

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA  
AT HYDERABAD  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF OCTOBER  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N. TUKARAMJI**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 31859 OF 2025**

**Between:**

1. Bethi Thirumal Rao, S/o.Bethi Narsinga Rao, Age 49 years, Occ. Business, R/o.H.No.3-408/24-7, Hitec City, Near Entrance, Water Tank, Mancherial, Dist. Mancherial.
2. Rangu Shyam Sunder, S/o. Rangu Chakrapani, Aged about 48 years, Occ.Business, R/o.H.No.19-7-1,2, Swatantra Chowk, near Old Post Office, Laxmi Nagar, Godavarikhani, Ramagundam, Dist. Peddapalli.

**...PETITIONERS**

**AND**

1. The State of Telangana, Rep by its Principal Secretary Home Department Secretariat buildings, Hyderabad.
2. The Police Commissioner, Ramagundam Police Commissionerate, Peddapalli District.
3. The Inspector of Police, PS Godavarikhani I Town, Dist. Peddapalli.
4. Indrasena Reddy, Inspector of Police, Godavarikhani I Town, District Peddapalli.
5. Manda Raj Kumar, S/o.Gattaiah, Aged about 45 Years, Occ. Business, R/o.Seethangar, Godavarikhani, Ramagundam, Dist. Peddapalli.
6. Peram Srikanth, S/o.Late Kanakaiah, Aged about 41 years, Occ, Business, R/o.H.No.21-4-35, Shanthi Nagar, Godavarikhani, Ramagundam, Dist. Peddapalli.
7. Sunil Sadashiv Jadhav, S/o.Sadashiv Jadhav, Aged about 42 years, Occ. Business, R/o.H.No.19-7-8, Laxminagar, Godavarikhani, Ramagundam, Peddapalli.

**...RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ or order more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ or order or orders declaring the action of Respondent No.3 in calling the petitioners to the Police Station, Godavarikhani I

Town at the instance of Respondent No.5 to 7 and interfering in civil disputes and forcing the petitioners to sign on blank white papers and threatening the petitioners to settle the dispute with Respondent No.5 to 7 as being arbitrary, vindictive, illegal, violative of Articles 14, 16 and 21 of the Constitution of India and against to the principles of Natural Justice and consequently direct the Respondent No.3 to not to interfere in civil disputes between the petitioners and Respondent No.5 to 7 and not to call the petitioners to Police Station Godavarikhani I Town and not to take any coercive action against the petitioners.

**IA NO: 1 OF 2025**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondent No. 4 to not to interfere in civil disputes between the petitioners and Respondent No.5 to 7 and not to call the petitioners to Police Station Godavarikhani I Town pending disposal of the above writ petition.

**Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI KONDADI AJAY KUMAR**

**Counsel for the Respondent No.1 to 4: SRI R. LAXMIKANTH REDDY,  
ASST. GP FOR HOME**

**Counsel for the Respondent No.5 to 7: - - - -**

**The Court made the following: ORDER**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.TUKARAMJI****WRIT PETITION No.31859 OF 2025****ORDER:**

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India seeking the following relief/s:-

“...to issue a writ or order more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ or order or orders declaring the action of Respondent No.3 in calling the petitioners to the Police Station, Godavarikhani I Town at the instance of Respondent No.5 to 7 and interfering in civil disputes and forcing the petitioners to sign on blank white papers and threatening the petitioners to settle the dispute with Respondent No.5 to 7 as being arbitrary, vindictive, illegal, violative of Articles 14, 16 and 21 of the Constitution of India and against to the principles of Natural Justice and consequently direct the Respondent No.3 to not to interfere in civil disputes between the petitioners and Respondent No.5 to 7 and not to call the petitioners to Police Station Godavarikhani I Town and not to take any coercive action against the petitioners to pass.....”

2. Heard Mr.Kondadi Ajay Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr.R.Laxmikanth Reddy, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home appearing for respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that respondent No.3, at the instance of the unofficial respondents (respondent Nos.5 to 7), is interfering in civil disputes between the parties and summoning the petitioners to the police station. Hence, the present writ petition has been filed seeking appropriate directions.

4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home submits that respondent No.3 has not interfered in the civil disputes between the petitioners and the unofficial respondents as alleged. He further submits that Crime No.506 of 2025 has been registered against the petitioners, and except for conducting an enquiry in connection with the said crime, there was no occasion for the respondent police to interfere in the civil disputes. Accordingly, he prays for dismissal of the petition.
5. I have perused the material available on record.
6. Having regard to the petitioners' assertion that respondent No.3 is interfering in civil disputes, and considering the settled legal position regarding the limited jurisdiction of the police in matters involving civil rights and pending investigations, this Court is of the view that issuing an appropriate direction to the respondents would adequately redress the grievance of the petitioners.
7. Accordingly, respondent No.3, as well as the other respondent police authorities, are directed to refrain from interfering in the civil disputes between the petitioners and the unofficial respondents. The petitioners shall not be summoned to the police station except in strict accordance with law and in connection with any criminal proceedings lawfully pending.

8. It is needless to observe that, while conducting any proceedings in the pending crime against the petitioners, the respondent police shall strictly adhere to due process of law.

9. With this direction, this writ petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous Petitions, pending if any, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- P.C.SULEKHA DEVI  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, Home Department, The State of Telangana, Secretariat buildings, Hyderabad.
2. The Police Commissioner, Ramagundam Police Commissionerate, Peddapalli District.
3. The Inspector of Police, PS Godavarikhani I Town, Dist. Peddapalli.
4. One CC to SRI KONDADI AJAY KUMAR, Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CCs to GP FOR HOME, High Court for the State of Telangana. [OUT]
6. Two CD Copies  
BN

BSR


*Jks*

**HIGH COURT**

**DATED:23/10/2025**

**ORDER**

**WP.No.31859 of 2025**



**DISPOSING OF THE WRIT PETITION  
WITHOUT COSTS**

(9) JKS  
24/2/26