

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE TIRUMALA DEVI EADA

I.A.No. 1 and 2 of 2025
IN/AND
CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 12846 OF 2025

Between:

1. Mr. Avinash Mitta, S/o. Dr. M. Chandra Shekar Shetty, Aged 32 years, Occ: Pvt. Employee, R/o. H.No. 8-1-301/96, Laxmi Nagar Colony, Shaikpet, Hyderabad - 500008, (Husband of Defacto-Complainant)
2. Mrs. Rajya Laxmi Mitta, W/o. Dr. M. Chandra Shekar Shetty, Aged 52 years, Occ: Homemaker, R/o. H.No. 8-1-301/96, Laxmi Nagar Colony, Shaikpet Nala, Hyderabad - 500008; (Mother-in-law of Defacto-Complainant)

...Petitioners/Accused Nos. 1 & 2

AND

1. The State of Telangana, through - The SHO, Women P.S. West Zone, Hyderabad, Rep. by the Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad.

...Respondent No.1/Complainant

2. Ms. Thatipalli Pooja, W/o. Avinash Mitta, D/o. Thatipalli Devaiah, Aged 32 years, Occ: Pvt. Employee, R/o.H No. 14/1/90/445/T-1, Sai Brundavan Apartments, Gayathri Nagar, Borabanda, Hyderabad - 500018.

...Respondent No.2/Defacto Complainant

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to quash the proceedings in C.C. No. 8297 of 2025 on the file of the XIII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, at Nampally.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2025

Between:

Ms. Thatipalli Pooja., W/o. Avinash Mitta, D/o. Thatipalli Devaiah, Aged 32 years,
Occ: Pvt Employee, R/o.H No. 14/1/90/445/T-1, Sai Brundavan Apartments,
Gayathri Nagar, Borabanda, Hyderabad - 500018.

...Petitioners/Accused Nos. 1 & 2 in both I.A.Nos. 1 & 2 of 2025

AND

1. The State of Telangana, through - The SHO, Women P.S. West Zone, Hyderabad, Rep. by the Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad.

...Respondent No.1/Respondent No.1 in both I.A.Nos. 1 & 2 of 2025

2. Mr. Avinash Mitta, S/o. Dr. M. Chandra Shekar Shetty, Aged 32 years, Occ: Pvt. Employee, R/o. H.No. 8-1-301/96, Laxmi Nagar Colony, Shaikpet, Hyderabad - 500008, (Husband of Defacto-Complainant)
3. Mrs. Rajya Laxmi Mitta, W/o. Dr. M. Chandra Shekar Shetty, Aged 52 years, Occ: Homemaker, R/o. H.No. 8-1-301/96, Laxmi Nagar Colony, Shaikpet Nala, Hyderabad – 500008; (Mother-in-law of Defacto-Complainant)

...Respondent Nos. 2 & 3/Petitioners in both I.A.Nos. 1 & 2 of 2025

Petition under Section 359(6) of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to permit the Petitioner herein/Respondent No.2/ Defacto-Complainant to compound the offences against the Respondent Nos.2& 3/Petitioners/Accused Nos. 1 & 2 by allowing the Criminal Petition by the proceedings in C.C. 8297 of 2025 on the file of the XIII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, at Nampally.

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2025

Petition under Section 359(2) r/w 359(8) & 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to record the compromise entered by the Petitioner herein/ Respondent No.2/ Defacto-Complainant with the Respondent Nos.2 & 3 / Petitioners/ Accused Nos.1 & 2 and quash the proceedings in C.C. 8297 of 2025 on the file of the XIII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, at Nampally.

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Anuradha Cherukuri, Advocate for the Petitioners and Sri Jithender Rao Veeramalla, the Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the Respondent No.1 and of Sri Lingampally Venugopal, Advocate for the Respondent No. 2.

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE TIRUMALA DEVI EADA

I.A.Nos.1 and 2 of 2025

in/and

CRIMINAL PETITION No.12846 of 2025

COMMON ORDER:

1. This Criminal Petition is filed seeking the Court to quash the proceedings against the petitioners/accused Nos.1 & 2 in C.C.No.8297 of 2025, on the file of the learned XIII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate at Nampally, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 498-A and 506 of IPC.
2. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners/accused Nos.1 & 2 as well as the learned counsel for respondent No.2/*de facto* complainant that during pendency of the present Criminal Petition, the parties have compromised the matter and accordingly, I.A.Nos.1 and 2 of 2025 have been filed to compound the offences and to record compromise between both the parties.
3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has opposed the compromise petitions.
4. Perused the affidavits filed by the *de facto* complainant in I.A.Nos.1 & 2 of 2025 which disclose that she has willingly consented for compromise. Since the parties were willing to enter into compromise, they were given a chance to settle the matter by

appearing along with their respective counsel before the High Court Legal Services Committee for the State of Telangana *vide* orders dated 06.10.2025 passed by this Court. Thereafter, the Secretary, High Court Legal Services Committee is directed to identify the parties and submit a report to that effect.

5. Pursuant to the above said direction issued by this Court, the parties along with their respective counsel have appeared before the High Court Legal Services Committee for the State of Telangana and the Secretary of the above said Authority identified the parties and submitted a report dated 13.10.2025 stating that the parties were identified and they have willfully, without any coercion, entered into compromise.

