

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD

MAIN CASE No: M.A.C.M.A. No. 832 OF 2025 and X-Obj No.58 of 2025

PROCEEDING SHEET

SL. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
3.	16.12.2025	<u>RY,J</u> <u>I.A. No. 4 of 2025</u> <u>In</u> <u>M.A.C.M.A. No. 832 of 2025</u> This application is filed seeking to permit the claimant to withdraw 25% of the compensation amount deposited by the Insurance Company (appellant) in pursuant to the orders passed by this Court on 02.07.2025. This Court by order, dated 02.07.2025 in I.A. No. 3 of 2025 granted stay of execution of the decree passed in M.V.O.P. No. 1060 of 2002 on the file of the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal-cum-I Additional District Judge, Ranga Reddy District at L.B.Nagar, on condition of appellant-Insurance Company depositing 25% of the decretal amount together with costs and interest. Learned counsel for the petitioner (claimant) sought permission to withdrawn the deposited amount. Learned standing counsel for the Insurance Company vehemently opposed the withdrawal of the deposited amount alleging that the copy of the policy produced is a fake document and that the Insurance Company never issued such a policy. In proof of the	Transferred to I.O. Folder before making corrections

SL. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		same, learned standing counsel for the Insurance Company relied upon Ex.R.1-notice issued to the owner of the offending vehicle calling upon him to produce the original policy. It is submitted that there was no response from the owner of the offending vehicle that the Insurance Company never issued such a policy and therefore, opposed the present I.A. A perusal of the order passed by the Tribunal shows that the issue of fake policy being produced. It is held by the Tribunal that the photocopy of the policy was produced. There was encumbrance upon the Insurance Company to produce the other details such as details about the person and offending vehicle for which the insurance policy was issued. In the absence of such evidence, the Tribunal has discarded the defense about fake policy being produced by the claimant. Further, it is submitted by the learned Standing Counsel for the appellant that the conditional order has already been complied with by depositing 25% of the decretal amount together with costs and interest as directed by this Court. Therefore, in the absence of any other evidence or any other ground to prove the production of fake policy, this Court deems it fit to permit the claimant to withdraw the amount already deposited by the appellant-Insurance Company together with costs and interest without furnishing any security and the interim stay granted by this Court dated 02.07.2025 is made absolute. Accordingly, the application stands disposed of.	

SL. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		<u>M.A.C.M.A. No. 832 OF 2025</u> <u>and X-Obj No.58 of 2025</u> List on 03.03.2026. Meanwhile, the Registry is directed to call for the records from the concerned Tribunal. RY, J gms	