

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**FRIDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE TIRUMALA DEVI EADA

I.A. Nos. 2 and 3 of 2025

IN/AND

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 13697 OF 2025

Between:

Mohammed Yousuf Pasha, S/o Mohammed Hakeem Pasha, aged 29 years,
Occ : Footwear Business, R/o H.No. 19-2-435/80/76/A Moinpura, Gulshan
Nagar, Charminar Hyderabad

...Petitioner/Accused

AND

1. The State of Telangana, through S.H.O. Hussainialam Police Station, Hyderabad District, rep. By Public Prosecutor, High Court, Hyderabad.
2. Syed Ahmed Hasham, S/o Syed Ahmed, Aged 45 years, occ Footwear shop R/o H.No. 19-2-18/435/76A, Misrigunj Kamatipura, Hyderabad.

...Respondents/complainant

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to quash the proceedings in S.C.No. 533 of 2024 on the file of the II Addl. Metropolitan Sessions Judge, Hyderabad, and to grant such other relief or reliefs as this Court deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the case

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant stay of all further proceedings including the appearance of the petitioner in

S.C.No. 533 of 2024 on the file of the II Addl. Metropolitan Sessions Judge, Hyderabad, pending disposal of the Criminal Petition.

I.A. NO: 2 & 3 OF 2025

Between:

Syed Ahmed Hasham, S/o Syed Ahmed, Aged 45 years, occ Footwear shop R/o H.No. 19-2-18/435/76A, Misrigunj Kamatipura, Hyderabad.

...Petitioner/Respondent No. 2

AND

1. Mohammed Yousuf Pasha, S/o Mohammed Hakeem Pasha, aged 29 years, Occ : Footwear Business, R/o H.No. 19-2-435/80/76/A Moinpura, Gulshan Nagar, Charminar Hyderabad. **...Respondent/Petitioner**
2. The State of Telangana, through S.H.O. Hussainialam Police Station, Hyderabad District, rep. By Public Prosecutor, High Court, Hyderabad.

...Respondent/Respondent No. 1

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2025

Petition under Section 359(6) of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to permit the petitioner to compound the offence U/Sec. 307 of IPC and quash the proceedings in S.C.No. 533 of 2024 on the file of the II Addl. Metropolitan Sessions Judge, Hyderabad.

I.A. NO: 3 OF 2025

Petition under Section praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to record the compromise and to quash the proceedings in S.C.No. 533 of 2024 on the file of the II Addl. Metropolitan Sessions Judge, Hyderabad.

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Azeem Mustafa,

Advocate for the Petitioner and the Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the Respondent No.1.

The Court made the following: ORDER

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE TIRUMALA DEVI EADA

I.A.Nos.2 and 3 of 2025

in/and

CRIMINAL PETITION No.13697 of 2025

Dated:23.01.2026

Between:

Mohammed Yousuf Pasha

...Petitioner

AND

The State of Telangana, through S.H.O.,
Hussainialam Police Station, Hyderabad
District, rep. By Public Prosecutor, High Court,
Hyderabad and another

...Respondents

COMMON ORDER:

1. This Criminal Petition is filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 seeking to quash the proceedings against the petitioner/accused in S.C.No.533 of 2024, on the file of the learned II Additional Metropolitan Sessions Judge, Hyderabad, registered for the offences punishable under Section 307 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('for short IPC').
2. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner as well as respondent No.2/defacto complainant that during pendency of the present Criminal Petition, the parties have compromised the matter

and accordingly, I.A.Nos.2 and 3 of 2025 have been filed to record compromise between them.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has opposed the compromise petition.

4. Since the parties were willing to enter into compromise, they were given a chance to settle the matter by appearing along with their respective counsel before the High Court Legal Services Committee for the State of Telangana *vide* orders dated 24.10.2025 passed by this Court. The Secretary, High Court Legal Services Committee shall identify the parties and submit a report to that effect.

5. Pursuant to the above said direction issued by this Court, the parties along with their respective counsel have appeared before the High Court Legal Services Committee for the State of Telangana and the Secretary of the above said Authority identified the parties and submitted report dated 06.11.2025 stating that the parties were identified and they have willfully, without any coercion, entered into compromise.

