



2025:TSHC:47408

[3378]

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**FRIDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P.SAM KOSHY

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026

IN

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 2848 OF 2025

Between:

SundeeP Pundamale Selvaraj, S/o. Selvaraj Pundamale, Aged about 41 years,
Occ. Software Professional, R/o. My Home Avatar, Flat No. 1306, Block - 4,
Narsingi, K.V. Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad - 500 089.

...PETITIONER

AND

Gowri Priyadarshini SundeeP, W/o. SundeeP Pundamale Selvaraj, Occ. Software
Professional, R/o. Nagarjuna Nagar, 12-13-468/B104, Vaishali Gardens
Apartments, Street No. 14, Lane 3, Tarnaka, Hyderabad - 500 017.

...RESPONDENT

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to revise the remuneration payable to the Advocate Commissioner from Rs.6,000/- per visit to Rs.12,000/- (Rupees Twelve Thousand only) per visit, having regard to the expanded scope of duties, continuous nature of the assignment, time, effort, and responsibility involved; further direct that such remuneration be paid prior to or on the date of each visitation so as to obviate delays or partial payments; and also be pleased to grant appropriate conveyance/travel expenses for visitations conducted at distant venues such as Uppal, or in the alternative suitably factor the same within the revised fee structure.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri. Setty Ravi Teja

Counsel for the Respondents: Sri I. Ramana Reddy

The Court made the following: ORDER



2025:TSHC:47408

The instant application has been filed by the Advocate Commissioner seeking for the following two reliefs:-

- 1) To increase the fees of the Advocate Commissioner; and
- 2) Direct the parties to pay the unpaid part of the fees.

The matter as of now is seized in mediation before the Hon'ble Supreme Court and a retired Judge of the Hon'ble Supreme Court is appointed as a Mediator. Therefore, at this juncture it would not be appropriate to modify the earlier terms and conditions so far as the fees fixed for the Advocate Commissioner is concerned. Nonetheless, there can be no dispute so far as the entitlement of the fees of the Advocate Commissioner for the days that he has visited the venue fixed for visitation.

Learned counsel appearing on either side have fairly conceded that if at all if there is any fees that is not paid for the dates on which the Advocate Commissioner has visited the venue fixed for visitation, it shall be honoured forthwith without any further delay.

At this juncture this Court is not inclined to give a rise in the fees of the Advocate Commissioner that has been sought for, for the simple reason that the matter is seized before the Hon'ble Supreme Court and any order modifying would amount to recalling an earlier order of this Court which is under challenge before the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

The instant I.A. accordingly stands disposed of.

**SD/- MOHD.ISMAIL
DEPUTY REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to Sri Setty Ravi Teja, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to Sri I. Ramana Reddy , Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CD Copies

 KA



2025:TSHC:47408

HIGH COURT

ATED: 17/04/2026



**ORDER
I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026
IN
CC.No.2848 of 2025**

DISPOSING OF I.A.

⑤ MT
21/5/26