

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

MONDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF MARCH

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR JUKANTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 26056 OF 2017

Between:

1. A PRAMEELA BAI, (Died as per LRs PP2 to 4),
2. A. Sanjay Kumar, S/o. Late A. Madan Gopal, Occ Business, R/o. H. No. 7-1-277/430, Road No. 40, Balkampet Road, S.R. Nagar, Hyderabad.
3. A. Ravi Kumar, S/o. Late A. Madan Gopal, Occ Business, R/o. H. No. 7-1-277/430, Road No. 40, Balkampet Road, S.R. Nagar, Hyderabad.
4. Smt. A. Rajani, W/o. Pinjala Ravindra Kumar, Occ Household, R/o. H. No. 7-1-277/430, Road No. 40, Balkampet Road, S.R. Nagar, Hyderabad.
(Petitioner nos. 2 to 4 are brought on record as LRs of the deceased Petitioner no.1 as per C.O.dt.27.01.2026 in IA No.1/2025.)

Petitioners

AND

1. THE STATE OF TELANGANA, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Joint Collector, Mahabubnagar District, at, Mahabubnagar.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer,, Mahabubnagar Division, at Mahabubnagar.
4. The Thasildar Urban, Mahabubnagar.
5. S. Ravinder Kumar, S/o. Late S. Ramchandraiah, Occ: Retd. Engineer and Agriculture, R/o. H. No. 5-4, near M.V.S. Junior College, Christianpally, Mahabubangar Town and District.
6. Sri Mahender Kumar, S/o Late S Ram Chandraiah, Occ Business, R/o H.No. 3-5, Near MVS Jr. College, Christianpally, Mahabubnagar Town, Telangana.
(Respondent No.6 is impleaded as per C.O. dt.11.03.2025 in IA. No. 1/2024)

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 2nd respondent in issuing the impugned order dated 04-07-2017 in case No. D1/21/2016 in allowing the revision of the 5th respondent as filed against the order passed by the 3rd respondent dated 27-07-2015 in ordering to issue duplicate pattadar pass book and title deed in favour of the petitioner in respect of the land in Sy. No. 118, admeasuring Ac. 1-17 guntas and Sy. No. 124, admeasuring Ac. 0-20 guntas, total admeasuring Ac. 1-37 guntas situated at Mahabubangar Shivar and further ordering to record the name of the 5th respondent in the place of the petitioner in the ROR of the above land, as illegal, unlawful, contrary to law and against the provisions of ROR Act, 1971 and

consequently declare that the 2nd respondent shall have no jurisdiction to entertain the revision of the 5th respondent in case No. D1/21/2016.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Sri M DAMODAR REDDY, Advocate for the Petitioners and GP for Revenue for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Sri Rama Kotaiah Kandala, Advocate for the Respondent No.5 and M/S BHARADWAJ ASSOCIATES, for the Respondent No.6, the Court made the following.

ORDER:

On 26.02.2026, this Court passed the following order:

**“This Writ Petition is filed with the following prayer:
“...to issue an order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 2nd respondent in issuing the impugned order dated 04-07-2017 in case No. D1/21/2016 in allowing the revision of the 5th respondent as filed against the order passed by the 3rd respondent dated 27-07-2015 in ordering to issue duplicate pattadar pass book and title deed in favour of the petitioner in respect of the land in Sy.No.118, admeasuring Ac.1-17 guntas and Sy.No.124, admeasuring Ac.0-20 guntas, total admeasuring Ac.1-37 guntas situated at Mahabubangar Shivar and further ordering to record the name of the 5th respondent in the place of the petitioner in the ROR of the above land, as illegal, unlawful, contrary to law and against the provisions of ROR Act, 1971 and consequently declare that the 2nd respondent shall have no jurisdiction to entertain the revision of the 5th respondent in case No.D1/21/2016, and pass such other order or orders...”**

Today, when the matter is called, learned Senior Counsel appearing for respondent No.6 invited the attention of this Court to the proceedings dated 01.08.1995 issued by respondent No.4 (page Nos.20 and 21 of the writ affidavit-Ex.P4) and pointed out that the said proceedings do not bear any seal or stamp of the office of Tahsildar. That usually, when a 13B certificate is issued, it bears the seal and stamp of the concerned authority. It is further submitted the proceedings which are reflected in 13B certificate pertain to a different transaction (property) and do not pertain to the property in the writ petition.

This Court requests learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for respondent Nos.1 to 4 to present the entire original record, in view of the objection raised by learned Senior Counsel for respondent No.6.

Learned counsel for petitioner and learned counsel for respondent No.5 are present.
Post the matter on 09.03.2026."

On 09.03.2026, the matter was adjourned to 16.03.2026.

Today, when the matter is called, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for respondent Nos.1 to 4 presented the original record forwarded by the office of Collector, yet, respondent No.4 has not submitted the original record, for reasons best known to him and seeks time.

Respondent No.4 shall be physically present in the Court with the entire original record on the next date of hearing.

Needless to state that if the officer is not present, this Court will be constrained to pass necessary orders.

Post the matter on 23.03.2026.

Sd/- S.MALLIKARJUNA RAO
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//



SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Thasildar Urban, Mahabubnagar. (by SPEED POST)
2. One CC to SRI M DAMODAR REDDY Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court at Hyderabad. [OUT]
4. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

JAK,J

DATED:16/03/2026

POST THE MATTER ON 23-03-2026

ORDER

WP.No.26056 of 2017

DIRECTION

