

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA HYDERABAD

MAIN CASE No: W.P.No.26056 of 2017

PROCEEDING SHEET

SL. NO	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
20.	16.03.2026	<p><u>JAK, J</u></p> <p>On 26.02.2026, this Court passed the following order:</p> <p>“This Writ Petition is filed with the following prayer:</p> <p>“...to issue an order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 2nd respondent in issuing the impugned order dated 04-07-2017 in case No. D1/21/2016 in allowing the revision of the 5th respondent as filed against the order passed by the 3rd respondent dated 27-07-2015 in ordering to issue duplicate pattadar pass book and title deed in favour of the petitioner in respect of the land in Sy.No.118, admeasuring Ac.1-17 guntas and Sy.No.124, admeasuring Ac.0-20 guntas, total admeasuring Ac.1-37 guntas situated at Mahabubangar Shivar and further ordering to record the name of the 5th respondent in the place of the petitioner in the ROR of the above land, as illegal, unlawful, contrary to law and against the provisions of ROR Act, 1971 and consequently declare that the 2nd respondent shall have no jurisdiction to entertain the revision of the 5th respondent in case No.D1/21/2016, and pass such other order or orders...”</p> <p>Today, when the matter is called, learned Senior Counsel appearing for respondent No.6 invited the attention of this Court to the proceedings dated 01.08.1995 issued by respondent No.4 (page Nos.20 and 21 of the writ affidavit-Ex.P4) and pointed out that the said proceedings do not bear any seal or stamp of the office of Tahsildar. That usually, when a 13B certificate is issued, it bears the seal and stamp of the concerned authority. It is further submitted the proceedings which are reflected in 13B certificate pertain to a different transaction (property) and do not pertain to the property in the writ petition.</p> <p>This Court requests learned Assistant</p>	Transferred to IO Folder before corrections.

MAIN CASE No: W.P.No.26056 of 2017

SL. NO	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		<p>Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for respondent Nos.1 to 4 to present the entire original record, in view of the objection raised by learned Senior Counsel for respondent No.6.</p> <p>Learned counsel for petitioner and learned counsel for respondent No.5 are present.</p> <p>Post the matter on 09.03.2026.”</p> <p>On 09.03.2026, the matter was adjourned to 16.03.2026.</p> <p>Today, when the matter is called, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for respondent Nos.1 to 4 presented the original record forwarded by the office of Collector, yet, respondent No.4 has not submitted the original record, for reasons best known to him and seeks time.</p> <p>Respondent No.4 shall be physically present in the Court with the entire original record on the next date of hearing.</p> <p>Needless to state that if the officer is not present, this Court will be constrained to pass necessary orders.</p> <p>Post the matter on 23.03.2026.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">_____ JAK, J</p> <p style="text-align: right;">KRR</p>	

MAIN CASE No: W.P.No.26056 of 2017

SL. NO	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE