

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA : HYDERABAD

MAIN CASE No.: W.P.No.34301 OF 2024

PROCEEDING SHEET

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
02.	10.01.2025	<u>MB,J</u> Mr. K. Sai Teja, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner (online) Mr. Manav Gecil Thomas, learned counsel for the respondent Nos.2 and 4 Learned counsel appearing for the respondent Nos.2 and 4 (South Indian Bank Limited) submits that the writ petition should be dismissed for non-joinder of the necessary parties. The Court agrees with this contention since paragraph 5 of the writ affidavit clearly reveals that the writ petitioner was aware of the cause of freezing of the Bank Account namely a complaint received by the Bank from Haryana Police. The reason given by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner to exclude the Police, Cyber Crime Division, from being a party to the writ petition is not acceptable to the Court. The reliance placed on the decision of the Madras High Court would also show that the necessary parties were before the Madras High Court. List this matter on 29.01.2025 for the petitioner to take requisite steps as prayed for. . MB,J va	Note: Transferred to IO Folder, before corrections, if any.

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE