IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

SATURDAY, THE TWENTY FIFTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.TUKARAMJI

WRIT PETITION NOS: 32078, 32091, 32115, 32151, 32186 AND 32195 OF 2025

W.P.No.32078 of 2025

Between:

Pappula Lakshmi, W/o Cheralu, aged about 55 years, Occ ; Agriculture, R/o H.No.1-68, Giddemutharam Village, Chityala Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District.

.....PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue (Land Acquisition) Department, Secretariat Building, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer-cum-Land Acquisition Officer, Bhupalapalli Division, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District.
4. The Tahsildar, Chityala Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District.
5. The Project Director, National Highway Authority of India, Warangal Division, Hanmakonda District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent No.3 in non-considering the representation of the petitioner dated 04.09.2025 and non-initiating to implement the section 29 of the Land Acquisition Act and non-initiating to pay the compensation to the petitioner bore-well, pipeline and other structures in the land of the petitioner in respect of land in Sy.No. 52/A/A, 52/A/1, 52/C/1, 52/C/AA and 52/B/2 totaling to an extent of Ac.1.03 gts which is situated at Giddemutharam

Revenue Village, Chityala Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District, by conducting the re-estimation and non-paying the compensation to the petitioner's land, which is acquired under the land acquisition programe for construction of National Highway from Mancherial to Vijayawada (NH163-G) is highly illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and violation of Principles of Natural Justice and also in violation of Articles 14, 21 And 300-A of the Constitution of India, apart from violation of provisions of Right to Fair Compensation and transparency in Land Acquisition Act, Rehabilitation Resettlement Act, 2013 and consequently direct the respondent No.3 to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 04.09.2025 and to implement the section 29 of the Land Acquisition Act and to pay the compensation to the petitioner bore-well, pipeline and other structures in the land of the petitioner in respect of land in Sy.No. 52/A/A, 52/A/1, 52/C/1, 52/C/AA and 52/B/2 totaling to an extent of Ac.1.03 gts which is situated at Giddemutharam Revenue Village, Chityala Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District, by conducting the re-estimation and to pay the compensation to the petitioner's land, which is acquired under the land acquisition programe for construction of National Highway from Mancherial to Vijayawada (NH163-G).

I.A.NO:1 OF 2025

Petition Under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondent No.3 to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 04.09.2025 and to implement the section 29 of the Land Acquisition Act and to pay the compensation to the petitioner bore-well, pipeline and other structures in the land of the petitioner in respect of land in Sy.No. 52/A/A, 52/A/1, 52/C/1, 52/C/AA and 52/B/2 totaling to an extent of Ac.1.03 gts which is situated at Giddemutharam Revenue Village, Chityala Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District, by conducting the re-estimation and to pay the compensation to the petitioner's land, which is acquired under the land acquisition program for construction of National Highway from Mancherial to Vijayawada (NH163-G) pending disposal of the writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI RAPOLU BHASKAR

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 : M/s K.RADHA REDDY, AGP FOR LAND ACQUISITION

Counsel for the Respondent No.5 : SRI MADISHETTY RAMU, SC FOR NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY OF INDIA

W.P.NO: 32091 OF 2025

Between:

Gone Shankar Rao, S/o Raghava Rao, Aged about 55 years, Occ ; Agriculture, R/o.No.9-29, Pedddampalli Village, Tekumatla Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District.

.....PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue (Land Acquisition) Department, Secretariat Building, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer-cum- Land Acquisition Officer, Bhupalapalli Division, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District.
4. The Tahsildar, Tekumatla Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District.
5. The Project Director, National Highway Authority of India, Warangal Division, Hanmakonda District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent No.3 in non-considering the representation of the petitioner dated 26/06/2025, 04/09/2025 and non-initiating to implement the section 29 of the Land Acquisition Act and non-initiating to pay the compensation to the petitioner bore-well, pipeline and other structures in the land of the petitioner in respect of land in Sy.No.168/A1 and Sy.No.301/B total to an extent of Ac.0.38 gts which is situated at Velishala Revenue Village, Tekumatla Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District, by conducting the re-estimation and non-paying the compensation to the petitioner's land, which is acquired under the land acquisition programe for construction of National Highway from Mancherial to Vijayawada (NH163-G) is highly illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and violation of Principles of Natural Justice and also in violation of Articles 14, 21 and 300-A

