

[ 3301 ]

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA  
AT HYDERABAD**

TUESDAY, THE NINTH DAY OF DECEMBER  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE PULLA KARTHIK**

**WRIT PETITION (TR) NO: 2909 OF 2017**

**Between:**

1. CH.Sreenivasa Rao, S/o. Veera Chary, aged about 50 years, occ: Work Inspector.
2. A.S.R.Krishnaiah, S/o. Yogeshwara Rao, Aged about 51 years, Occ: Work Inspector.
3. K.V.V.Gopala Raju Suryanarayana Raju, aged about 50 years, occ: Work Inspector.
4. Ch.Nagi Reddy, S/o.Vengala Reddy, aged about 51 years, occ: Helper.
5. G.Sudhakar S/o. Ramulu, aged about 52 years, occ: Helper.
6. M.Bhiksham S/o. Pitchaiah, aged about 50 years, occ: Helper.
7. Gunda Krishna Murthy, S/o. Ramaiah aged about 53 years, occ: Helper.
8. Ch.Bhiksham S/o.Venkaiah, aged about 52 years, occ: Helper.
9. P.Padmaiah, S/o.Ramanarsu, aged about 55 years, occ: Helper.
10. N.Saidulu S/o.Gopaiah aged about 52 years, occ: Helper.
11. P.Saidulu, S/o.Venkatagiri, aged about 51 years, occ: Work Inspector,
12. K.V. Krishnaprasad, S/o.Venkata Ratnam, aged about 50 years, occ: Work Inspector.
13. Md.Yakub Ali, S/o. Bashumiya, aged about 51 years, occ: Cleaning,  
(C/o.K.V.V.Gopala Raju R/o.H.No.1-4-249/54/A Balaji Nagar, Suryapet,  
Nalgonda District)

**...PETITIONERS**

**AND**

1. The Government of Telangana, rep by its Principal Secretary, Irrigation Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Superintending Engineer, I and CADD O&M Circle, Tekulapally Khammam.
3. The Executive Engineer, I and CADD O&M Division Tekulapally Khammam.

**...RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue to call for the records from the Respondents here and to declare the inaction of the Respondents in not considering their cases for absorption into work charge establishment as per G.O.Ms.No.143 dated 16.03.1984 as illegal, contrary to law, and also inviolation of Article 14 and 21 of Constitution of India

consequently direct the Respondents here in to consider the case of the applicants in terms of G.O.Ms.No.143 dated 16.03.1984.

**Counsel for the Petitioners: SRI P.SRIDHAR RAO (NOT PRESENT)**

**Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR SERVICES II**

**The Court made the following: ORDER**

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA  
AT HYDERABAD**

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE PULLA KARTHIK**

**WRIT PETITION (Tr.) No.2909 OF 2017**

**DATED 09.12.2025**

**Between:**

Ch. Sreenivasa Rao and 12 Others.

...Petitioners

**AND**

The Government of Telangana,  
Rep. by its Principal Secretary,  
Irrigation Department,  
Secretariat, Hyderabad and 2 Others.

...Respondents

**ORDER:**

On 21.11.2025, 28.11.2025 and 02.12.2025 and, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioners. As such, the matter was directed to be listed under the caption 'for dismissal'.

2. Today, in spite of the matter being listed under the said caption, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners, either in the forenoon or afternoon sessions. It can, thus, be inferred that the petitioners are not interested in prosecuting the case any further.

3. In view of the above, the Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Miscellaneous applications, if any, pending in this writ petition, shall stand closed. No costs.

**SD/- AHMED ABDULLA KHAN  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

**//TRUE COPY//**

**SECTION OFFICER**

**To**

1. One CC to SRI P.SRIDHAR RAO, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP FOR SERVICES-II, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad. [OUT]
3. Two CD Copies

PSK.

TJ

Pw

**HIGH COURT**

**DATED:09/12/2025**

**ORDER**

**WP(TR).No.2909 of 2017**



**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION  
FOR NON PROSECUTION WITHOUT COSTS**

⑧ copy  
for  
28/03/2026