6. In view of the above, I.A.Nos.1 and 2 of 2025 are allowed. Consequently, the Criminal Petition is allowed and the proceedings in C.C.No.8297 of 2025, on the file of the learned XIII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate at Nampally, registered against the petitioners/accused Nos.1 & 2 are hereby quashed subject to the petitioners paying an amount of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand Only) to the Telangana High Court Advocates Association, Hyderabad and Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand Only) to the

High court Legal Services Committee for the State of Telangana,
within a period of two (02) weeks from today and file proof of the
same before the Registry.

7. Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

SD/- M.OSMAN ALI BAIG
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The XIII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, at Nampally.
2. The Station House Officer, WPS, West Zone Police Station, Hyderabad City.
3. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
4. One CC to Sri Anuradha Cherukuri, Advocate [OPUC]
5. One CC to Lingampally Venugopal, Advocate [OPUC]
6. Two CD Copies

Along with a copy of Joint Memo of Compromise)

NOTE :

Total Amount of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) has been paid by Sri Anuradha Cherukuri, Counsel for the Petitioner as directed by the Hon'ble Court vide order dated 24.10.2025 as Rs. 5,000/- (Rupees Five thousand only) to the Telangana High Court Advocates Association, Hyderabad, and Rs. 5,000/- (Rupees Five thousand only) to the High Court Legal Services Committee, Hyderabad and filed Memo as proof of compliance USR No.24744 of 2026, dated 26-02-2026.

Sa/PSL



HIGH COURT


DATED: 24/10/2025

ORDER

I.A.Nos. 1 and 2 of 2025

IN/AND

CRLP.No.12846 of 2025



ALLOWING THE I.A.Nos. 1 and 2 of 2025 AND CRLP.

⑧
23/03/26
b.B

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

I.A. No. 7 of 2025
in
Crl.P. No. 12846 of 2025

BETWEEN:

Ms. Thatipalli Pooja,
W/o. Avinash Mitta, D/o. Thatipalli Devaiah,
Aged 32 years, Occ: Pvt. Employee,
R/o. H No. 14/1/90/445/T-1, Sai Brundavan Apartments,
Gayathri Nagar, Borabanda, Hyderabad – 500018.
...Petitioner/Respondent No.2

AND

1. **The State of Telangana**, through
the SHO, Women P.S. IT Corridor, Gachibowli
Rep. by the Public Prosecutor, High Court
for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad.
...Respondent No.1/ Respondent No.1

2. **Mr. Avinash Mitta,**
S/o. Dr. M. Chandra Shekar Shetty,
Aged 32 years, Occ: Pvt. Employee,
R/o. H.No. 8-1-301/96, flat No.96,
Laxmi Nagar Colony, Shaikpet, Hyderabad – 500008;
(Husband of Defacto-Complainant)

3. **Mrs. Rajya Laxmi Mitta,**
W/o. Dr. M. Chandra Shekar Shetty,
Aged 52 years, Occ: Homemaker,
R/o. Flat No.96, Laxmi Nagar Colony,
Shaikpet Nala, Hyderabad – 500008;
(Mother-in-law of Defacto-Complainant)
...Respondent Nos.2 & 3/Petitioners

JOINT MEMO

1. It is submitted that the Petitioner herein had filed a complaint and the same was registered as FIR No. 95/2024, dated 24.03.2024, of Women P.S. West Zone, Hyderabad and the Police filed Charge Sheet against the Respondent Nos.2 & 3 herein/ Petitioners/ Accused Nos.1 to 5 under Sec. 498A & 506 of IPC. Accordingly, the learned Magistrate took cognizance vide C.C. 8297 of 2025.

[Signature]
A-2

[Signature]
Petitioner/R-2

2. It is submitted that while so, the Petitioner herein and the Respondent No.2 herein, at the intervention of the elders and well-wishers, settled their dispute amicably and obtained divorce by mutual consent and further it was agreed that the Petitioner herein shall be withdraw/ compromise the proceedings in C.C. No. 8297 of 2025.

3. It is submitted that as the matter is settled amicably out of the Court, no purpose would be served if the matter is kept pending, more so when the Petitioner herein / Defacto-Complainant is not interested to pursue the case. In view of the above facts and circumstances, the continuation of proceedings against the Respondent Nos.2 & 3 herein/ Petitioners/ Accused in C.C. No. 8297 of 2025 will not serve any purpose and hence desirable to be closed. Hence, this Joint Memo.


It is therefore prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to permit the Petitioner herein/ Respondent No.2/ Defacto-Complainant to compound the offences and compromise with the Respondent Nos.2 & 3/ Petitioners / Accused Nos.1 & 2 and quash the proceedings in C.C. 8297 of 2025 on the file of the XIII Addl. Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, at Nampally and pass such other order or orders deemed fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.

Place: Hyderabad


Date: 26.09.2025



Counsel for Petitioner/Respondent
No.2/Defacto-complainant



Petitioner/Respondent No.2/
Defacto-complainant



Respondent No.3/Petitioner No.2/
Accused No.2



Respondent No.2/Petitioner No.1/
Accused No.1



Counsel for Respondent Nos.2 & 3/
Petitioners/Accused Nos.1 & 2