of the Constitution of India, apart from violation of provisions of Right to Fair Compensation and transparency in Land Acquisition Act, Rehabilitation Resettlement Act, 2013 and consequently direct the respondent No.3 to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 26/06/2025, 04/04/2025 and to implement the section 29 of the Land Acquisition Act and to pay the compensation to the petitioner bore-well, pipeline and other structures in the land of the petitioner in respect of land in Sy.No.168/A1 and Sy.No.301/B total to an extent of Ac.0.38 gts which is situated at Velishala Revenue Village, Tekumatla Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District, by conducting the re-estimation and to pay the compensation to the petitioner's land, which is acquired under the land acquisition programe for construction of National Highway from Mancherial to Vijayawada (NH163-G).

I.A.NO:1 OF 2025

Petition Under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondent No.3 to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 26.06.2025, 0+42025 and to implement the section 29 of the Land Acquisition Act and to pay the compensation to the petitioner bore-well, pipeline and other structures in the land of the petitioner in respect of land in Sy.No.168/A1 and Sy.No.301/B total to an extent of Ac.0.38 gts which is situated at Velishala Revenue Village, Tekumatla Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District, by conducting the re-estimation and to pay the compensation to the petitioner's land, which is acquired under the land acquisition programe for construction of National Highway from Mancherial to Vijayawada (NH163-G) pending disposal of the writ petition..

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI RAPOLU BHASKAR

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 : M/s K.RADHA REDDY, AGP FOR LAND ACQUISITION

Counsel for the Respondent No.5 : SRI MADISHETTY RAMU, SC FOR NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY OF INDIA

W.P.NO: 32115 OF 2025

Between:

Kondeti Surendar, S/o Sailu, aged about 38 years, Occ. Agriculture, R/o H.No.4-95, Pangidipalli Village, Tekumatla Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District.

.....PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue (Land Acquisition) Department, Secretariat Building, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer-cum-Land Acquisition Officer, Bhupalapalli Division, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District.
4. The Tahsildar, Tekumatla Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District.
5. The Project Director, National Highway Authority of India, Warangal Division, Hanmakonda District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent No.3 in non-considering the representation of the petitioner dated 13.08.2025 and 04.09.2025 and non-initiating to implement the section 29 of the Land Acquisition Act and non-initiating to pay the compensation to the petitioner bore-well, pipeline and other structures in the land of the petitioner in respect of Ind in Sy.No.106/A to an extent of Ac.1.20 gts which is situated at Pagidipalle Revenue Village, Tekumatla Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District, by conducting thi. re-estimation aid non-paying the compensation to the petitioner's land, which is acquired under the land acquisition programe for construction of National Highway from Maneherial to Vijayawada (NI I163-(i) is highly illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and violation of Principles of Natural Justice and also in violation of Articles 14, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India, apart from violation of provisions of Right to Fair Compensation and transparency in Land Acquisition Act, Rehabilitation Resettlement Act, 2013 and consequently direct the respondent No.3 to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 13.08.2025 and 04.09.2025 and to

implement the section 29 of the Land Acquisition Act and to pay the compensation to the petitioner bore-well, pipeline and other structures in the land of the petitioner in respect of land in Sy.No.106/A to an extent of Ac.1.20 gts which is situated at Pagidipalle Revenue Village, Tekumatla Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District, by conducting the re-estimation and to pay the compensation to the petitioner's land, which is acquired under the land acquisition programe for construction of National Highway from Mancherial to Vijayawada (NM 63-G).

I.A.NO:1 OF 2025

Petition Under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondent No.3 to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 13.08.2025 and 04.09.2025 and to impenitent the section 29 of the land Acquisition Act and to pay the compensation to the petitioner bore-well, pipeline and other structures in the land of the petitioner in respect of land in Sy.No.106/A to an extent of Ac.1.20 gts which is situated at Pagidipalle Revenue Village, Tekumatla Mancini, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District. by conducting the re-estimation and to pay the compensation to the petitioner's land, which is acquired under the land acquisition programe for construction of National Highway from Mancherial to Vijayawada (NH163-G) pending disposal of the writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI RAPOLU BHASKAR

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 : M/s K.RADHA REDDY, AGP FOR LAND ACQUISITION

Counsel for the Respondent No.5 : SRI MADISHETTY RAMU, SC FOR NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY OF INDIA

W.P.NO: 32151 OF 2025

Between:

Pothuganti Padma,, W/o Shankar, aged about 40 years, Occ. Agriculture, R/o H.No.6-98, Giddemutharam Village, Chityala Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District.

.....PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue (Land Acquisition) Department, Secretariat Building, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer-cum- Land Acquisition Officer, Bhupalapalli Division, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District
4. The Tahsildar, Chityala Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District.
5. The Project Director, National Highway Authority of India, Warangal Division, Hanmakonda District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent No.3 in non-considering the representation of the petitioner dated 18.08.2025 and 04.09.2025 and non-initiating to implement the section 29 of the Land Acquisition Act and non-initiating to pay the compensation to the petitioner bore-well, pipeline and other structures in the land of the petitioner in respect of land in Sy.No.167/G/2 totaling to an extent of Ac.0.20 gts which is situated at Giddemutharam Revenue Village, Chityala Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District, by conducting the re-estimation and non-paying the compensation to the petitioner's land, which is acquired under the land acquisition programe for construction of National Highway from Mancherial to Vijayawada (NH163-G) is highly illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and violation of Principles of Natural Justice and also in violation of Articles 14, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India, apart from violation of provisions of Right to Fair Compensation and transparency in Land Acquisition Act, Rehabilitation Resettlement Act, 2013 and consequently direct the respondent No.3 to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 18.08.2025 and 04.09.2025 and to implement the section 29 of the Land Acquisition Act and to pay the compensation to the petitioner bore-well, pipeline and other structures in the land of the petitioner in respect of land in Sy.No.167/G/2 totaling to an extent of Ac.0.20 gts which is situated at Giddemutharam Revenue Village, Chityala Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District, by conducting the re-

estimation and to pay the compensation to the petitioner's land, which is acquired under the land acquisition programe for construction of National Highway from Mancherial to Vijayawada (NH163-G).

I.A.NO:1 OF 2025

Petition Under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondent No.3 to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 18.08.2025 and 04.09.2025 and to implement the section 29 of the Land Acquisition Act and to pay the compensation to the petitioner bore-well, pipeline and other structures in the land of the petitioner in respect of land in Sy.No.167/G/2 totaling to an extent of Ac.0.20 gts which is situated at Giddemutharam Revenue Village, Chityala Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District, by conducting the re-estimation and to pay the compensation to the petitioner's land, which is acquired under the land acquisition programe for construction of National Highway from Mancherial to Vijayawada (NH163-G) pending disposal of the writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI RAPOLU BHASKAR

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 : M/s K.RADHA REDDY, AGP FOR LAND ACQUISITION

Counsel for the Respondent No.5 : SRI MADISHETTY RAMU, SC FOR NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY OF INDIA

W.P.NO:32186 OF 2025

Between:

Kanumala Komala, W/o Rajaiah, aged about 50 years, Occ Agriculture, R/o H.No.6-88, Peddampalli Village, Tekumatla Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District.

.....PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep by its Principal Secretary, Revenue (Land Acquisition) Department, Secretariat Building, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District.

3. The Revenue Divisional Officer-cum-Land Acquisition Officer, Bhupalapalli Division, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District.
4. The Tahsildar, Tekumatla Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District.
5. The Project Director, National Highway Authority of India, Warangal Division, Hanmakonda District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent No.3 in non-considering the representation of the petitioner dated 13.08.2025 and 04.09.2025 and non-initiating to implement the section 29 of the Land Acquisition Act and non-initiating to pay the compensation to the petitioner bore-well, pipeline and other structures in the land of the petitioner in respect of land in in Sy.No.273/B to an extent of Ac.2.09 gts which is situated at Velishala Revenue Village, Tekumatla Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District, by conducting the re-estimation and non-paying the compensation to the petitioner's land, which is acquired under the land acquisition programe for construction of National Highway from Mancherial to Vijayawada (NH163-G) is highly illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and violation of Principles of Natural Justice and also in violation of Articles 14, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India, apart from violation of provisions of Right to Fair Compensation and transparency in Land Acquisition Act, Rehabilitation Resettlement Act, 2013 and consequently direct the respondent No.3 to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 13.08.2025 and 04.09.2025 and to implement the section 29 of the Land Acquisition Act and to pay the compensation to the petitioner bore-well, pipeline and other structures in the land of the petitioner in respect of land in Sy.No.273/B to an extent of Ac.2.09 gts which is situated at Velishala Revenue Village, Tekumatla Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District, by conducting the re-estimation and to pay the compensation to the petitioner's land, which is acquired under the land acquisition programe for construction of National Highway from Mancherial to Vijayawada (NH163-G).

I.A.NO:1 OF 2025

Petition Under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondent No.3 to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 13.08.2025 and 04.09.2025 and to implement the section 29 of the Land Acquisition Act and to pay the compensation to the petitioner bore-well, pipeline and other structures in the land of the petitioner in respect of land in in Sy.No.273/B to an extent of Ac.2.09 gts which is situated at Velishala Revenue Village, Tekumatla Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District, by conducting the re-estimation and to pay the compensation to the petitioner's land, which is acquired under the land acquisition programe for construction of National Highway from Mancherial to Vijayawada (NH163-G) pending disposal of the writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI RAPOLU BHASKAR

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 : M/s K.RADHA REDDY, AGP FOR LAND ACQUISITION

Counsel for the Respondent No.5 : SRI MADISHETTY RAMU, SC FOR NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY OF INDIA

W.P.NO: 32195 OF 2025

Between:

Kasani Mahendar, S/o Odelu, aged about 42 years, Occ. Agriculture, R/o H.No.5-78, Ankshapur Village, Tekumatla Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District.

.....PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue (Land Acquisition) Department, Secretariat Building, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer-cum-Land Acquisition Officer, Bhupalapalli Division, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District.
4. The Tahsildar, Tekumatla Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District.

5. The Project Director, National Highway Authority of India, Warangal Division, Hanmakonda District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent No.3 in non-considering the representation of the petitioner dated 04.09.2025 and non-initiating to implement the section 29 of the Land Acquisition Act and non-initiating to pay the compensation to the petitioner bore-well, pipeline and other structures in the land of the petitioner in respect of land in Sy.No.69/A to an extent of Ac.0.12 gts which is situated at Ankshapur Revenue Village, Tekumatla Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District, by conducting the re-estimation and non-paying the compensation to the petitioners land, which is acquired under the land acquisition programe for construction of National Highway from Mancherial to Vijayawada (NH163-G) is highly illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and violation of Principles of Natural Justice and also in violation of Articles 14, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India. apart from violation of provisions of Right to Fair Compensation and transparency in Land Acquisition Act, Rehabilitation Resettlement Act. 2013 and consequently direct the respondent No.3 to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 04.09.2025 and to implement the section 29 of the Land Acquisition Act In id to pay the compensation to the petitioner bore-well, pipeline and other structures in the land of the petitioner in respect of land in Sy.No.69/A to an extent of Ac.0.12 gts which is situated at Ankshapur Revenue Village, Tekumatla Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District, by conducting the re-estimation and to pay the compensation to the petitioners land, which is acquired under the land acquisition programe for construction of National Highway from Mancherial to Vijayawada (NH163-G).

I.A.NO:1 OF 2025

Petition Under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to

direct the respondent No.3 to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 04.09.2025 and to implement the section 29 of the Land Acquisition Act and to pay the compensation to the petitioner bore-Well, pipeline and other structures in the land of the petitioner in respect of land in Sy.No.69/A to an extent of Ac.0.12 gts which is situated at Ankshapur Revenue Village, Tekumatla Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District. by conducting the re-estimation and to pay the compensation to the petitioner's land. which is acquired under the land acquisition programe for construction of National Highway from Mancherial to Vijayawada (NH163-G) pending disposal of the writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI RAPOLU BHASKAR

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 : M/s K.RADHA REDDY, AGP FOR LAND ACQUISITION

Counsel for the Respondent No.5 : SRI MADISHETTY RAMU, SC FOR NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY OF INDIA

The Court made the following COMMON ORDER

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.TUKARAMJI

WRIT PETITION Nos.32078, 32091, 32115, 32151, 32186 and 32195 OF 2025

COMMON ORDER:

Since the issue raised in these Writ Petitions is identical, they are heard together and are being disposed of by this common order.

