

[3444]

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE TIRUMALA DEVI EADA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 14035 OF 2025

Between:

B. Pramila, W/o. B. Venkateshwarlu, Age. 44yrs, Occ. Household, R/o. Plot no.12
E.P. Road No.5, Near Dhikshita Collections, R/o. Mallikarjun Nagar, Peerzadiguda
Village, Medipally Mandal, Medchal-Malkajgiri District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, through PS Miyapur, Cyberabad Rep., by its Public
Prosecutor, High court of Telangana.
2. Gattamaneni Sreekanth, S/o. G. Venkateshwarlu, Age. 28yrs, Occ. Pvt.
Employee, R/o. H.no.8-355, Road no.24, Serilingampally, Rangareddy,
Telangana.

...RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANTS

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances
stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may
be pleased to quash the proceedings against the petitioner/ accused no. 1 in CC
No.1170 of 2024 (Crime no. 142 of 2024), P.S. Miyapur Station, on the file of
Honourable Judicial VIII ADDL. Metropolitan Magistrate Kukatpally, Cyberabad
District.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances
stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be
pleased to STAY all further proceedings against the petitioner/accused No.1 in
C.C.No. 1170 of 2024 (Crime No. 142 of 2024), P.S. Miyapur Station, on the file
of Honourable Judicial VIII Addl. Metropolitan Magistrate Kukatpally, Cyberabad
District.

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Sri B.SRINIVASULU, Advocate for the Petitioner and Sri Jitender Rao Veeramalla Addl. Public Prosecutor on behalf of the Respondent No.1 and none appeared for the Respondent No.2.

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE SMT.JUSTICE TIRUMALA DEVI EADA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.14035 of 2025

ORDER:-

1. This Criminal Petition is filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 seeking the Court to quash the proceedings against the petitioner/accused No.1 in C.C.No.1170 of 2024, on the file of the learned VIII Additional Metropolitan Magistrate, Kukatpally, Cyberabad District, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420 and 506 of IPC.

2. Heard Sri B.Srinivasulu, learned counsel for the petitioner/accused No.1 as well as Sri Jitender Rao Veeramalla, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent No.1- State.

3. Learned petitioner's counsel submitted that the petitioner is not involved in the alleged offence. He further submitted that even as per the contents of the complaint, it is the petitioner's brother who is alleged to have taken money from the defacto complainant with a promise to return with interest or to provide him with a newly constructed house. He further submitted that the petitioner herein is a household lady and is no way concerned with the money alleged to have been given by the defacto complainant and alleged to have

been received by her brother and does not know any such transaction between the defacto complainant and her brother. He therefore prayed to quash the proceedings against the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has submitted that during the course of investigation, the prosecution could collect Bank statement of accused No.2, who is the brother of the petitioner herein. It is further elicited during the course of investigation that the petitioner and her brother are jointly conducting construction business and for the purpose of business, they have allegedly taken the amounts from the defacto complainant. The statements of the witnesses were also recorded and the documentary evidence is also collected. He therefore prayed to dismiss the petition.

5. Perused the record. The contents of the complaint and the recitals of charge sheet point out *prima facie* allegations against the petitioner herein. It is further pointed out that they could collect the statement of account pertaining to accused No.1 and it is alleged that accused Nos.1 & 2 are jointly conducting the construction business. Thus, in the facts and circumstances of the case, it is deemed appropriate to dispose of the Criminal petition by dispensing with the attendance of the petitioner before the Trial Court.

6. Hence the Criminal Petition is disposed of dispensing with the presence of the petitioner/accused No.1 before the Trial Court provided that the petitioner is represented through an Advocate on every date of hearing and that she shall be present before the Trial Court whenever her presence is specifically required during the course of trial.

7. Miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

SD/- MOHD. ISMAIL
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The VIII Addl. Metropolitan Magistrate, Kukatpally at Kukatpally, Cyberabad.
2. The SHO, PS Miyapur, District Cyberabad.
3. One CC to SRI. B SRINIVASULU Advocate [OPUC]
4. One CC to the Addl. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CD Copies

GE/PSL


Bj

HIGH COURT

DATED: 29/10/2025

ORDER

CRLP.No.14035 of 2025



DISPOSING OF THE CRLP WITHOUT COSTS

②
22/11/25
D.K