

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**MONDAY, THE TWENTY SEVENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J. SREENIVAS RAO

CRLP NO: 15656 OF 2025

Between:

1. Mashetty Ganesh, S/o. Pentaiah, Age. 48 years, Occ. Business, R/o. H. No. 5-40/1, Komatigally, Kotagiri, Nizamabad District, Telangana State-503207.
2. Muthyapu Sandeep Kumar, S/o. Narayana, Age. 46 years, Occ. Business, R/o. H. No. 4-1, Sirgapoor village and Mandal, Sangareddy District, Telangana.
3. Shaik Ayyub, S/o. Khaja Miya, Age. 26 years, Occ. Lorry Driver, R/o. Hashmi Colony, Nizamabad Town and District, Telangana.

...Petitioner/Accused No. 1 to 3

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by the Public Prosecutor, High Court at Hyderabad for the State of Telangana.
2. P.Nikhil Raj, S/o. Solman, Aged 29 years, Occ. Deputy Tahsildhar (CS), R/o. H.No. 2-25/3, Mallikarjuna Nagar, Peerzadiguda, Boduppal Main Road, Hyderabad-39

...Respondents

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to quash the proceedings in CC No. 301 of 2025 on the file of the Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class At Bodhan in so far as Petitioners/Accused No. 1 to 3.

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2025

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant stay of all further proceedings against the Petitioners/Accused No. 1 to 4 in CC

No. 301 of 2025 on the file of the Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class At Bodhan pending disposal of the main criminal petition.

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Mr. Alluri Divakar Reddy, Advocate for the Petitioner and the Mr. Jithender Rao Veeramalla, Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the Respondent No.1, and Non appeared for Respondent No. 2

The Court made the following: ORDER

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J.SREENIVAS RAO
CRIMINAL PETITION No.15656 of 2025

Date: 27.04.2026

Between:

Mashetty Ganesh and two others

...Petitioners

AND

The State of Telangana and another

...Respondents

ORDER

This Criminal Petition has been filed by the petitioners/accused Nos.1 to 3, seeking to quash the proceedings in C.C.No.301 of 2025, on the file of the Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Bodhan.

2. Heard Mr.Alluri Divakar Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr.Jithender Rao Veeramalla, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for respondent No.1.

3. The specific allegation against the petitioners/accused Nos.1 to 3 is that they have procured PDS rice from the beneficiaries at cheaper rate to sell the same for profit and on 13.07.2024, the petitioners were found in possession of 78.35 quintals of PDS rice.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that without there being any complaint from any beneficiary, alleging that the rice was procured deceptively or with a criminal intent and

charging the petitioners for prosecution is untenable and improper. The allegations, even taken at their face value cannot be sustained against the petitioners. Further, this Court, in Crl.P.No.5709 of 2019, while considering the same situation, categorically observed that the offences alleged against the petitioners therein could not be continued and accordingly quashed the proceedings. The petitioners are also entitled to the same relief and hence prayed to quash the proceedings against the petitioners.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submits that as per prosecution, the offences said to have been committed by the petitioners is cheating and violation of Section 7 of the EC Act and requested to pass appropriate orders.

6. Section 7 of the EC Act contemplate that any person contravenes with the production, supply, distribution and trade of essential commodities, is punishable. As per the prosecution, the petitioners have procured PDS rice from the beneficiaries after supply from the dealer.

7. A Coordinate Bench of this Court in Crl.P.No.7227 of 2025 has considered the identical facts and observed that:

"There is no averment indicating that the petitioner in any way deceptively induced the beneficiaries to part with the supplied PDS rice or the beneficiary entrusted the PDS rice purchased by them with the petitioner and they dishonestly misappropriated or converted to their

own use or used it in violation of a lawful direction or contract. In the absence of essential factors, on the face of prosecution, this Court finds it to be a fit case to exercise the jurisdiction under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023. Thus, continuance of proceedings against the petitioner is abuse of process of law".

8. The facts and circumstances of the present case also similar to those in the above case and hence, this Court finds it to be a fit case to exercise jurisdiction under Section 528 of BNSS by applying the same analogy and to quash the proceedings against the petitioners herein.

9. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is allowed and the proceedings in C.C.No.301 of 2025, on the file of the Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Bodhan, against the petitioners/accused Nos.1 to 3, are hereby quashed.

Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

**SD/- P.C.SULEKHA DEVI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER


To,

1. The Additional Judicial First Class Magistrate at Bodhan.
2. The Station House Officer, Kotagiri Police Station, Nizamabad District.
3. Two CCs to the Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad (OUT)
4. One CC to Mr. Alluri Divakar Reddy, Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CD Copies


DL/KA

HIGH COURT

DATED: 27/04/2026



ORDER

CRLP.No.15656 OF 2025

ALLOWING THE CRIMINAL PETITION

SMT
29/4/26