

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF JANUARY

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

**:PRESENT:
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE LAXMI NARAYANA ALISHETTY**

WRIT PETITION NO: 35342 OF 2025

Between:

Akku Laxman Rao, S/o Late Swamy Akku.

...Petitioner

AND

1. State of Telangana, Represented by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration and Urban Development, Secretariat, Hyderabad 500 037.
2. Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Rep. by its Commissioner, Tank Bund Road, Hyderabad 500 037.
3. The Zonal Commissioner, Kukatpally, GHMC, Moosapet, Hyderabad 500 018.
4. G. Pratap Reddy, S/o Narasimha Reddy, Aged about 74 years, Occ. Business, R/o. Plot No. 155, Dhanalaxmi Colony, Mahendra Hills, Marredpally, Secunderabad - 500 026.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the actions of the Respondents in interfering with the possession of the Petitioner over land admeasuring 3315 Sq. Meters situated at Survey -No. 160, Hydernagar Village, Kukatpally Mandal Medchal-Malkajgiri District as illegal, arbitrary, and unconstitutional and consequently direct the Respondents not to interfere property of the Petitioner.

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondents not to interfere with the possession of the Petitioner over land admeasuring 3315 Sq. Meters situated at Survey No. 160, Hydernagar Village, Kukatpally Mandal Medchal- Malkajgiri District pending disposal of WP No. 35342 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court dated 26.11.2025 & 24.12.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of HAMSINI DURVASULA Advocate for the Petitioner(s), GP FOR MCPL ADMN URBAN DEV Advocate for the Respondent No. 1 and SRI. G. Ravi Mahender, SC for GHMC for respondent Nos. 2 and 3, and the Court made the following.

ORDER:

Learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Standing Counsel for respondent Nos.2 and 3 and learned counsel for respondent No.4, are present.

Learned Standing Counsel for respondent Nos.2 and 3 represent that the subject lay out has been approved by HMDA, therefore, HMDA is proper and necessary party to this writ petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner requests time to implead HMDA as party to this writ petition.

Post on 10.02.2026.

Till then interim order granted by this Court on 26.11.2025, shall stand extended.

Sd/- P.PONNA KRISHNA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI. HAMSINI DURVASULA Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP FOR MCPL ADMN URBAN DEV, High Court at Hyderabad. [OUT]
3. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

LNAJ

DATED:21/01/2026

LIST ON: 10.02.2026

ORDER

WP.No.35342 of 2025

EXTENSION OF INTERIM ORDER

