

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD

TUESDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NAMAVARAPU RAJESHWAR RAO

IA No. 1 OF 2025
IN
SA NO: 536 OF 2025

Between:

1. Kondapalli Lakshmi Narasimha Rao (died)
2. Smt. Kondapalli Hymavathi, W/o late Lakshmi Narsimha Rao,
3. Kondapalli Prasanth Krishna, S/o late Lakshmi Narsimha Rao
4. Smt. Kondapalli Rukmini, D/o late Lakshmi Narsimha Rao
5. Smt. Kondapalli Satyavathi, D/o late Lakshmi Narsimha Rao
6. Smt. Ravulapati Sharmila, W/o R. Rama Rao

...Petitioners/Appellant/Appellants/Defendants

(Appellants in SA 536 OF 2025
on the file of High Court)

AND

Mohammad Yasin Sharief, S/o Osman Sharief occ- Private Employee r/o.Flat
no.303, 3rd floor, Surya Pride Apartment, C Block, P and T colony, Sun City,
Bandlaguda Jagir, Hyderabad

...Respondent

(Respondent in-do-)

Counsel for the Petitioners : SRI KOWTURU PAVAN KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent : SRI D JAIPAL REDDY

Petition under Section 151 of C.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant stay the execution of judgment and decree passed in OS.No.79 of 2011 dt.27-8-2024 on the file of learned Senior Civil Judge, Sathupally, Khammam District, as confirmed in AS No.23 of 2024 dt.4-9-2025 on the file of

learned VI Addl. District Judge, Sathupally, Khammam District, pending disposal of SA No. 536 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the grounds filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court dated 11.12.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of the above mentioned counsel, The court made the following;

ORDER:

When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the appellants submitted that the appellants are in possession of the suit scheduled property.

Per contra, learned counsel for the respondent submitted that the respondent is in possession of the suit schedule property and the tenant seeks to enter into fresh agreement with the respondent.

From the above, there is a clear ambiguity and contradiction in the submissions made by both the learned counsel.

Interim order granted earlier shall stand extended till the next date of hearing.

List on 24.02.2026.

**SD/- T. SRINIVAS REDDY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The VI Addl. District Judge, Sathupally, Khammam District
2. The Senior Civil Judge, Sathupally, Khammam District
3. One CC to SRI KOWTURU PAVAN KUMAR Advocate [OPUC]
4. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

RRN,J

DATED: 10.02.2026

List on 24.02.2026

ORDER

IA No.1 of 2025
In
SA.No.536 of 2025



EXTENSION OF INTERIM ORDER