

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
WEDNESDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NAGESH BHEEMAPAKA
WRIT PETITION NO: 30462 OF 2025

Between:

Smt. P.Indira @ Sulochana Reddy, W/o Late P.Janardhan, Reddy, Aged about 70 years, Occ. Household, R/o H.No. 1-2-412/10, Gaganmahal Colony, A.V.College, Domalaguda, Hyderabad.

Petitioner

AND

1. Union of India, Rep. by its Secretary, Ministry of Finance, New Delhi.
2. The Assistant Director, Directorate of Enforcement, Hyderabad Zonal Office, 3rd Floor, Shakar Bhawan, Fateh Maidan Road, Hyderabad-500 004.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, to declare the action of the respondent No. 2 in issuing the Summon No. PMLA/SUMMON/HYZO/2025/4635 bearing F.No. HYZO/45/2022 dt. 17/9/2025 inspite of submitting the relevant documents in response to the summons bearing F.No. HYZO/45/2022/1095 dt. 1/9/2025 without any authority as being illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and colourable exercise of powers and consequently direct the 2nd respondent herein to desist from issuing any summons to the petitioner and to commence the investigation basing on the documents already submitted by the petitioner pursuant to the summons dt. 1/9/2025;

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant stay of all further proceedings pursuant to the Summon No. PMLA/SUMMON/HYZO/2025/4635 bearing F.No. HYZO/45/2022 dt. 17/9/2025 including the appearance of the petitioner herein, pending disposal of WP 30462 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of SRI DOKKA MOHAN RAO Advocate for the Petitioner, SRI N BHUJANGA RAO Deputy Solicitor General of India for the Respondents, the Court made the following.

ORDER

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite receiving all relevant documents pursuant to the summons dated 01.09.2025, the 2nd respondent issued another summons on 17.09.2025, directing the petitioner to appear on 22.09.2025. He contends that once the documents were submitted, issuing a fresh summons is unwarranted. The petitioner, a 70-year-old senior citizen suffering from age-related ailments, was admitted to KIMS Hospital on 29.09.2025 due to a cardiac issue. It is submitted that the 2nd respondent is pressuring the petitioner to appear in connection with an unrelated matter, despite having all necessary documents to proceed with the investigation. Therefore, he prays that the petitioner's personal appearance be dispensed with, considering his age and health condition.

Considering the submissions made by learned counsel for petitioners, the appearance of the petitioner before 2nd respondent is dispensed with.

List on 06.11.2025.

**SD/-P.NAGABHUSHAMBA
DEPUTY REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Assistant Director, Directorate of Enforcement, Hyderabad Zonal Office, 3rd Floor, Shakar Bhawan, Fateh Maidan Road, Hyderabad-500 004. (by RPAD)
2. One CC to SRI. DOKKA MOHAN RAO Advocate [OPUC]
3. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

NBKJ

DATED:08/10/2025

LIST ON 06.11.2025

ORDER

WP.No.30462 of 2025

DIRECTION

10 OCT 2025