDER

WP(TR).No.601 of 2017

DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION (TR)
AS INFRUCTUOUS
WITHOUT COSTS

6
NRC
25/3