

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B.VIJAYSEN REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO: 31855 OF 2025

Between:

1. M.Kanaka Durga, w/o Late M.Venkat Rao, Age.79yrs, Occ: House Wife, R/o Srinivasa Towers, 19/A, PS Nagar, Masab Tank Hyderabad-50005
2. M.Sridhardayal, S/o Late M.Venkat Rao, Age.50yrs, Occ: SCBA Advocate@Supreme Court, R/o Srinivasa Towers, 19/A, PS Nagar, Masab Tank,Hyderabad-500057

...PETITIONERS

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep.by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration and Urban Development Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Commissioner HYDRA, (Hyderabad Disaster Response and Asset Reconstruction Management) 7thFloor, BUDDHA BHAVAN, Tank Bund Hyderabad-500003
3. The Assistant Commissioner of Police, HYDRA(Hyderabad Disaster Response and Asset Reconstruction Management) 7th Floor, BUDDHA BHAVAN,Tank Bund Hyderabad-500003
4. The Deputy Commissioner, Circle -20, GHMC Zonal Office Survey no.25A, Meeseva Centre & MRO Office, Serlingampalli Mandal, Ranga Reddy District Telangana-500019
5. The District Collector, Ranga Reddy District Kongara kalanVillage, Ibrahimpatnam Telangana-501510

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondent in not considering my representation dtd.13-10-2025 as illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional and Abusing the Process of Law & Jurisdiction and Principles of

Rules against Bias by the Respondents pertaining to the land 150 Acres in Survey No.124/ 1/Lu, at Gopanpally, Serlingampally Mandal RR District and consequently release 3 Acr land (only) to the Petitioners herein, as this is purely a private land after the sale deed got executed vide 690/1964 and hand over the possession to the rightful legal heirs i.e the Petitioners herein.

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondent no.5 to consider my Representation/Application on Bhubharathi Portal, dt.24-09-2025 and remove the Petitioners land of 3Acr from the Prohibited list of 22-A situated in Survey No.124/1/Lu, at Gopanpally, Serlingampally Mandal RANGA REDDY District pending disposal of this Writ Petition in the interest of Justice.

IA NO: 2 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondent no.2 to 4 to consider my Representation dtd.13-10-2025 and demolish the illegal wall in subject land of 150 Acres in Survey No.124/1/Lu, at Gopanpally, Serlingampally Mandal RANGA REDDY District pending disposal of this Writ Petition in the interest of Justice.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI M.SRIDHAR DAYAL FOR SRI M. RAJU

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP FOR MCPL ADMN & URBAN DEV

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: Mr.K.PAVAN KUMAR, SC FOR HYDRAA

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: AGP FOR HOME

**Counsel for the Respondent No.4: Mr.G.MADHUSUDHAN REDDY, SC FOR
GHMC**

Counsel for the Respondent No.5: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B. VIJAYSEN REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.31855 OF 2025

ORDER : (ORAL)

This writ petition is filed by the petitioners seeking the following relief:

“... to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondent in not considering my representation dtd. 13-10-2025 as illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional and Abusing the Process of Law & Jurisdiction and Principles of Rules against Bias by the Respondents pertaining to the land 150 Acres in Survey No.124/1/Lu at Gopanpally, Serlingampally Mandal, RR District and consequently release 3 Acr land (only) to the Petitioners herein as this is purely a private land after the sale deed got executed vide 690/1964 and hand over the possession to the rightful legal heirs i.e., the Petitioners herein and pass ...”

2. Heard Mr. M. Sridhar Dayal, learned counsel, representing Mr. M. Raju, learned counsel for the petitioners, Mr. K. Pavan Kumar, learned standing counsel for the HYDRAA, appearing for respondent No.2, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, for respondent No.3, and Mr. G. Madhusudhan Reddy, learned standing counsel for the GHMC, appearing for respondent No.4.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that mother-in-law of petitioner No.1, late Mrs. M. Padmavathi purchased the land admeasuring Acs.3-00 guntas in Survey No.124/1 Loo situated at Gopanpalli Village, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, under registered sale deed bearing document No.690 of 1964 dated 04.12.1964. After death of her mother-in-law, petitioner No.1 succeeded to the subject land. The land of petitioner No.1 was illegally grabbed by habitual property offenders and land grabbers namely i.e., Mr. Gokaraju Subbaraju S/o. Gokaraju Ramaraju, Mr. G. Ganesh Kumar, Mr. K. Somavathy, Mr. Appala Charyulu Chilakanarri, Mr. Yogananda Venkata Somayajulu, Mr. Nuthi Subrah and others.

4. It is submitted that the land grabbers have fabricated and created fictitious documents and grabbed the Government land of worth Rs.15,000/- crores. In that connection, the petitioners lodged complaint against the above persons in Crime No.1068 of 2021 of Gachibowli Police Station for the offences of cheating, forgery, criminal trespass, land grabbing etc.

5. It is submitted that the land grabbers have not only committed fraud on the Government but also on the private persons. They have fraudulently obtained loans from the Banks and financial institutions and they are liable to be prosecuted under the provisions of the Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002. Accordingly, the petitioners submitted representation dated 13.10.2025 requesting respondent Nos.2 and 4 to take action against the land grabbers who have occupied valuable Government land admeasuring Acs.150-00 guntas in Survey No.124/1/Lu of Gopanpally Village.

6. Learned standing counsel for the HYDRAA and learned standing counsel for the GHMC submitted that the writ petition is not maintainable. The petitioners have made vague allegations against third parties who are not impleaded in the writ petition. The claim of the petitioners is only for an extent of Acs.03-00 guntas. However, allegations are made against third parties that they have grabbed Acs.150-00 guntas of Government land in Survey No.124/1/Lu of Gopanpally Village. The writ petition cannot be filed for deciding title disputes. If the petitioners have ownership over the land

admeasuring Acs.3-00 guntas, they have to prove their title and possession before the competent Court of law.

7. The claim of the petitioners is only Acs.3-00 guntas. Thus, it is un-understandable as to how the petitioners are seeking for relief for Acs.150-00 guntas of land. Be that as it may, the petitioners have not chosen to make companies or persons who allegedly grabbed the Government land as respondents to this writ petition. In the opinion of this Court, mere submitting representation does not give rise to cause of action and vest any right with a party to approach this Court seeking disposal of the representation, unless they demonstrate before this Court that there is violation of their statutory or fundamental rights. It is settled law that writ jurisdiction cannot be invoked for deciding title disputes. This Court exercising writ jurisdiction cannot direct the respondent authorities to conduct roving enquiry on the representations of the petitioners unless the petitioners plead and demonstrate violation of statutory and fundamental rights, as stated above. It will be difficult for this Court to give general directions to respondent authorities to conduct enquiry based on claims made over the title to the subject lands.

8. This Court does not find any merit in this writ petition. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. However, the petitioners are liberty to pursue appropriate remedies available under law. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous applications, if any, pending in the writ petition stand closed.

SD/-T. SRIDEVI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI M. RAJU, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to SRI K.PAVAN KUMAR, SC FOR HYDRAA [OPUC]
3. One CC to SRI G.MADHUSUDHAN REDDY, SC FOR GHMC [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to GP FOR MCPL ADMN & URBAN DEV, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad . [OUT]
5. Two CCs to GP FOR HOME, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad . [OUT]
6. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad . [OUT]
7. Two CD Copies

PMK
BS



HIGH COURT

DATED:23/10/2025

ORDER

WP.No.31855 of 2025



**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION
WITHOUT COSTS**

(12)
MT
17/3/26