



[3385] 2025:TSHC:42971

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**MONDAY, THE TWENTY SEVENTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 13005 OF 2025

Between:

Arjun Singh, S/o. Shanker Singh, Aged. 35 years, occ. Auto Mechanic, R/o.H.No. 14-11-63/a/2, Jinsi Chourahi, Mangalhat, Dhoolpet, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED No. 2

AND

The State of Telangana, Through Public Prosecutor High Court at Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANTS

Petition under Section 480 & 483 of BNSS., praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to enlarge the petitioner/Accused No.2 on bail in the interest of justice. In connection with, Crime No. 75 of 2025 Excise : Dhoolpet District : Hyderabad.

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Gulab Singh , Advocate for the Petitioner and Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the Respondent.

The Court made the following: ORDER

**THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA****CRIMINAL PETITION No.13005 of 2025****ORDER:**

This criminal petition is filed under Section 480 & 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') by the petitioner/A.2 seeking enlargement on bail in connection with Crime No.75 of 2025 of Prohibition & Excise Station, Dhoolpet, Hyderabad. The offences alleged against the petitioner are under Section 8(c) r/w 20(b)(ii)(B) & 22(c) of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (for short 'NDPS Act').

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 11.08.2025 on reliable information about possession and transportation of MDMA, dry ganja by some persons the police conducted check at Jali Hanuman Temple, Dhoolpet, Hyderabad, found A.1 on Activa DLX and petitioner along with A.3 on Burgman Street vehicle and seized 1.510 Kgs of Ganja, 0.11 grams of LSD Jelly (5) dots and 12.290 grams of MDMA from them. The contraband was seized from the possession of A.1 to A.3 under the cover of panchanama in the presence



of panchas and the police have recorded the confession statements of A.1 to A.3, wherein the involvement of other accused came to light. As such police registered the case against the accused for the above offences.

3. Heard Sri Gulab Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that petitioner is innocent of the said offences and he has been falsely implicated in this case by the police. Petitioner is in jail from 11.08.2025, and he is the only bread winner of the family and he has to take care of his old aged parents suffering from ill-health. He further contended that petitioner undertakes to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and prayed to grant bail to him.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed bail on the ground that 1.510 kgs of ganja, 0.11 grams of LSD jelly (5) dots and 12.290 grams of MDMA was seized from the possession of petitioner along



with other accused and the LSD Jelly and the MDMA is a huge commercial quantity and investigation is still pending. However, no criminal cases are pending against this petitioner. As the contraband seized is a huge commercial quantity, he prayed to dismiss this petition.

6. Having regard to the rival submissions made and on going through the material placed on record, it is noted that previously the petitioner had filed Crl.P.No.11863 of 2025 before this Court, seeking the relief of grant of regular bail and the same was dismissed *vide* order dated 25.09.2025 observing that *'Section 37 of the NDPS Act mandates that offences involving commercial quantities be non-bailable, requiring reasonable grounds to believe the accused is not guilty and unlikely to commit further offences while on bail. Given the serious set of allegations against the petitioner, this Court is not satisfied that conditions for granting bail under Section 37 are met'*. However, it is noticed that there are no changed circumstances back then in the earlier regular bail petition, and the present bail petition. As such, this Court is not inclined to grant the relief as sought for, by the



petitioner. There are no merits in this Criminal Petition, and the same is liable to be dismissed.

7. Accordingly, this criminal petition is dismissed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

SD/- P PONNA KRISHNA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The 1 Addl. Sessions Judge, Hyderabad.
2. The Station House Officer, Dhoolpet Police Station, Hyderabad District.
3. One CC to Sri Gulab Singh, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana (OUT)
5. Two CD Copies

BJLB/PSL

Kpr



2025:TSHC:42971

HIGH COURT

DATED:27/10/2025

ORDER

CRLP.No.13005 of 2025

CRIMINAL PETITION IS DISMISSED



(7)

kp
14/11/25