



2024:TSHC:34659-DB

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**TUESDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P.SAM KOSHY
AND
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR JUKANTI**

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 2636 OF 2023

Contempt Case under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act 1971 to punish the respondent herein for the willful and deliberate disobedience of the order dated 04.09.2023 passed in W.A No. 860 of 2023.

Between:

K. Ravinder, S/o K.Thirumalaiah Aged about 54 years, Occ. Chief Executive Officer, O/o PACS, Nagavaram, Wanaparthy District (Erstwhile Mahabubnagar district)

...PETITIONER/APPELLANT

AND

1. Sri Tejas Nandlal Pawar, District Collector, Wanaparthy District (Erstwhile Mahabubnagar District)
2. Sri. M.Raghunath Rao, District Cooperative officer, Wanaparthy District, Wanaparthy (Erstwhile Mahabubnagar District)
3. Sri Bathini Madhusudhan Reddy, President of Primary Agricultural Cooperative Society, Nagavaram, Wanaparthy District (Erstwhile Mahabubnagar District)

...RESPONDENTS

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri. Mohd Gulam Rasool

Counsel for the Respondent No. 1: Ms. M. Shalini, GP for Services-II

Counsel for the Respondent No. 2: GP for Services III

Counsel for the Respondent No. 3: Sri Gujjari Naveen Kumar

The Court made the following: ORDER

The present contempt case has been filed seeking for initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for non-compliance of the order passed in W.A.No.860 of 2023.



2024:TSHC:34659-DB

The contempt case was earlier disposed of on the assurance of the respondents on releasing of the arrears of the subsistence allowance.

On the previous date of hearing, this Court had directed the respondents to ensure that the entire amount of subsistence allowance is cleared, failing which the respondents should remain present before this Court.

Today, the learned Government Pleader for Services-II representing the respondents/contemnors has submitted that the total amount of admissible subsistence allowance comes to Rs.8,23,485/-, out of which Rs.1,98,000/- was already paid on 31.03.2026 and the balance amount of Rs.6,25,485/- is also paid through DCCB Bank, Wanaparthi vide Cheque No.025223 dated 24.04.2026 and amount also stands credited to the petitioner's account No. 142022010098650.

The payment of the aforesaid amount is not disputed by the learned counsel for the applicant. However, he disputes that the calculation made by the respondents does not seem to be proper and correct.

Be that as it may, considering the fact that the substantial compliance so far as the payment of arrears of subsistence allowance having been done, we are of the considered opinion that the contempt case at this stage can be closed. However, the right of the petitioner stands reserved to approach the respondents for raising his claim afresh in respect of any unpaid arrears or other claims.

SD/- MOHD.ISMAIL
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. Two CCs to GP for Services II, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]
2. Two CCs to the GP for Services III, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]
3. One CC to Sri Mohd Gulam Rasool, Advocate [OPUC]
4. One CC to Sri Gujjari Naveen Kumar, Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CD Copies

VH/KA



2024:TSHC:34659-DB

HIGH COURT

DATED: 05/05/2026

ORDER

CC.No.2636 of 2023



DIRECTION

⑨ MT
18/5/26