

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

MAIN CASE: W.P.No.21269 of 2012

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
	16.06.2026	<u>VRKR, J</u> Heard Sri C.Pratap Reddy, learned Senior Counsel representing Sri Palle Sriharinath, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri B.Vijay Kumar, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Land Acquisition appearing for respondents. Learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the present case is fully covered by the Judgment of this Hon'ble Court in <i>Land Acquisition Officer-cum-Revenue Divisional Officer v. Mekalapandu and others</i>¹ case. The dispute in the present writ petition is that the subject land of the petitioners was resumed acquired by the respondents for the purpose of constructing a Social Welfare Residential School at Girmapur Village, Kondapur Mandal, Medak District, without payment of compensation/<i>ex-gratia</i>. However, the respondents contended that in the Gram Sabha conducted by the Gram Panchayat, the petitioners had voluntarily surrendered the subject lands for constructing the said Social Welfare School. The said contention of the respondents has been disputed by the petitioners. A perusal of the counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 4, makes it clear that the respondent	

¹ 2004 (2) ALD 451

		authorities had taken two stands i.e., <i>firstly</i> since the petitioners violated the conditions of assignment particularly the condition that the assigned lands were not brought into cultivation within three (03) years; <i>secondly</i> since the said lands were acquired for a public purpose, the petitioners surrendered the same voluntarily. Both these statements are quite contradictory to each other and it appears that the said stands apparently taken by the respondents with an intention to justify the said action in resuming the assigned lands. A perusal of the record also reveals that the subject lands were acquired without following due process of law. At this juncture, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Land Acquisition sought time, to seek necessary instructions from the respondent authorities if the dispute can be resolved by negotiating with the petitioners for which the learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioners also expressed that if any reasonable proposal is made by the authorities, the petitioners are ready to accept the same. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned to enable the concerned respondents to explore the possibility of resolving the dispute particularly offering to pay reasonable compensation or <i>ex-gratia</i> in favour of the petitioners. List this matter on 25.06.2026, under the caption 'For Orders'. VRKR,J Js	
--	--	---	--