

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

MAIN CASE No: SECOND APPEAL No.1 of 2023

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
13.	29.10.2025	<u>EVV,J</u> Learned counsel appearing for the appellant would submit that the appellant and respondent No.6 have compromised the matter in respect of Schedule-A property and to that effect I.A.No.1 of 2025 is filed. He further submit that notices sent to respondent Nos.1 to 5 have been returned and proof of service also filed. As seen from the record, the appellant, who is the son of late Yasha Penta Reddy, filed O.S.No.775 of 2005 seeking for partition and separate possession of Schedule-A and Schedule-B properties. The learned Principal Junior Civil Judge, Sangareddy, <i>vide</i> judgment and decree, dated 26.08.2016, dismissed the said suit on the ground of non-joinder of all the properties pertaining to late Yasha Penta Reddy. Aggrieved by the same, the appellant filed A.S.No.36 of 2017 before the Principal District and Sessions Judge, Sangareddy. <i>Vide</i> judgment, dated 25.08.2022, the said appeal suit was dismissed confirming the findings recorded by the learned Principal Junior Civil Judge, Sangareddy in	<i>Transferred to IO folder before making corrections</i>

SECOND APPEAL No.1 of 2023

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		O.S.No.775 of 2005. Challenging the concurrent findings of both the Courts the present Second Appeal is filed. Both the suit as well as the appeal suit have dismissed due to non-joinder of all the properties of late Yasa Penta Reddy, the issue arises as to how the appellant and respondent No.6 compromised the appeal in respect of Schedule-A property only as both Schedule A and Schedule-B are being clubbed together in the suit as well as in the appeal suit. List this matter on 12.11.2025 under the caption “final hearing”. EVV,J gkv	

SECOND APPEAL No.1 of 2023

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE