

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
At Hyderabad
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE T.MADHAVI DEVI

WRIT PETITION NO: 32028 OF 2025

Between:

1. Ravula Kishan Rao, S/o. Ravula Chandraiah, Aged about 72 years, Occ. Farmer, R/o. H. No.4-86, Machareddy, Kamareddy
2. Vadla Balamani, W/o. Vadla Gangadhar Aged about 50 years, Occ. Housewife, R/o. H. No.4-98/1, Machareddy, Kamareddy
3. Dandham Rajamani, W/o. Gandham Raja Lingam, Aged about 74 years, Occ. Housewife, R/o. H. No.4-88, Machareddy, Kamareddy
4. Siddaam Shetty Ramesh, S/o. Rachandram Aged about 59 years, Occ. farmer, R/o. H. No.1-25. Machareddy, Kamareddy
5. Siddam Shetty Sunitha, W/o. Siddam Shetty Srinivas, Aged about 46 years, Occ. Housewife, R/o. H. No.1-18/1, Machareddy, Kamareddy
6. Sutari Chandram, S/o. Sutari Asaiah, Aged about 48 years, Occ. Farmer, R/o. H. No.4-14, Machareddy, Kamareddy
7. Puttakokula Srinivas, S/o. Puttakokula Narsaiah, Aged about 31 years, Occ. Farmer, R/o. H. No.4-46, Machareddy, Kamareddy
8. Siddamshetti Ramesh, S/o. Siddamshetti Laxmi Rajam, Aged about 52 years, Occ. Farmer, R/o. H. No.1-18, Machareddy, Kamareddy
9. Ragula Devraju, S/o. Late Ragula Lingam, Aged about 46 years, Occ. Pvt. Employee, R/o. H. No.1-60 (Old No.1-39), Machareddy, Kamareddy
10. Domakonda Vadla Ramchandram, S/o. Bhagavantam, Aged about 59 years, Occ. Farmer, R/o. H.No.1-37, Manchareddy, Kamareddy.
11. Laggala Sandhya, S/o. Laggala Sathyanarayana, Aged about 36 years, Occ. House wife, R/o. H.No.4-13, Machareddy, Kamareddy.

...PETITIONERS

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep by its Pri. Secretary for Revenue, Secretariat building, Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector, Kama Reddy District
3. District Panchayath Raj Officer, Kama Reddy District
4. Mandal Panchayath Raj Officer, Macha Reddy, Kamareddy
5. Panchayath Secretary, Macha Reddy Gram Panchayath Kama Reddy District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ order or direction more particularly in the nature of Writ of

Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondents more particularly Respondent No.5 in demolishing the Petitioners following house properties.

SL NO.	Name Of The Petitioner	House No.	Extent of land (Approx.)
1.	Ravula Kishan Rao	H.No.4-86, Machareddy, Kamareddy	160 Sq. Yards
2.	Vadla Balamani	H.No.4-98/1, Machareddy, Kamareddy	120 Sq. Yards
3.	Dandham Rajamani	H.No.4-88, Machareddy, Kamareddy	120 Sq. Yards
4.	Siddam Shetty Ramesh	H.No.1-25, Machareddy, Kamareddy	400 Sq. Yards
5.	Siddam Shetty Sunitha	H.No.1-18/1, Machareddy, Kamareddy	180 Sq. Yards
6.	Sutari Chandram	H.No.4-14, Machareddy, Kamareddy	135 Sq. Yards
7.	Puttakokula Srinivas	H.No.4-46, Machareddy, Kamareddy	150 Sq. Yards
8.	Siddamshett Ramesh	H.No.1-18, Machareddy, Kamareddy	180 Sq. Yards
9.	Ragula Devraju	H.No.1-60(Old No.1-39), Machareddy, Kamareddy	185 Sq. Yards
10.	Domakonda Vadla Ramchandram	H.No.1-37, Machareddy, Kamareddy	150 Sq. Yards
11.	Laggala Sandhya	H.No.4-13, Machareddy, Kamareddy	140 Sq. Yards

situated at Machareddy Village and Mandal, Kamareddy District for undertaking expansion of internal village roads from 15 ft to 40 ft as Arbitrary, illegal and unconstitutional and against the principles of natural justice and Violation of Article 14, 21 and 300-A of constitution of India and consequently direct the Respondents more particularly Respondent No.5 to restore demolished structures of the Petitioner in respect of the above referred house properties.

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondents more particularly Respondent No.5 to forthwith stop the illegal demolishing the Petitioners.

Counsel for the Petitioners: SRI M.V.RAJ KUMAR GABRIEL

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2: GP FOR REVENUE

**Counsel for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4: GP FOR PANCHAYATH RAJ & RURAL
DEV**

Counsel for the Respondent No.5: SRI K.PRADEEP REDDY, SC FOR MPPZPP

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE T. MADHAVI DEVI

WRIT PETITION NO.32028 OF 2025

ORDER

In this Writ Petition, which has been moved as a lunch motion, the petitioners are challenging the action of the respondents, particularly respondent No.5 in trying to demolish the petitioners' properties in various survey numbers in Machareddy Village and Mandal, Kamareddy District allegedly for expansion of internal village roads from 15 feet to 40 feet without following due process of law, as illegal and arbitrary and also in violation of principles of natural justice.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has drawn the attention of this Court to various photographs filed along with the Writ Petition to demonstrate that the respondents are undertaking activity of measurements for expansion of internal roads and due to the same, the petitioners' properties are going to be affected. The petitioners also filed photographs at pages 51 and 52 of the writ papers to demonstrate that one of the compound walls has already been demolished.

3. Learned Standing Counsel for the respondent Gram Panchayat, on oral instructions, submitted that the Village Committee has undertaken the exercise of taking measurements of the internal roads in the village and they are yet to submit their proposals to the Collector and thereafter, action would be initiated for expansion of village roads, if any. He submitted that as on today, there is no exercise of demolition of compound wall as shown in the photographs filed at pages 51 and 52 of the writ papers. He submitted that the owner of the said compound wall has demolished it and not the respondent authorities. He submitted that there is no proposal to demolish any of the buildings in the village and they would follow the due process of law if need be in respect of the petitioners' houses or any houses in the village.

4. In view of the above submissions, as there is no imminent exercise by the respondents for expansion of roads or for demolition of buildings without marking, this Court does not see any reason to pass any orders at this stage. The respondents are, however, directed to follow due process of law if they intend to take action against the properties of the petitioners.

5. The Writ Petition is accordingly closed at the admission stage. No order as to costs

6. Pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, in this Writ Petition shall also stand closed.

SD/- P.PONNA KRISHNA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR


//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To

1. One CC to SRI M V RAJ KUMAR GABRIEL, Advocate [C.PUC]
2. Two CC GP FOR REVENUE, High Court For The State Of Telangana, At Hyderabad [OUT]
3. Two CC GP FOR PANCHAYATH RAJ & RURAL DEV, High Court For The State Of Telangana, At Hyderabad[OUT]
4. One CC to SRI K.PRADEEP REDDY, SC FOR MPPZPP [OPUC]
5. Two CD Copies

PSK




CC TODAY

HIGH COURT

DATED:23/10/2025

ORDER

WP.No.32028 of 2025



CLOSING THE WRIT PETITION
WITHOUT COSTS.

8
24/10/25
b.s