

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**TUESDAY, THE SECOND DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.V.SHRAVAN KUMAR

WRIT PETITION NO: 1050 OF 2015

Between:

1. Smt. P.Santhoshamma, w/o. Narsanna, Age 41 years,
2. Smt. M.Nageshwaramma, w/o. Santenna, Age 60 years,
3. Smt. Lakshmi Leelavathi, w/o. Nagaraju, Age 35 years,
4. Smt. J.Thimmakka, w/o. Devulanna, Age 64 years,
5. Smt. Hanumakka, w/o. Bajari, Age 64 years
6. Yesanna, s/o. Thimmappa, Age 38 years,
7. Smt. K.Sunitha, w/o. Narsi Reddy, Age 34 years,
8. Smt. K.Jayamma, w/o. Nadepanna, Age 45 years,
9. G.Venkat Reddy, s/o. Laxmi Reddy, Age 42 years,
10. K.Nadipi Narsimhulu, s/ o. Nadeppenna, Age 58 years,
11. J.Nagaraju, s/o. Hanumanna, Age 43 years,
12. Parimal Rao, s/o. Mukanna, Age 55 years

All the petitioners are residents of Mittadoddi village, Ghattu Mandal, Mahabubnagar District.

...PETITIONERS

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.
2. The Special Deputy Collector (LA), JLIP, Gadwal, Mahabubnagar District.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Gadwal, Mahabubnagar District.
4. The Tahsildar, Ghattu Mandal, Mahabubnagar District

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents in not paying the

compensation for the lands of the petitioners viz., 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th petitioners to an extent of Ac. 3-00 cents each, 6th petitioner to an extent of Ac.3.19 cents, 7th and 12th petitioners to an extent of 3.25 cents each, 8th and 10th petitioners to an extent of Ac. 3.15 cents each, 9th petitioner to an extent of Ac.3.20 cents and 11th petitioner to an extent of Ac.3.21 cents, in Sy.No.421 of Mittododdi village, Ghattu Mandal, Mahabubnagar District, inundated in the Muchonipally Balancing Reservoir, on the ground that petitioners lands are assigned lands even though it is settled law that petitioners are entitled for full compensation as per Mekala Pandu case reported in 2004 (2) ALT 546 (LB) as confirmed by the Supreme Court in Civil Appeal Nos. 9317 - 9321 of 2012 as illegal, arbitrary, violative of Article 14, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to pay full compensation by initiating proceedings under The Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2015(WPMP. NO: 1322 OF 2015)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents to forthwith pay the compensation for the lands of the petitioners viz., 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th petitioners to an extent of Ac. 3-00 cents each, 6th petitioner to an extent of Ac.3.19 cents, 7th & 12th petitioners to an extent of 3.25 cents each, 8th & 10th petitioners to an extent of Ac. 3.15 cents each, 9th petitioner to an extent of Ac.3.20 cents and 11th petitioner to an extent of Ac.3.21 cents, in Sy.No.421 of Mittododdi village, Ghattu Mandal, Mahabubnagar District, inundated in the Muchonipally Balancing Reservoir, by initiating proceedings under The Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013, pending disposal of the above Writ Petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI K.RATHANGA PANI REDDY
Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR LAND ACQUISITION
The Court made the following: ORDER

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. SHRAVAN KUMAR

W.P. No.1050 of 2015

Date: 02.12.2025

Between:

Smt. P.Santhoshamma and Eleven others

... Petitioners

And

The State of Telangana,
Revenue Department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad and Four others

... Respondents

ORDER:

Today, though the matter has been listed under the caption for "Specially Identified Cases", there is no representation for the petitioners either by physical presence or by virtual mode in the forenoon session as well in the afternoon session. Hence, it appears that the petitioners are not interested in pursuing the matter and this Court has no other option except to dismiss this writ petition for non prosecution.

Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed for non prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

**SD/-SD/- S. MALLIKARJUNA RAO
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,


1. One CC to SRI K.RATHANGA PANI REDDY, Advocate. [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP FOR LAND ACQUISITION, High Court for the State of Telangana. [OUT]
3. Two CD Copies.

BSK

SA 

HIGH COURT

DATED:02/12/2025



ORDER

WP.No.1050 of 2015

**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION
FOR NON-PROSECUTION
WITHOUT COSTS**

6 copies
PVL
16/03/2026