6. In view of the above, I.A.Nos.2 and 3 of 2025 are allowed. Consequently, the Criminal Petition is allowed and the proceedings in S.C.No.533 of 2024, on the file of the learned II Additional Metropolitan Sessions Judge, Hyderabad, registered against the

petitioner is hereby quashed subject to the petitioner paying an amount of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand Only) to the Telangana High Court Bar Association and Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand Only) to the Telangana State Legal Services Authority, Hyderabad, within a period of two (02) weeks from today and file proof of same before the Registry.

7. Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

SD/- B.REKHA RANI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The XVII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate at Hyderabad.
2. The II Additional Metropolitan Sessions Judge, Hyderabad.
3. The Station House Officer, Hussainialam Police Station, Hyderabad District.
4. Two CCs to the Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad (OUT)
5. One CC to Sri Azeem Mustafa, Advocate [OPUC]
6. Two CD Copies

(Enclosed a copy of Joint Memo)

DL/PSL



Note: Total Amount of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) has been paid by Sri Azeem Mustafa, Counsel for the Petitioner as directed by the Hon'ble Court vide order dated 23.01.2026 as Rs. 5,000/- (Rupees Five thousand only) to the "Telangana High Court Bar Association, and Rs. 5,000/- (Rupees Five thousand only) to the Telangana State Legal Services Authority, Hyderabad and filed Memo as proof of compliance USR No. 19952 of 2026 dated 17.02.2026.

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

Crl.P.No. of 2025

against

S.C.No. 533 of 2024

(on the file of the II Addl. Metropolitan Sessions Judge at, Hyderabad)

Between:

Mohammed Yousuf Pasha s/o Mohammed
Hakeem Pasha, aged 29 years, occ:
Footwear Business, r/o H.No. 19-2-435/80/76/A
Moinpura, Gulshan Nagar, Charminar
Hyderabad.

..Petitioner/Accused

and

1) The State of Telangana, through S.H.O.,
Hussainialam Police Station, Hyderabad
District, rep. By Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Hyderabad.

2) Syed Ahmed Hasham s/o Syed Ahmed
Aged 45 years, occ: Footwear shop
r/o H.No. 19-2-18/435/76A, Misrigunj
Kamatipura, Hyderabad.

.. Respondents/Complainant

JOINT MEMO FILED BY THE PETITIONER AND THE 2ND RESPONDENT

1) It is submitted that basing on the complaint given by the 2nd respondent, the police Hussainialam have registered a case in FIR No. 192/2023 for the offence U/Sec. 307 of IPC and later the police have completed the investigation and filed charge sheet before the court of learned XVII Addl. Chief Metropolitan Magistrate at Hyderabad, bearing P.R.C.No. 477 of 2023. As per the charge sheet, the injuries said to have been sustained by the 2nd respondent is clearly mentioned as "simple in nature". Now the said case is committed to the court of neared II Addl. Metropolitan Sessions at Hyderabad, vide S.C.No. 533 of 2024.

2) It is submitted that the petitioner and the 2nd respondent are engaged in Footwear business and both of them are running their respective shops in the same locality and during the pendency of the above said case, at the intervention of the elders and the surrounding footwear business people, the matter has been amicably settled and the 2nd respondent in order to maintain cordial relationship, he has agreed to withdraw the said case unconditionally. In view of the said settlement, no purpose would be served in continuing the above said case

3) In view of the above it is prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to record the compromise and quash the proceedings in S.C.No. 533 of 2024 on the file of the II Addl. Metropolitan Sessions Judge at Hyderabad, in the interest of justice


COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER



PETITIONER


COUNSEL FOR RES.NO.2


RESPONDENT NO.2

HIGH COURT

DATED: 23/01/2026



COMMON ORDER

I.A. Nos. 2 and 3 of 2025

IN/AND

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 13697 OF 2025

**ALLOWING I.A. Nos. 2 AND 3 OF 2025
AND CRIMINAL PETITION**

(9) — JKS
17/4/25