2. As the prayer in all the writ petitions is similar, it is apposite to extract the prayer in one writ petition i.e., W.P.No.32078 of 2025, which is as follows:

> *"...to issue an order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent No.3 in non-considering the representation of the petitioner dated 04.09.2025 and non-initiating to implement the section 29 of the Land Acquisition Act and non-initiating to pay the compensation to the petitioner bore-well, pipeline and other structures in the land of the petitioner in respect of land in Sy.No. 52/A/A, 52/A/1, 52/C/1, 52/C/AA and 52/B/2 totaling to an extent of Ac.1.03 gts which is situated at Giddemutharam Revenue Village, Chityala Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District, by conducting the re-estimation and non-paying the compensation to the petitioners land, which is acquired under the land acquisition programe for construction of National Highway from Mancherial to Vijayawada (NH163-G) is highly illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and violation of Principles of Natural Justice and also in violation of Articles 14, 21 And 300-A of the Constitution of India, apart from violation of provisions of Right to Fair Compensation and transparency in Land Acquisition Act, Rehabilitation Resettlement Act, 2013 and consequently direct the respondent No.3 to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 04.09.2025 and to implement the section 29 of the Land Acquisition Act and to pay the compensation to the petitioner bore-well, pipeline and other structures in the land of the petitioner in respect of land in Sy.No. 52/A/A, 52/A/1, 52/C/1, 52/C/AA and 52/B/2 totaling to an extent of Ac.1.03 gts which is situated at Giddemutharam Revenue Village, Chityala Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District, by conducting the re-estimation and to pay the compensation to the petitioners land, which is acquired under the land acquisition programe for construction of National Highway from Mancherial to Vijayawada (NH163-G) and pass..."*

3. Heard Mr.Rapolu Bhaskar, learned counsel for the petitioners; Mr.K. Radha Reddy, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Land Acquisition appearing for respondent Nos.1 to 4 and Mr.Madishetty Ramu, learned Standing Counsel for National Highway Authority of India appearing for the respondent No.5.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as respondent No.3 has failed to consider the representations submitted by the petitioners dated 26.06.2025, 13.08.2025, 18.08.2025, and 04.09.2025 regarding non-implementation of Section 29 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, for payment of compensation to the petitioners, the present writ petitions have been filed seeking an appropriate direction.

5. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Land Acquisition, appearing for respondent Nos.1 to 4, on instructions, submits that the valuation of compensation has been carried out in accordance with the settled legal position. However, it is submitted that there are no specific instructions with respect to the written representations made by the petitioners.

6. I have perused the material placed on record.

7. The sole grievance of the petitioners is that their representations have not been considered by respondent No.3.

2025:TSHC:41451

8. Having regard to the submissions made and in view of the pendency of the petitioners' representations dated 26.06.2025, 13.08.2025, 18.08.2025, and 04.09.2025, respondent No.3 is directed to consider the said representations and pass appropriate orders strictly in accordance with law, within a period of six (06) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

9. With the aforesaid direction, these writ petitions are disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous Petitions, pending if any, shall stand closed.

Sd/-P.PONNA KRISHNA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Principal Secretary, Revenue (Land Acquisition) Department, Secretariat Building, Secretariat, State of Telangana at Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer-cum-Land Acquisition Officer, Bhupalapalli Division, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District.
4. The Tahsildar, Tekumatla Mandal, Jayashankar-Bhupalapalli District.
5. The Project Director, National Highway Authority of India, Warangal Division, Hanmakonda District.
6. Two CCs to GP FOR LAND ACQUISITION, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad. [OUT]
7. One CC to SRI RAPOLU BHASKAR, Advocate [OPUC]
8. Two CD Copies

SA
PMK PA

2025:TSHC:41451

HIGH COURT

DATED:25/10/2025

COMMON ORDER

WP.NOS: 32078, 32091, 32115, 32151, 32186 AND 32195 OF 2025

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
17 NOV 2025
DESPATCHED

DISPOSING OF THE WRIT PETITONS WITHOUT COSTS.

(11) PA
13/11/25