

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**SATURDAY, THE TWENTY FIFTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 12925 OF 2025

Between:

1. Namburi Sreedhar, S/o. Namburi Subba Naidu, Occ: Business, R/o.Flat no.401, F-Block, NCC Apartments, Opposite to NASR School, Gachibowli, Hyderabad.
2. M/s. Indo Cotton LLP, Rep.by its Partner Namburi Sreedhar, R/o. Flat no. 401, F-Block, NCC Apartments, Opposite to NASR School, Gachibowli, Hyderabad

...PETITIONERS/ACCUSED NO.3 & 6

AND

The State of Telangana,, Through PS CCS, DD, Hyderabad, Rep by Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana, At Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENT

Petition under Section 482 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to enlarge the petitioners/Accused No.3 & 6 on bail in the event of his arrest in connection with Crime No. 104 of 2025 on the file of PS, CCS, DD, Hyderabad

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Sri K RAMANJANEYULU, Advocate for the Petitioners and D. ARUN KUMAR, Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the sole Respondent

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. S UJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.12925 of 2025

ORDER:

This Criminal Petition is filed under Section 82 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners, who are arrayed as accused Nos.3 and 6 in Crime No.104 of 2025 before the Central Crime Police Station, Hyderabad.

2. The brief facts of the case are that the complainant, Mr. V. Sreekanth, Partner of M/s. Touchstone Enterprises, lodged a complaint alleging that Mrs. Maddipati Sandhya Rani and others induced him to invest Rs.73 crores in their garment business by promising high returns under a profit-sharing scheme. The complainant later discovered that the work orders and purchase orders were fabricated, and the funds were misappropriated for personal use. Based on the complaint, the police registered a case against several accused persons, including the petitioner, for offences under Sections 316(2), 318(4), 336(3), 333, and 340(2) read with Section 61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. Heard Sri K. Ramanjaneyulu, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent - State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner, arrayed as accused No.3, was falsely implicated in the crime solely on the ground of being the husband of accused No.1 and had no role in the alleged transactions. He further submitted that nothing was seized or recovered from the petitioner, and there was no material to connect him with the alleged offence except the confession of the co-accused. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the same stating that the allegations leveled against the petitioner are serious in nature. There are number of victims in the hands of the accused. Further, the investigation was not yet completed. At this stage, granting of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner does not arise. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and a perusal of the material available on record, it appears that though the learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner, being the husband of accused No.1, has no role in the alleged offences, the material on record *prima facie* discloses that the company of the petitioner, Inco Cotton LLP, is also involved in the transactions in question. It is further revealed that the said firm operates from the same premises as Sri Sai Ram Enterprises and Uni Cotton Apparel Exports Pvt. Ltd., which are owned and managed by accused No.1 and her associate. In view of the specific allegations attributed to the petitioner and having regard to the stage of investigation, this Court is not inclined to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner at this juncture and the same is liable to be dismissed.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Petition is dismissed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending shall stand closed.

SD/- A.JAYASREE
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Additional Sessions Judge for Trial of Commercial Offences Law-cum-VII Additional Sessions Judge-cum-XXI Additional Chief Judge Hyderabad.

2. The XII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate at Nampally, Hyderabad, Hyderabad District
3. The Station House Officer, Central Crime Station Police Station, Hyderabad, Hyderabad District
4. One CC to SRI K RAMANJANEYULU Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]
6. **Two CD Copies**


VM/psl



HIGH COURT

DATED: 25/10/2025

ORDER
CRLP.No.12925 of 2025



DISMISSING THE CRIMINAL PETITION

9 MT
18/11/25