

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**FRIDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE JUVVADI SRIDEVI

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 3032 OF 2025

Between:

1. Bhanumoorthy, S/o Ramaswamy.
2. Dakuri Srinivasulu, S/o Dokuri Krishnaiah.
3. Shaik Ahemd Sameeuddin, S/o Ahmed Kharuddin.

Petitioners

AND

1. G Munappa, S/o. G Achanna, Aged about 51 years Occ Agriculture, R/o. SC Colony Bhoothpur Village, Mandal Mahabubnagar District.
2. Balakrishna, S/o Munappa, Aged about 27 years Occ Agriculture, R/o SC Colony Bhoothpur Village, mandal Mahabubnagar District.

Contemnors/Respondents

WHEREAS the petitioner has presented the Contempt Case under Sections 10 to 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, through their counsel M/s. Poojari Srilekha praying the High Court to punish the respondents herein for their deliberate, willful and intentional act in not complying of the order dated 02.05.2025 in IA. No. 1 of 2025 in CRP.NO. 469 of 2025;

Counsel for the Petitioners: MS. POOJARI SRILEKHA

Counsel for the Respondents: DR. KADIRE KRISHNAIAH

AND WHEREAS the said case coming on for hearing upon perusing the affidavit filed therein, and earlier order of the High Court dated 31.10.2025, made the following
ORDER:

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that this Court, vide order, dated 02.05.2025 in I.A.No.1 of 2025 in C.R.P.No.469 of 2025 directed both parties to maintain *status quo* obtaining as on that date. On 23.09.2025 and 08.10.2025, in the absence of the petitioners, the respondents encroached upon the suit schedule property and removed boundary stones therefrom. Hence, the present Contempt Case was filed.

She further submits that the petitioners lodged a complaint against the respondents on 29.11.2025, which was registered as a case in Crime No.239 of 2025. It is also submitted that an *ad interim* injunction order was granted in favour of the petitioners by the trial Court.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the suit schedule property is the ancestral property of the respondents and the respondents are the rightful owners and possessors of the suit schedule property. He further submits that as the petitioners trespassed into the suit schedule property, the respondents also lodged a complaint against the petitioners on 29.11.2025 and the same was registered as a case in Crime No.250 of 2025.

In view of the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on both sides, for proper adjudication of the matter, the Station House Officer, Bhoothpur Police Station, Jadcherla Town, Mahabubnagar District, is directed to inspect the suit schedule property and submit a detailed report regarding (i) who is in possession of the suit schedule property (ii) whether there has been any interference by either of the parties and (iii) the progress of investigation in Crime Nos.239 and 250 of 2025, by the next date of hearing i.e., on 01.05.2026.

List on 01.05.2026.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- K.AMMAJI
DEPUTY REGISTRAR


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Station House Officer, Bhoothpur Police Station, Jadcherla Town, Mahabubnagar District (by SPAD)
2. G Munappa, S/o. G Achanna, Occ Agriculture, R/o. SC Colony Bhoothpur Village, Mandal Mahabubnagar District.
3. Balakrishna, S/o Munappa, Occ Agriculture, R/o SC Colony Bhoothpur Village, Mandal Mahabubnagar District.
4. One CC to Ms. Sreelekha Pujari, Advocate (OPUC)
5. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

JSJ

DATED: 17.04.2026

LIST ON 01.05.2026.

ORDER

CC.No.3032 of 2025

DIRECTION

